

## TRED AVON RIVER Summary of Fall Survey and Other Data

From the 5 Year Oyster Report Appendix A, Section 45

Christopher Judy MD DNR Shellfish Division - Oyster Advisory Commission July 25, 2016 -



#### The data characterize the Tred Avon environment for oysters,

#### the environment in which the project is underway and the 8 acres reside.



#### BAYWIDE RESULTS for CONTEXT

- How Tred Avon relates to other areas

#### TRED AVON RESULTS

- Section 45





# Fall Survey

over 300 samples baywide

#### Method

- per bushel data
- spat/smalls/markets
- dead oysters
- mortality (%)
- disease (%)
- biomass



#### SALINITY – SPAT SET – DISEASE – MORTALITY –BIOMASS

How does the Tred Avon look compared to the Bay overall?







## 

Chesapeake Bay 2002 Fall Dredge Survey

Spat Set

#### SPAT SET - variable by area and year













## DISEASE:

- Variable by area and year
- Tred Avon varies
  - Dermo and MSX can be low to high











#### MORTALITY:

- Variable by area and year
- Tred Avon varies
  - can be low to high



#### SUMMARY

How does the Tred Avon look compared to the Bay overall?

- The Fall Survey data quantify and describe the environment in which the Tred Avon project will exist. Generally.....
  - Low set
  - Chronic dermo
  - Sporadic MSX
  - Good survival as a trend, but with potential setbacks
  - Prone to severe impacts in extended droughts
  - Increasing biomass under current conditions





## TRED AVON FALL SURVEY DATA

-section 45-

#### Sanctuary Area

- Spat set
- Live oysters
- Disease
- Mortality
- Biomass

The following data are from the sanctuary portion of the Tred Avon



#### TRED AVON Spat Set ---- typically low







## TRED AVON

#### Spat/Smalls/Markets:

Spat < 1 yr old Smalls 1 yr to <3" Mkts 3" and up

-

- Low sets are typical (the 1991 and 1997 sets were anomalies, not just for the river but the bay overall)
- Low sets and low small counts can build populations (but low mortality conditions are key)
- Disease outbreaks cause declines
- Survival is key

TRED AVON Dermo & MSX



DERMO

- High prevalence (like many areas)
- Intensity is key
- Intensity spikes yield mortality
  Aver Int TA 2.8
  Aver Int MD 2.2

#### TRED AVON Dermo & MSX



#### DERMO

- High prevalence (like many areas)
- Intensity is key
- Intensity spikes yield mortality
  Aver Int TA 2.8
  Aver Int MD 2.2

- MSX varies - (like many areas) Aver % MD 6 Aver % TA 2
- "Double hit"
  - both diseases
  - serious mortalities





#### TRED AVON

## **Disease & Mortality**

- Disease causes mortality
- Mortality spikes cause a decline in oysters
  - Tred Avon has experienced two major mortality events
- The 4 year drought had a severe impact





## TRED AVON

## Spat/Smalls/Markets:

- Relationship to disease
- Disease outbreaks yield declines
- When diseases subside, oyster numbers increase



### TRED AVON Oyster Biomass



#### Biomass

- Measure of live oyster tissue (no shell)
- As oysters grow the biomass increases
- As there are more oysters the biomass increases
  - MDwide for 2015 149



#### TRED AVON Oyster Biomass

- Biomass varies with Mortality
- Low mortality helps build Biomass



300





#### TRED AVON Harvest Data

- Harvest varies with Mortality
- Low mortality helps build Harvest
- But spat sets are needed in addition
- The fishery is largely driven by spat set and survival, though other factors occur





#### **BOTTOM TYPE (habitat)**

- Used to TARGET restoration
- Plantings are made based on existing habitat (or lack of)

BBS (1975-83) Shell bottom 962 a

MGS (2008) Shell bottom 241 a

**Caution:** Methods were different. Caution when trying to precisely quantify loss over time.





## OYSTER DENSITY (PT Survey)

- Used to TARGET restoration
- Plantings based on existing population (or lack of)
- Planting adjustments were made to avoid oysters and habitat
- Serves as a baseline survey for future comparisons





#### SHELL

- Important for oysters
- More shell....more oysters



## SUMMARY

- The Fall Survey data quantify and describe the environment in which the project will exist. Generally.....
  - Low set
  - Chronic dermo
  - Sporadic MSX
  - Survival as a trend, but with potential setbacks
  - Prone to severe impacts in extended droughts
  - Increasing biomass under current conditions
- The data don't speak exactly to the 8 acres or any exact site(s) but they speak to the overall trends for the river
- Caution should be used when comparing habitat surveys over time