# 2010 REVIEW OF THE <br> ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR 

## ATLANTIC STRIPED BASS (Morone saxatilis)

## 2009 FISHING YEAR



Atlantic Striped Bass Plan Review Team
Charlton Godwin, North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries
Wilson Laney, US Fish and Wildlife Service
Nichola Meserve, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, Chair
Steve Meyers, National Marine Fisheries Service
Gary Shepherd, National Marine Fisheries Service

Accepted by the Management Board on November 9, 2010

## Executive Summary

Atlantic striped bass from Maine through North Carolina are managed under Amendment 6 to the Interstate Fishery Management Plan, and Addendum I to Amendment 6. A second addendum to the plan is currently being considered.

Stock status was last estimated in 2009. The stock was not overfished and overfishing was not occurring in 2008, although total abundance in 2008 declined 25 percent from the peak in 2004. A benchmark assessment is planned to undergo peer review in June 2013. The 2010 review of the juvenile abundance indices did not trigger any recommendations for management action.

Total striped bass harvest in 2009 is estimated at 2.96 million fish or 28.68 million pounds, a decrease of $9 \%$ by number and $13 \%$ by weight from 2008. Recreational anglers harvested 1.92 million fish ( 21.46 million pounds) in 2009, while commercial fishermen harvested 1.04 million fish ( 7.22 million pounds). Dead discards from the recreational fishery are estimated at 0.70 million fish; commercial dead discards in 2009 will be estimated during the next stock assessment.

All states have implemented management programs consistent with Amendment 6. Substantial management changes occurred to two recreational fisheries in 2009: implementation of a $20-26$ inch slot limit in Pennsylvania's part of the Delaware River during April and May and the same 20 - 26 inch slot limit in Delaware's part of the Delaware Bay, River and tributaries during July and August. Three states exceeded their coastal commercial quotas in 2009, requiring reduced 2010 quotas. The Chesapeake Bay quota was not exceeded. Monitoring of the fisheries received substantial law enforcement attention in 2009; enforcement efforts continue to have mixed results.

All states have implemented monitoring programs consistent with Amendment 6. However, one state is late in processing some biological samples. Requirements vary by state, and may include monitoring commercial and/or recreational catch, effort, and catch composition, and performing juvenile abundance surveys, spawning stock surveys, and tagging programs.

Management and research recommendations are provided.
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| Date of FMP Approval: | Original FMP - 1981 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amendments: | Amendment 1-1984 <br> Amendment 2 - 1984 <br> Amendment 3 - 1985 <br> Amendment 4 - 1989; Addendum I - 1991, Addendum II 1992, Addendum III - 1993, Addendum IV - 1994 Amendment 5 - 1995; Addendum I - 1997, Addendum II 1997, Addendum III - 1998, Addendum IV - 1999, Addendum V - 2000 <br> Amendment 6 - 2003; Addendum I - 2007 |
| Management Unit: | Migratory stocks of Atlantic striped bass from Maine through North Carolina |
| States With Declared Interest: | Maine - North Carolina, including Pennsylvania |
| Additional Jurisdictions: | District of Columbia, Potomac River Fisheries Commission, National Marine Fisheries Service, United States Fish and Wildlife Service |
| Active Boards/Committees: | Atlantic Striped Bass Management Board, Advisory Panel, Technical Committee, Stock Assessment Subcommittee, Tagging Subcommittee, Plan Review Team, and Plan Development Team |

The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) developed a fisheries management plan (FMP) for Atlantic striped bass in 1981 in response to declining juvenile recruitment and landings. The FMP recommended increased restrictions on commercial and recreational fisheries, such as minimum size limits and harvest closures on spawning grounds. Two amendments were passed in 1984 recommending additional management measures to reduce fishing mortality. To strengthen the management response, the Atlantic Striped Bass Conservation Act (P.L. 98-613) was passed in late 1984, which mandated the implementation of striped bass regulations passed by the Commission.

The first enforceable plan, Amendment 3, was approved in 1985, and required size regulations to protect the 1982 year class, which was the first modest size cohort since the previous decade. The objective was to increase size limits to allow at least $95 \%$ of the females in the cohort to spawn at least once. Smaller size limits were permitted in producer areas than along the coast. Several states, beginning with Maryland in 1985, opted for a more conservative approach and imposed a total moratorium on striped bass landings for several years. The amendment contained a trigger mechanism to reopen the fisheries when the 3-year moving average of the Maryland juvenile abundance index (JAI) exceeded an arithmetic mean of 8.0. That level was attained with the recruitment of the 1989 year class.

Consequently, Amendment 4 was adopted to allow state fisheries to reopen in 1990 under a target fishing mortality ( F ) of 0.25 , which was half the estimated F needed to achieve maximum
sustainable yield (MSY). The amendment allowed an increase in the target F once spawning stock biomass (SSB) was restored to levels estimated during the late 1960s and early 1970s. The dual size limit concept was maintained, and a recreational trip limit and commercial season implemented to reduce the harvest to $20 \%$ of that in the historic period of 1972-1979. The amendment and its four addenda aimed to rebuild the resource, rather than maximize yield.

In 1995, coastal striped bass were declared restored by the Commission, and Amendment 5 was adopted to increase the target F to 0.33 , midway between the existing F target ( 0.25 ) and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, which was revised to equal 0.40 . Preferred regulations to allow $70 \%$ of the harvest in the historic period and achieve the target F were provided, although states were allowed to submit proposals for alternative regulations that were conservationally equivalent. From 1997-2000, a series of five addenda were implemented to respond to the latest stock status information.

In 2003, Amendment 6 was adopted to address five limitations within the management program: 1) potential inability to prevent the Amendment 5 exploitation target from being exceeded; 2) perceived decrease in availability or abundance of large striped bass in the coastal migratory population; 3) a lack of management direction with respect to target and threshold biomass levels; 4) inequitable effects of regulations on the recreational and commercial fisheries, and coastal and producer area sectors; 5) and excessively frequent changes to the management program. Amendment 6 was fully implemented by January 1, 2004, and completely replaced all previous Commission plans for Atlantic striped bass.

The goal of Amendment 6 is to perpetuate, through cooperative interstate management, migratory stocks of striped bass; to allow commercial and recreational fisheries consistent with the long-term maintenance of a broad age structure, a self-sustaining spawning stock; and also to provide for the restoration and maintenance of their essential habitat. In support of this goal, the following objectives are included:

- Manage striped bass fisheries under a control rule designed to maintain stock size at or above the target female spawning stock biomass level and a level of fishing mortality at or below the target exploitation rate.
- Manage fishing mortality to maintain an age structure that provides adequate spawning potential to sustain long-term abundance of striped bass populations.
- Provide a management plan that strives, to the extent practical, to maintain coastwide consistency of implemented measures, while allowing the States defined flexibility to implement alternative strategies that accomplish the objectives of the FMP.
- Foster quality and economically viable recreational, for-hire, and commercial fisheries.
- Maximize cost effectiveness of current information gathering and prioritize state obligations in order to minimize costs of monitoring and management.
- Adopt a long-term management regime that minimizes or eliminates the need to make annual changes or modifications to management measures.
- Establish a fishing mortality target that will result in a net increase in the abundance (pounds) of age 15 and older striped bass in the population, relative to the 2000 estimate.

Amendment 6 modified the F targets and thresholds, and introduced a new set of biological reference points (BRPs) based on females spawning stock biomass (SSB), as well as a list of management triggers based on the BRPs. (The targets and thresholds were updated in 2008; see Sections II and IV for more information.) The coastal commercial quotas for striped bass were restored to $100 \%$ of the states' average landings during the 1972-1979 historical period, except for Delaware's coastal commercial quota, which remained at the level allocated in 2002. In the recreational fisheries, all states are required to implement a two fish bag limit with a minimum size limit of 28 inches, except for the Chesapeake Bay fisheries, Albemarle/Roanoke fisheries, and states with approved alternative regulations. The Chesapeake Bay and Albemarle/Roanoke regulatory programs are predicated on a more conservative F target than the coastal migratory stock, which allows these jurisdictions to implement separate seasons, harvest caps, and size and bag limits as long as they remain under that target. No minimum size limit can be less than 18 inches. The same minimum size standards regulate the commercial fisheries as the recreational fisheries, except for a 20 inch size limit in the Delaware Bay spring gillnet fishery.

States are permitted the flexibility to deviate from these standards by submitting proposals for review by the Striped Bass Technical Committee, Advisory Panel, and Plan Review Team and contingent upon the approval of the Management Board. A state may request a change only if it can demonstrate that the action is "conservationally equivalent" to the management standards or will not contribute to the overfishing of the resource. This practice has resulted in a variety of regulations among states (see Tables 1 and 2). In 2007, Addendum I was implemented to establish a bycatch monitoring and research program to increase the accuracy of data on striped bass discards and also recommend development of a web-based angler education program.

The Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) has been closed to the harvest and possession of striped bass since 1990, with the exception of a defined route to and from Block Island in Rhode Island. A recommendation was made in Amendment 6, and submitted to the Secretary of Commerce, to re-open federal waters to commercial and recreational fisheries. Starting in July 2003 and continuing for several years, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) took steps in the rulemaking process to consider the proposal. In September 2006, NMFS concluded that it would be imprudent to open the EEZ to striped bass fishing and chose not to proceed further in its rulemaking. Specifically, NMFS concluded that: 1) it could not be certain, especially after taking into account the overwhelming public perception that large trophy sized fish congregate in the EEZ, that opening the EEZ would not increase effort and lead to an increase in mortality that would exceed the threshold, and 2) both the Commission's and NMFS' ability to immediately respond to an overfishing and/or overfished situation is a potential issue, particularly given the timeframe within which Amendment 6 was created, and given the lag time in which a given year's data is available to management (71 FR 54261-54262).

Additionally, in October 2007, President George W. Bush issued an executive order prohibiting the sale of striped bass (and red drum) caught within the EEZ. The Order also requires the Secretary of Commerce to encourage management for conservation of the resources, including State designation as gamefish where the State determines appropriate under applicable law, and to periodically review the status of the populations within US jurisdictional waters. The most recent report to Congress on the status of the striped bass population was submitted in 2010 (NOAA 2010).

## II. Status of the Stocks

The most recent striped bass stock assessment was conducted by the Striped Bass Technical Committee, Stock Assessment Subcommittee, and Tagging Subcommittee in 2009 and includes data through 2008 (ASMFC 2009). Two models were included as the main models for stock assessment: the age-based statistical catch-at-age (SCA) model, and the tag-based catch equation (CE) model. Based on the results of both models and comparison to the biological reference points, below, Atlantic striped bass are not overfished and are not experiencing overfishing. (The CE model results are only compared to the fishing mortality BRPs).

|  | Female Spawning Stock Biomass | Fully-Recruited Fishing Mortality |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | $\mathrm{SSB}_{1995}=30,000$ metric tons | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}=0.34$ |
| Target | $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {threshold }} \times 1.25=37,500$ metric tons | 0.30 |
|  |  | (0.27 in Chesapeake Bay <br> and Albemarle/Roanoke) |

The SCA model estimated that the resource remains at a high level with female spawning stock biomass (SSB) at 55,500 metric tons (mt), or $185 \%$ of the threshold and $148 \%$ of the target (Figure 1). The 2008 estimate of SSB was a slight increase from the 2007 estimate of $54,574 \mathrm{mt}$; both the 2007 and 2008 estimates are less than the time series maximum of $63,588 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2004.

Estimates of recruitment (age-1 abundance) in 2005-2007 decreased from the all time high in 2004 ( 22.7 million fish) and were below the 12.5 million fish average for the post-recovery time period (1995-present), although the 2008 recruitment estimate of 13.3 million fish is above that average (Figure 1). While the SSB estimates have remained relatively stable from the continued growth of previous strong cohorts, stock abundance has declined. The 2008 estimate of 52.8 million fish decreased $25 \%$ from the peak in 2004 ( 70.8 million fish), although it increased slightly from 2007 (Figure 1). The decline, as reflected by landings, is more prevalent in areas largely dependent on the Chesapeake Bay stock than areas dominated by the Hudson River stock.

The SCA model estimated the 2008 fishing mortality rate ( F ) on age $8-11$ fish to be $\mathrm{F}=0.21$, which is well below the fishing mortality threshold and target. Based on the proportion of total removals by the recreational and commercial fleets in 2008, the F for age 8 and older fish from the recreational fishery was 0.18 and from the commercial fishery it was 0.03 . Similarly, the F for ages $3-8$ striped bass was 0.16 from the recreational fishery and 0.06 from the commercial fishery. The 2008 tag-based CE estimates of fishing mortality for striped bass 28 inches and greater was 0.15 , and for striped bass 18 inches and greater it was 0.12 .

Because Amendment 6 implemented distinct management programs for the Chesapeake Bay and Albemarle/Sound area with a fishing mortality target of 0.27 , separate estimates of fishing mortality for the areas are required. The 2009 stock assessment includes the estimates for the Chesapeake Bay. Based on application of Maryland and Virginia tagging data to the CE model, Chesapeake Bay F estimates for fish $18-28$ inches ranged from 0.01 to 0.15 throughout the time series (1987-2008), and was estimated at 0.08 for 2008.

In March 2010, the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries used the Age Structured Assessment Program (NOAA Fisheries Toolbox 2008a) to determine stock status (data through 2008). Currently, the stock is not experiencing overfishing. Fishing mortality on age 4-6 striped bass has declined steadily since 2004 and was estimated at 0.10 in 2008. The JAI continues to fluctuate around the average observed since the stock was declared recovered in 1997. The age structure of the stock continues to expand, with an overall increase in abundance of age $9+$ fish in the population. The current maximum age observed on the spawning grounds is 17 (captured during the 2008 sampling season). Estimated abundance of age $4-6$ striped bass in the stock increased steadily and peaked in 2000 at about 550,000 fish. Age 4-6 abundance declined slightly and varied without trend at about 470,000 fish through 2006 , and has since fallen to an estimated 336,000 fish in 2008. The low abundance of age $4-6$ fish in 2008 is due to poor recruitment from the 2003 and 2004 year classes.

## III. Status of the Fishery

Total striped bass harvest in 2009 is estimated at 2.96 million fish ( 28.68 million pounds; Tables $3-6)$. The commercial and recreational fisheries harvested 35 and 65 percent by number and 25 and 75 percent by weight, respectively. Total harvest decreased by $9 \%$ by number and $13 \%$ by weight from 2008.

The commercial fishery landed an estimated 1.04 million fish ( 7.22 million pounds) in 2009, an increase from the 2008 landings of 1.01 million fish ( 7.19 million pounds; Tables 3 and 4; Figure 3). The Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions dominated the 2009 commercial landings; by pounds, Maryland landed $33.2 \%$, Virginia landed $21.5 \%$, and PRFC landed $10.1 \%$, for a combined Baywide contribution of $64.8 \%$ to the coastwide total. The 2009 commercial Baywide landings of 4.40 million pounds in 2009 represent a fractional increase from the 2008 landings of 4.37 million pounds. Elsewhere along the coast, Massachusetts landed $15.8 \%$ and New York 10.9\% of the total commercial landings, in pounds, and North Carolina, Delaware, and Rhode Island each landed less than $4 \%$. The 2009 coastal commercial landings amount to 2.82 million pounds, a fractional reduction from the 2008 coastal landings of 2.84 million pounds. Estimates of commercial dead discards in 2009 are currently unavailable. For 2008, the estimate of commercial dead discards is 395,400 fish, representing $8.8 \%$ of the total fishery removals (Figure 4). The coastal commercial fishing year is from January 1 to December 31 in all jurisdictions except North Carolina, which operates on a December 1 to November 30 fishing year.

In 2009, the recreational fishery landed an estimated 1.92 million fish ( 21.46 million pounds), a decrease from the 2008 landings of 2.24 million fish ( 25.69 million pounds; Tables 5 and 6 ). Recreational releases decreased for the third consecutive year to 7.81 million fish; releases peaked in 2006 at 25.88 million fish (Table 7). The 2009 recreational catch estimate of 9.73 million fish is the lowest on record since 1995, and represents a $66 \%$ decline from the peak in 2006 (Figure 5). Anglers are keeping more of the fish they catch in recent years; the proportion of catch that is released declined to $80 \%$ in 2009, the lowest since 1986 (Figure 5). Using a 9\% release mortality rate, recreational dead discards are estimated to be 0.70 million fish in 2009 (Table 7). Total recreational removals (landings and dead discards combined) in 2009 (2.62
million fish) decreased by over $20 \%$ from the previous year. Maryland landed the largest percent of the coastwide recreational landings in number of fish ( $27.6 \%$ ), followed by Massachusetts (17.5\%), New York (17.2\%), New Jersey (14.0\%), and Virginia (11.1\%). The remaining states each landed less than $4 \%$ of the 2009 recreational landings by number of fish. The recreational fishing year is from January 1 to December 31 in all jurisdictions.

See Figure 3 for the number of fish removed from the population by commercial and recreational harvest and discarding from 1982 to 2009 (except 2009 commercial discards).

## IV. Status of Assessment Advice

The 2009 Atlantic striped bass stock assessment is an update to the 2007 benchmark stock assessment (NEFSC 2008a, NEFSC 2008b). The benchmark assessment was favorably peer reviewed at the $46^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW). The Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC) identified several topics deserving special attention or improvement in future assessments, including: examining sensitivity of assessment results to discard estimates and improving those estimates; age determination for striped bass older than about age 10 ; extracting more information out of the young-of-year indices; employing better methods of averaging multiple survey indices; using regional surveys to get direct information about differences in recruitment levels for the sub-stocks of the fishery; and better standardization of state surveys (NEFSC 2008a). The SARC found that the SCA model "best estimated parameters that could be judged against the current biological benchmarks."

The SARC also advised the assessment team to re-estimate the F threshold (Fmsy) based on data and stock estimates from the SCA model, and link the female SSB target and threshold to the SCA model's 1995 SSB estimate. The assessment team undertook this work and in August 2008 the Board approved updated Amendment 6 BRPs (see Section II).

The next benchmark assessment is scheduled for peer review in June 2013 at the $56^{\text {th }}$ SAW.

## V. Status of Research and Monitoring

The management plan requires certain jurisdictions to implement fishery-dependent monitoring programs for striped bass. All jurisdictions with commercial fisheries or substantial recreational fisheries are required to define the catch composition of these fisheries. Jurisdictions with substantial commercial fisheries and those agencies monitoring recreational fisheries are required to gather representative catch and effort data for these fisheries.

The management plan also requires certain states to monitor the striped bass population independent of the fishery. Juvenile abundance indices are required from Maine (Kennebec River), New York (Hudson River), New Jersey (Delaware River), Maryland (Chesapeake Bay tributaries), Virginia (Chesapeake Bay tributaries), and North Carolina (Albemarle Sound). Spawning stock sampling is mandatory for New York (Hudson River), Pennsylvania (Delaware River), Delaware (Delaware River), Maryland (Upper Chesapeake Bay and Potomac River), Virginia (Rappahannock River and James River), and North Carolina (Roanoke River and Albemarle Sound). Amendment 6 requires NOAA Fisheries, USFWS, Massachusetts, New

York, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina to continue their tagging programs, which provide data used to determine survivorship and migration patterns.

## VI. Status of Management Measures and Issues

## Status of Amendment 6

Amendment 6 and Addendum I to Amendment 6 provided the regulatory measures in 2009. Management requirements include size limits, bag limits, coastal commercial quotas, and regulatory measures in the Chesapeake Bay and Albemarle Sound/Roanoke River set to not exceed target fishing mortality rates.

In May 2009, the Management Board initiated the development of an addendum to consider options to roll over unused coastal commercial quota up to fifty percent, and approved sending the draft addendum out for public comment in August 2009. In November 2009, the Board voted for status quo management in regards to unused quota rollover.

In February 2010, the Management Board initiated the development of an addendum to consider options to increase the coastal commercial quota. The Board approved the draft addendum for public comment in May 2010, with the addition of an option to consider adopting a Technical Committee recommendation to revise the JAI management trigger. Adopting the Technical Committee recommendation would modify the definition of recruitment failure, such that each index would have a fixed numerical value indicating failure, rather than one that changes from year to year. The Board will review the public comment and take action on Draft Addendum II in November 2010.

## Coastal Commercial Quota

Table 8 shows a history of coastal commercial quotas and harvests since the implementation of Amendment 6. In 2009, four states had coastal commercial quotas lower than their Amendment 6 allocation due to quota overages in 2008 and/or conservation equivalencies related to minimum size limits: Massachusetts (overage), Rhode Island (overage and size limit), New York (size limit), and Maryland (size limit).

In 2009, three states exceeded their coastal commercial quotas and should have their 2010 quotas reduced accordingly (Table 8). Massachusetts exceeded its adjusted coastal commercial quota by 22,010 pounds, resulting in an adjusted 2010 quota of $1,137,740$ pounds. Rhode Island exceeded its adjusted coastal commercial quota by 1,094 pounds, for an adjusted 2010 quota of 238,869 pounds. Maryland exceeded its commercial quota by 931 pounds, for an adjusted 2010 quota of 125,465 pounds.

## Chesapeake Bay Quota

Amendment 6 includes a separate management program for the Chesapeake Bay due to the size availability of striped bass in this area. Based on a target fishing mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}=0.27$, Maryland, Virginia, and the Potomac River Fisheries Commission (PRFC) annually establish a bay-wide quota for resident fish using the Harvest Control Model. In 2009, the bay-wide quota was $10,015,705$ pounds. Shares are allocated to Maryland ( $\sim 52 \%$ ), the PRFC ( $\sim 15 \%$ ), and Virginia ( $\sim 33 \%$ ) based on historical harvest, and each jurisdiction then allocates portions of the
quota to its recreational and commercial fisheries (Table 9). In 2009, the bay-wide harvest was 1.5 million pounds less than the quota. The 2010 Bay quota was set at $9,489,792$ pounds. The reduction in total allowable catch for 2010 was made to account for a slight decline in exploitable stock biomass of resident striped bass. This decline is a reflection of the fact that striped bass population in Chesapeake Bay in 2010 will be comprised of average or below average year classes. The fish from the strong year classes in 2001 and 2003 that supported the Bay fishery in recent years have migrated to the coastal waters.

## Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery

Recreational fishermen in the Chesapeake Bay are permitted to take adult migrant fish during a limited seasonal fishery, commonly referred to as the Spring Trophy Fishery. Staring in 1993, the fishery has been controlled by a Board-approved harvest cap: 3,000 fish in 1993, 5,000 fish in 1994, 25,000 fish in 1995, and 30,000 fish in 1996-2003. From 2004 to 2006, quotas were based on the number of age $8+$ striped bass in the population ( $0.95 \%$ ), as determined by virtual population analysis (VPA), minus any overage from the previous year. For the 2007 season, the Board approved a target harvest of 30,000 fish (VPA calculated quota minus the 2006 overage, to be no less than 30,000 fish). In 2008, the Board approved non-quota management for the 2008 season, and in 2009, extending non-quota management until stock assessment indicates that corrective action is necessary to reduce F on the coastal stock. After several years of varying size limits in Maryland and the Potomac River to account for quota overages, a 28 inch size limit has been in place since 2008; Virginia's trophy fish size limit has been higher at 32 inches. The trophy season in Virginia is also shorter.

In 2009, the estimate of migrant fish harvested during the trophy season is 90,782 fish $(90,539$ fish in Maryland [Horne et al. 2009] and 243 fish in Virginia [VA State compliance Report 2010]). In pounds of fish, the estimate is $1,617,310 \mathrm{lbs}$ total (1,613,665 lbs in Maryland and 3,645 lbs in Virginia). This is the largest spring migrant harvest on record; see Table 10 for a history of spring trophy fishery quotas and harvests. In Maryland, the break down between private angler and charter boat harvest is 77,799 fish to 12,740 fish, respectively. The estimate for Virginia is based on reports from 83 charter boat captains and 24 private anglers.

## Wave-1 Recreational Harvest Estimates

Anecdotal evidence suggests that North Carolina, Virginia, and possibly other states have had sizeable wave-1 (January/February) recreational striped bass fisheries beginning in 1996 (NEFSC 2008b). The Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS) has sampled for striped bass in North Carolina during wave-1 since 2004. Other states are not currently covered during wave-1. The Striped Bass Technical Committee estimated North Carolina wave-1 harvest for 1996 - 2003 and Virginia wave-1 harvest for 1996 - 2008 for the 2009 update assessment. Table 11 provides these estimates, and is updated with the 2009 and 2010 wave- 1 harvest estimates in North Carolina from the MRFSS. For this report, the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC) also provided preliminary estimates for wave-1 harvest in Virginia for 2009 and 2010 that are developed with the use of tag return data in Virginia and North Carolina. Two methods were used and thus two estimates are provided for each year in Table 11; the Technical Committee will determine final estimates for Virginia's wave-1 harvest prior to the next stock assessment.

## Law Enforcement

The ASMFC Law Enforcement Committee provided the following report.
Striped bass enforcement along the Atlantic Coast continues to have mixed results. Some areas have generally good compliance with regulations and easy enforcement while enforcement is more difficult in other areas. Maine is an example where there is generally high compliance and regulations are easily enforced. Striped bass fishing in Maine is exclusively recreational with one set of regulations. However, the Maine/New Hampshire border continues to be difficult to enforce with different regulations in each state. A differing regulation between border states continues to cause confusion between anglers, reduces support for fisheries management plans, and creates difficult enforcement situations for law enforcement officers in some areas.

Other areas have improved compliance through extensive enforcement efforts that include auditing commercial records, covert operations, and high visibility patrols. The Chesapeake Bay region is one area that has seen improved compliance in the commercial fishery and some successes in specific areas of recreational fisheries. The impacts from high profile enforcement activities are historically of short to medium duration (a few years) unless backfilled with improved monitoring and correction of regulatory deficiencies. It is doubtful that there will be significant increases in enforcement presence in the near future and member states' ability to conduct covert operations or auditing of commercial records is limited at this time. At least one state still does not require tagging of commercially caught striped bass and several states that require tagging allow dealers to be the monitor of tags and fish with little to no auditing. Maryland recently passed new regulations clarifying and improving enforcement officers' authority to inspect striped bass dealers, their vehicles, and storage areas, as well as imposing significant administrative penalties for refusing to allow inspection. This language is also in the checking station and dealers license agreements that are signed by the business operators. Another improvement is the revamped fine schedule and revised point system which has already resulted in significant license suspensions for chronic violators.

The other major enforcement issue is the uncontrolled targeting of striped bass in the EEZ during the migration of spawning stock up and down the coast. Major efforts to reduce this fishery have not yielded sufficient results to provide effective deterrence. NOAA Summary Settlement Fines have been doubled but are often considered just the cost of fishing. Although there may be significant cases in the future that originate in the EEZ with heavy fines and other sanctions, it is doubtful that this will have a significant long-term effect on compliance. Other regulatory approaches should be considered to improve compliance and reduce illegal fishing in the EEZ including:

1. A season limiting the days when fish can be caught in state waters. This will reduce the days that boats returning to port with striped bass can claim them to be from state waters. Enforcement efforts could then be concentrated for shorter periods of time to restrict fishermen to state waters.
2. Working towards a uniform season that includes opening the EEZ with similar adjacent state regulations.

In summary, law enforcement efforts on striped bass remain a priority along the Atlantic Coast. Obtaining high compliance has been problematic in certain areas. Estimates of an illegal harvest of less than $10 \%$ may be well below the actual illegal harvest according to most states. Enforcement effort has been relatively constant each year even with increasing budget constraints.

## Juvenile Abundance Indices

In response to the suite of management triggers introduced in Amendment 6, the Technical Committee annually examines the trends in all required JAI surveys. The Technical Committee is to recommend appropriate action to the Management Board if any JAI shows recruitment failure for three consecutive years. Recruitment failure is defined as a JAI lower than $75 \%$ of all other values in the dataset. (This definition is proposed for modification as part of Draft Addendum II; see Section VI - Status of Amendment 6.) The geometric mean is the preferred index of young-of-year striped bass abundance to model stock status.

For the 2010 review of the JAIs, the trigger analysis was performed with the 2007, 2008, and 2009 index values. Three consecutive years of recruitment failure did not occur in any of the surveyed areas, thus no action is triggered. Single years of recruitment failure did occur in Maine (2007), Maryland (2008), and North Carolina (2009).

## Albemarle/Roanoke Striped Bass FMP

The Interstate FMP for Atlantic Striped Bass requires North Carolina to inform the Commission of changes to striped bass management in the Albemarle Sound/Roanoke River (A/R) System. North Carolina must adhere to the compliance criteria in Amendment 6. After a Technical Committee review, the PRT previously determined that North Carolina's FMP complies with the mandatory components of Amendment 6.

The A/R System is managed jointly for striped bass by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Marine Fisheries, which manages the Albemarle Sound Management Area (ASMA), and the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, Division of Inland Fisheries, which manages the Roanoke River Management Area (RRMA). The 2004 FMP, which updated the 1994 FMP, set a target fishing mortality rate equal to 0.22 and threshold spawning stock biomass equal to 400,000 pounds for the $A / R$ System. The annual total allowable catch (TAC) of 550,000 pounds is allocated evenly between the recreational and commercial fisheries, with $25 \%$ for the RRMA recreational fishery, $25 \%$ for the ASMA recreational fishery, and $50 \%$ for the ASMA commercial fishery.

Total 2009 harvest in the A/R System was estimated at 203,028 pounds, an increase from the 144,279 pounds harvested in 2008, but still 148,000 pounds below the 2009 TAC. Each sector harvested within its quota allocation. An additional 70,040 pounds of estimated bycatch mortality was reported.

A peer-reviewed statistical catch at age stock assessment model was completed in 2010 (see Section II for more results), at which time a Plan Development Team and Advisory Committee (AC) were convened to review the 2004 NC Estuarine Striped Bass FMP. The FMP revision is well underway with a draft FMP scheduled to be presented to the AC in early 2011.

## VII. Annual State Compliance

Based on the annual state compliance reports, the Plan Review Team determines that each state/jurisdiction implemented a management program for 2009 that was approved by the Striped Bass Management Board and was consistent with the requirements of Amendment 6. Refer to Tables 1 and 2 for state-by-state regulations.

The following regulatory changes for 2009 were documented in the 2010 compliance reports:

- Pennsylvania revised its recreational regulations from two fish at 28 inches year round except for closures in January through February and April through May to two fish at 28 inches year round except from April through May when two fish at $20-26$ inches are allowed. This modification was approved by the Management Board in October 2008.
- Delaware revised its recreational regulations from two fish at 28 inches year round to two fish at 28 inches except during July and August in the Delaware River, Bay and Tributaries, when two fish at $20-26$ inches are allowed. This modification was approved by the Management Board in October 2008.
- The Chesapeake Bay spring trophy fishery operated without a quota or target in 2009. Minimum size, creel, and season regulations were in place. The fishery also operated without a quota or target in 2008, but a separate proposal was approved for 2009 and future years.
- North Carolina implemented a new gear requirement for the Atlantic Ocean commercial beach seine fishery. Beach seines must be constructed of twine size no smaller than \#9 ( 0.042 inches) in the wings and \#12 (0.046 inches) in the bunt; seines must be constructed of stretched mesh size of 7 to 10 inches (inclusive) and shall be no more than 30 meshes deep. The gear changes were intended to reduce interactions with marine mammals in the beach seine fishery.

Following the first full year of implementation of an alternative management program approved by the Management Board, the PRT is responsible for evaluating the effects of the program. The PRT can not evaluate the effect of the slot limit in Pennsylvania because no work was done in 2009 to characterize the recreational harvest (and the area is not covered by MRFSS/MRIP). In Delaware, under the new slot limit, recreational harvest increased $28 \%$ from 16,994 fish in 2008 to 21,762 fish in 2009. An increase in harvest was expected, and the actual increase is not of a level that causes concern with the PRT. As might also be expected, recreational releases in Delaware decreased (by 41\%), although releases decreased in every state in 2009. Without a quota in 2009, the Chesapeake Bay spring trophy fishery harvest increased to 90,782 fish in 2009, a 2.5 fold increase in harvest from 2008. The PRT has some concern about this harvest estimate given that the fish are predominantly large and mature females, estimated female SSB has declined slightly in recent years, and the young-of-year index in Maryland has generally declined since 2000.

The following regulatory changes for 2010 were documented in the 2010 compliance reports:

- Massachusetts decreased the 2010 commercial quota to account for overage in 2009.
- Rhode Island revised the opening date for the general category's first sub-period from June 1 to June 6, and decreased the 2010 commercial quota to account for overage in 2009.
- Rhode Island eliminated the split season for the trap fishery that set aside 10,000 pounds of the gear's quota for the last few months of the year. Instead, the gear's whole quota is allowed during January 1 to December 31. The rule implementing a 500 pound limit per fish trap license per calendar day once eighty percent ( $80 \%$ ) of the seasonal allocation is projected to be harvested remains in place.
- Maryland decreased the 2010 commercial quota to account for overage in 2009.
- Maryland implemented new regulations to control pre-season catch and release effort in all areas during the pre-spawn season period of March 1 through the third Friday in April. The regulation prohibits the use of stinger hooks, requires barbless hooks to be used when trolling, requires the use of circle hooks or J hooks with a gap less than $1 / 2$ " when using bait, and restricts boats to the use of no more than six lines when trolling, regardless of the number of individuals on board the vessel.
- North Carolina implemented a new Atlantic Ocean commercial fishery regulation requiring commercial fishing license holders to declare which of the three fisheries (beach seine, gill net, or trawl) they will be participating in for 2009/2010 quota season. Once declared, they must remain in that fishery for the next three years. Bag limits will be set based on number of participants. The seasons will be opened and closed by proclamation.

The New York report also indicated that a proposal is being considered to increase the minimum size limit in the Hudson River from 18 inches to 28 inches, or to have a slot limit, and keep the one fish creel limit. No change to season length is being considered. The proposal would include a requirement for use of circle hooks in bait fisheries, a prohibition on use of treble hooks, and a fee permit in for hire businesses with a mandatory requirement of those businesses to participate with the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program for hire survey.

It should also be noted that the Management Board approved a conservation equivalency proposal from New Jersey in May 2010 that would permit anglers to take 1 fish at 24 inches or greater and 1 fish at 32 inches or greater (rather than 2 fish at 28 inches or greater). The report from New Jersey indicated that there is no timetable for adopting the new size limits, but the process of adoption may begin in late 2010 or early 2011. The Management Board requested that the Technical Committee re-evaluate the conservation equivalency of the alternative measure three years post-implementation.

Amendment 6 includes compliance requirements for monitoring programs (summarized in Section V). Compliance with these requirements is summarized in Table 12. The PRT found that all states carried out the required monitoring programs in the 2009 fishing year, although processing of scale samples in Rhode Island is behind schedule.

The following monitoring program changes were documented in the 2010 compliance reports or provided via personal communication:

- New York: Reporting on Hudson River shad fishery bycatch data after 2009 will be discontinued. NYSDEC recently closed the commercial gill net fishery in the river due to the poor condition of the Hudson River American shad stock.
- New York: The Western Long Island sub-adult survey will now be funded under the Dingell-Johnson Sportfish Restoration Act (Wallop-Breaux), and there are some NOAANMFS finds available to conduct the coastal ocean trawl survey. It was previously reported that the continuation of these surveys was in jeopardy because they did not receive funds in New York's 2009-2010 budget.
- New Jersey: New protocols for biological sampling will be instituted in order to streamline the collection process and eliminate duplicate data or data not being used for the coastal assessment. A recent decrease in samples sizes has necessitated a change in the methods used to collect samples by developing a new long-term plan. This plan would accurately characterize New Jersey specific stock characteristics as well as be a benefit for the coastwide assessment. New Jersey will attempt to collect additional samples in the spring by targeting fishing clubs, tournaments and charter boats with a goal of at least 100 fish over 35 inches. Staff has also developed a program to enhance data collection in the fall that includes obtaining samples from tournaments, charter/party boats, and bait and tackle shops. Reasonable goals have been selected based on a preliminary program in 2009.
- New Jersey: In 2005, striped bass tagging during the January, June and August cruises of the Ocean Trawl Survey was eliminated due to low or sporadic samples sizes in most years. New Jersey will also discontinue tagging during the April and October cruises in 2010. Analysis of the 2009 release and recapture data from the April and October cruises and comparison to the results of Delaware Bay tagging indicated that the data are of little use (duplicative or with limited variability). Additionally, the tag data from the Ocean Trawl Survey has not been used in any coastal stock assessments for striped bass.
- Pennsylvania: Returned to sampling each of the 21 sites in the spawning stock survey twice in 2009, as done in 1995-2003, 2005, and 2007. The sites were sampled once in 2004, 2006, and 2008 (with approval by the Commission) to allow staff time to search for additional sites upstream of the spawning stock assessment areas where spawning may also be occurring during a narrow window. In 2010, Pennsylvania will sample each site twice, and also electrofish a potential spawning site upriver and immediately below Trenton Falls in order to identify the upriver extent of the major spawning activity in the Delaware River/Estuary.
- District of Columbia: Will modify its seining survey in 2010 in an effort to consolidate efforts and enhance useable data. Instead of sampling once per month from March to November, sampling will occur twice per month from June through September, as a means of establishing a more directed focus on young-of-year. DC will also intensify its springtime sampling of adults via electrofishing in 2010.
- Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruise: The 2010 Cruise (the $23^{\text {rd }}$ ) was conducted February 18-25 aboard the National Science Foundation Research Vessel Cape Hatteras. This was the third year overall and the second in a row that the RV Cape Hatteras was used; the Cruise has most often been conducted aboard the NOAA RV Oregon II. The 2010 Cruise was conducted later than any other cruise in the time series due to a funding delay. The Cruise is undergoing a program study by NMFS, USFWS, and ASMFC to evaluate the
efficiency of the study design and the relative importance of the data collected on multiple species.

Amendment 6 requires that all state programs include law enforcement capabilities adequate for successfully implementing state striped bass regulations. The adequacy of a state's enforcement activity is monitored annually by reports of the ASMFC Law Enforcement Committee. The Law Enforcement Report is provided in Section VI.

## VIII. Recommendations

## Management Recommendations

- The PRT recommends that the Management Board request Technical Committee input on the stock assessment schedule, specifically whether an update assessment prior to the 2013 benchmark assessment is warranted.
- The PRT recommends that the Management Board request Technical Committee input on the 2009 Chesapeake Bay spring trophy fishery, specifically whether the harvest of over 90,000 large, mature fish in 2009 is cause for concern. The harvest is more than double that in 2008. The PRT notes that Maryland's young-of-year index shows a declining trend since 2000.


## Research Recommendations

## STOCK ASSESSMENT AND POPULATION DYNAMICS

## High Priority

- Develop method to integrate catch-at-age and tagging models to produce a single estimate of F and stock status (ongoing, G. Nelson).
- Develop a spatial and temporal catch at age model incorporating tag-based movement information.
- Develop methods for combining tag results from programs releasing fish from different areas on different dates.
- Examine potential biases associated with the number of tagged individuals, such as gearspecific mortality (associated with trawls, pound nets, gill nets, and electrofishing), taginduced mortality, and tag loss.
- Continue improvements to statistical catch-at-age model as recommended by $46^{\text {th }}$ SARC (e.g., include error from catch estimates, fit each sector of removals individually, run additional diagnostics, account for spatial differences in indices, incorporate stockrecruitment relationship).
- Review model averaging approach to estimate annual fishing mortality with tag-based models; review validity and sensitivity to year groupings.
- Evaluate to what extent rising natural mortality (M) among Chesapeake Bay stripers affects the existing F and SSB thresholds, which are based on a fixed M assumption ( $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ ).


## Medium Priority

- Improve methods for determining population sex ratio for use in estimates of spawning stock biomass and biological reference points.
- Evaluate the overfishing definition relative to uncertainty in biological parameters.
- Develop studies to provide information on gear-specific discard morality rates and to determine the magnitude of bycatch mortality (ongoing, G. Nelson).
- Develop refined and cost-efficient fisheries-independent coastal population index for striped bass stocks.
- Examine methods to estimate annual variation in natural mortality (ongoing, Striped Bass Tagging Subcommittee).
- Examine causes of different tag-based survival estimates among programs estimating similar segments of the population.
- Evaluate truncated matrices and covariate-based tagging models.
- Develop reliable estimates of poaching loss from striped bass fisheries.
- Develop maturity ogive applicable to coastal migratory stock.
- Improve estimates of striped bass harvest removals in coastal areas during wave 1 and in inland waters of all jurisdictions year-round.
- Develop tag-based reference points.


## Low Priority

- Develop simulation models to look at the implications of overfishing definitions relative to development of a striped bass population that will provide "quality" fishing. Quality fishing must first be defined.
- Examine issues with time saturated tagging models for the $\geq 18$ inch length group.


## RESEARCH AND DATA NEEDS

## High Priority

- Continue in-depth analysis of migrations, stock compositions, etc. using mark-recapture data (ongoing, e.g., Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruise 23 Year Report, W. Laney)
- Continue evaluation of striped bass dietary needs and relation to health condition.
- Continue analysis to determine linkages between the mycobacteriosis outbreak in Chesapeake Bay and sex ratio of Chesapeake spawning stock, Chesapeake juvenile production, and recruitment success into coastal fisheries.
- Develop field or modeling studies to aid in estimation of natural mortality or other factors affecting the tag return rate.


## Medium Priority

- Continue to conduct research to determine limiting factors affecting recruitment and possible density implications.
- Evaluate the percentage of fishermen using circle hooks.
- Conduct study to calculate the emigration rates from producer areas now that population levels are high and conduct multi-year study to determine inter-annual variation in emigration rates.
- Examine the potential public health trade-offs between the continued reliance on the use of high minimum size limits (28 inches) on coastal recreational anglers and its long-term effects on enhanced PCB contamination among recreational stakeholders.


## Low Priority

- Determine inherent viability of eggs and larvae.
- Conduct additional research to determine the pathogenicity of the IPN virus isolated from striped bass to other warm water marine species, such as flounder, menhaden, shad, and largemouth bass.


## Habitat Recommendations

A comprehensive list of habitat research, conservation, and restoration recommendations is provided in Greene et al. (2009).
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## X. Figures

Figure 1. Striped bass spawning stock biomass (SSB) estimates and biological reference points Source: ASMFC 2009


Figure 2. Striped bass fishing mortality ( $F$ ) estimates from the statistical-catch-at-age (SCA) model and the tag-based catch-equation (CE) model, and biological reference points Source: ASMFC 2009


Figure 3. Commercial and recreational landings and dead discards, 1982-2009
Sources: personal communication with NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division; State Compliance Reports; ASMFC 2009 Note: 2009 commercial discard estimate unavailable


Figure 4. Striped bass total catch in 2008 by fishery component
Source: ASMFC 2009


Figure 5. Recreational catch and the proportion of fish released, 1982-2009
Source: personal communication with NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, Silver Spring, MD


## XI. Tables

Table 1. Summary of Atlantic Striped Bass Commercial Regulations in 2009

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | SEASONAL QUOTA | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| NH | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| MA | $34 "$ min. | $1,159,750 \mathrm{lb}$. (minus any overage from previous year) <br> Hook \& line only | 7.12 until quota reached (August 26 in 2009); 5 fish/day on Sun; 30 fish/day Tues-Thurs |
| RI | Floating fish trap: 26" min. <br> General category (mostly rod \& reel): 34 " min. | Total: 239,963 lb. (minus any overage from previous year) <br> Split 39:61 between trap and general category. <br> Gill netting prohibited. | Trap: 1.1 until quota reached; if $80 \%$ quota harvested before 8.26 , a $500 \mathrm{lb} /$ trap/day limit is imposed; from 8.27-12.31, 10,000 lb. quota set-aside available. General Category: 6.1-8.31 or 75\% quota; 9.13-12.31 or $100 \%$ quota; 5 fish/day Sun-Thu. |
| CT | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| NY | $24-36^{\prime \prime}$ <br> Ocean only <br> (Hudson River closed to commercial harvest) | $828,293 \mathrm{lb}$. (minus any overage from previous year). Pound nets, gill nets (68 "stretched mesh), hook \& line. | $7.1-12.15$ <br> Gill nets $<6$ or $>8$ ", 7 fish/trip; trawls 21 fish/trip. Gill nets prohibited in Great South, South Oyster, and Hempstead Bays. |
| NJ | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| PA | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| DE | $28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum except $20 "$ spring gillnet in DE Bay/River \& Nanticoke River (5.5" max mesh \& 0.28 mm max twine) | 193,447 lb. (minus any overage from previous year) | Gillnet: 2.15-5.31 (3.1-31 for Nanticoke) \& 11.1512.31; drift nets only 2.15-28 \& 5.1-31; no fixed nets in DE River <br> Hook and Line: 4.1-12.31 <br> Except 4.1-5.31 closed spawning areas |
| MD | Bay and Rivers: 1836" <br> Ocean: 24" | Bay and River: 2,254,831 lbs (part of Baywide quota) Gear specific quotas and landing limits Ocean: $126,396 \mathrm{lb}$. (minus any overage from previous year) | Bay Pound Net: 6.1-11.30, Mon-Sat <br> Bay Haul Seine: 6.8-11.30, Mon-Fri <br> Bay Hook \& Line: 6.15-11.30, Mon-Thu <br> Bay Drift Gill Net: 1.1-2.28, 12.1-12.31, Mon-Fri <br> Ocean Drift Gill Net \& Trawl: 1.1-4.30, 11.2-12.31, <br> Mon-Fri |

(Table 1 continued - Summary of commercial regulations in 2009)

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | SEASONAL QUOTA | OPEN SEASON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRFC | $18 "$ min all year <br> $36 "$ max 2.15-3.25 | 835,960 lbs (part of Baywide quota) | Hook \& line: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.31 <br> Pound Net \& Other: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.15 <br> Gill Net: 1.1-3.25 |
| DC | Commercial fishing prohibited | Bay and Rivers: 2.1-12.31 |  |
| VA | Bay and Rivers: 18" min, <br>  <br> complimentary gill net <br> mesh size limit 3.26-6.15 <br> Ocean: 28" minimum | Bay and Rivers: 1,642,242 lbs (part of <br> Baywide quota) <br> Ocean: 184,853 lb. (minus any overage <br> from previous year) | Ocean: 2.1-12.31 |
| NC | Albemarle Sound: 18"  <br> Ocean: 28" Albemarle Sound: 275,000 lb <br> Ocean: 480,480 lb. (minus any overage <br> from previous year) split 160,160 lbs each <br> to beach seine, gill net \& trawlAlbemarle Sound: 1.1-4.30, 10.1-12.31; daily trip <br> limit ranging from 5 to 15 fish; striped bass cannot <br> exceed 50\% by weight of total finfish harvest; season <br> and daily trip limits set by proclamation. <br> Ocean: gear requirements; open days and trip limits <br> for beach seine, gill net, and trawl set via <br> proclamation |  |  |

Table 2. Summary of Atlantic Striped Bass Recreational Regulations in 2009

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | BAG LIMIT | OTHER | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | $20-26$ " OR $\geq 40$ " | 1 fish | Hook \& line only | All year, except spawning areas are closed $12.1-4.30$ and catch and release only 5.1 $-6.30$ |
| NH | $1 \text { fish } 28-40^{\prime \prime} \& 1 \text { fish } 28^{\prime \prime}$ $\min$ | 2 fish | No netting; no gaffing; must be landed with head and tail intact; no culling | All year |
| MA | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish | Hook \& line only | All year |
| RI | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish |  | All year |
| CT | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish |  | All year |
| NY | Ocean Private: 1 fish 28-40" \& 1 fish $>40$ " <br> Ocean Charter: $28^{\prime \prime}$ min Hudson River: 18" min DE River: 28 " min | Ocean: 2 fish <br> Hudson R.: 1 fish <br> DE River: 2 fish | Angling or spearing only | Ocean: 4.15 - 12.15 <br> Hudson River: 3.16-11.30 <br> Delaware River: All year |
| NJ | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish, plus 1 additional through Bonus Program | Bonus program quota: 321,750 lb. <br> No netting. Non-offset circle hooks required 4.1-5.31 in DE River if using natural bait. | All year except 1.1-2.28 in intra-coastal waters plus 4.1-5.31 in lower DE River |
| PA | Non-tidal DE River: 28" min; Delaware Estuary: 28" min. except 20-26" from 4.1-5.31 | 2 fish |  | Year round |
| DE | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min. except 20-26" from 7.1-8.31 in Del. River, Bay \& tributaries | 2 fish | Hook \& line, spear (for divers) only. Circle hooks required in spawning season. | All year except 4.1-5.31 in spawning grounds (catch \& release allowed) |

(Table 2 continued - Summary of recreational regulations in 2009)

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | BAG LIMIT | OTHER | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD | Susquehanna Flats: 18-26" <br> Chesapeake Bay Trophy: 28 " min <br> Chesapeake Bay Regular: $18 "$ min, $28 "$ max for 1 fish Ocean: $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | Susquehanna Flats: 1 fish Chesapeake Bay Trophy: 1 fish Chesapeake Bay Regular: 2 fish Ocean: 2 fish | SF: non-off set circle hook if baited hooks \& gap>0.5" Chesapeake Bay Quota: 2,956,463 lbs (part of Baywide quota; includes Susquehanna Flats harvest, excludes trophy harvest) | Susquehanna Flats: 3.1-5.31; catch \& release only 3.1-5.9 <br> Chesapeake Bay Trophy: 4.18-5.15 (most tribs closed) <br> Chesapeake Bay Regular: 5.16-12.15 (most tribs closed until 6.1) <br> Ocean: All year |
| PRFC | Trophy: 28" <br> Regular: 18" min, 28" max for 1 fish | Trophy: 1 fish Regular: 2 fish | Quota: 683,967 lbs. (part of Baywide quota; excludes trophy harvest) | Trophy: 4.18-5.15 <br> Regular: 5.16-12.31 |
| DC | $18^{\prime \prime}$ min, 28 " max for 1 fish | 2 fish | Hook \& line only | 5.16-12.31 |
| VA | Bay/Coastal Trophy: 32" $\min$ ( 28 " Potomac tribs) CB Spring: 18-28"; 1 fish >32" <br> CB Fall: 18-28"; 1 fish $>34$ " <br> Potomac Tribs: 18-28"; 1 fish $>28$ " <br> Ocean: 28" | Bay/Coastal Trophy: 1 fish <br> CB Spring: 2 fish <br> CB Fall: 2 fish Potomac Tribs: 2 fish Ocean: 2 fish | Hook \& line, rod \& reel, hand line only <br> Chesapeake Bay Quota: <br> 1,642,242 lbs (part of <br> Baywide quota; excludes trophy harvest) | Bay/Coastal Trophy: 5.1-5.15 (open 4.18 Potomac tribs), closed spawning areas CB Spring: 5.16-6.15 (no fish $>32^{\prime \prime}$ in spawning areas) <br> CB Fall: 10.4-12.31 <br> Potomac Tribs: 5.16-12.31 <br> Ocean: 1.1-3.31, 5.16-12.31 |
| NC | Roanoke River: 2 fish 1822 " OR 1 fish 18-22" and 1 fish $>27^{\prime \prime}$ <br> Albemarle Sound: $18 "$ min. <br> Ocean: $28 "$ min | Roanoke River: 2 fish <br> Albemarle Sound: 3 fish Ocean: 2 fish | Roanoke River quota: 137,500 lb. <br> Albemarle Sound quota: 137,500 lb. | Roanoke River: 3.1-4.30 (single barbless hook required 3.1-6.30 from Roanoke Rapids dam downstream to US 258 bridge) <br> Albemarle Sound: Spring 1.1-4.30; Fall 10.1-12.31 <br> Ocean: All year |

Table 3. Commercial harvest (pounds) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2009
Source: State Compliance Reports

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | PRFC | VA | NC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 |  |  | 643,100 | 270,300 | 6,000 | 470,900 |  | 25,700 | 478,000 | 136,053 | 53,683 | 92,462 | 2,176,198 |
| 1983 |  |  | 224,000 | 196,400 | 2,200 | 309,500 |  | 6,800 | 379,000 | 164,245 | 54,349 | 52,796 | 1,389,290 |
| 1984 |  |  | 107,200 | 54,500 | 2,000 | 595,300 |  |  | 816,000 | 783,140 | 15,351 | 14,501 | 2,387,992 |
| 1985 | 1,414 |  | 118,800 | 61,200 | 5,500 | 469,040 |  |  |  | 222,196 | 59,577 |  | 937,727 |
| 1986 |  |  | 97,300 | 11,100 |  | 1,100 |  |  |  | 29,370 | 1,205 |  | 140,075 |
| 1987 |  |  | 78,600 | 500 |  |  |  |  |  | 57,945 | 2,178 |  | 139,223 |
| 1988 |  |  | 79,553 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115,251 | 62,095 |  | 256,899 |
| 1989 |  |  | 199,900 |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200,200 |
| 1990 |  | 37 | 148,000 | 4,000 |  | 81,870 |  | 6,509 | 2,887 | 169,060 | 267,735 | 9,797 | 689,895 |
| 1991 |  |  | 235,000 | 28,000 |  | 105,163 |  | 21,079 | 191,066 | 216,755 | 668,454 | 6,186 | 1,471,703 |
| 1992 |  |  | 239,200 | 39,000 |  | 226,611 |  | 17,795 | 552,451 | 127,398 | 204,338 | 27,702 | 1,434,495 |
| 1993 |  |  | 262,600 | 40,000 |  | 109,362 |  | 28,032 | 916,764 | 142,742 | 213,665 | 36,463 | 1,749,628 |
| 1994 |  |  | 199,600 | 39,810 |  | 171,279 |  | 33,897 | 884,970 | 149,891 | 204,124 | 92,605 | 1,776,176 |
| 1995 |  |  | 782,000 | 113,461 |  | 500,784 |  | 38,198 | 856,568 | 198,478 | 557,741 | 343,707 | 3,390,937 |
| 1996 |  |  | 696,815 | 122,562 |  | 504,350 |  | 117,560 | 1,523,293 | 346,834 |  | 55,771 | 3,367,185 |
| 1997 |  |  | 785,942 | 96,519 |  | 460,762 |  | 165,978 | 2,030,061 | 731,114 | 1,153,743 | 458,524 | 5,882,643 |
| 1998 |  |  | 822,000 | 94,663 |  | 484,900 |  | 163,169 | 2,368,393 | 726,179 | 1,476,502 | 308,068 | 6,443,874 |
| 1999 |  | 33 | 788,171 | 119,679 |  | 491,790 |  | 187,096 | 2,377,393 | 653,266 | 1,538,220 | 389,454 | 6,545,102 |
| 2000 |  |  | 779,736 | 111,812 |  | 542,659 |  | 140,634 | 2,411,554 | 666,001 | 1,883,856 | 162,736 | 6,698,988 |
| 2001 |  |  | 815,054 | 129,654 |  | 633,095 |  | 198,802 | 1,774,758 | 658,676 | 1,675,469 | 350,280 | 6,235,788 |
| 2002 |  |  | 924,870 | 129,172 |  | 518,573 |  | 160,560 | 1,852,634 | 521,048 | 1,592,910 | 299,508 | 5,999,275 |
| 2003 |  |  | 1,055,439 | 246,312 |  | 753,261 |  | 188,419 | 1,813,727 | 676,574 | 1,856,831 | 482,123 | 7,072,686 |
| 2004 |  | 203 | 1,206,305 | 245,204 |  | 741,668 |  | 181,974 | 1,899,539 | 772,333 | 1,668,307 | 604,824 | 7,320,357 |
| 2005 |  |  | 1,104,737 | 242,303 |  | 689,821 |  | 173,815 | 2,055,558 | 533,456 | 1,746,247 | 588,601 | 7,134,538 |
| 2006 |  |  | 1,312,168 | 238,797 |  | 688,446 |  | 185,987 | 2,207,350 | 673,508 | 1,413,914 | 63,458 | 6,783,628 |
| 2007 |  |  | 1,040,328 | 240,627 |  | 729,743 |  | 188,668 | 2,336,886 | 599,261 | 1,534,799 | 380,380 | 7,050,692 |
| 2008 |  |  | 1,160,122 | 245,988 |  | 653,100 |  | 188,719 | 2,326,023 | 611,789 | 1,714,564 | 288,410 | 7,188,715 |
| 2009 |  |  | 1,138,291 | 234,368 |  | 789,891 |  | 192,311 | 2,394,620 | 727,197 | 1,549,145 | 189,995 | 7,215,818 |

Notes: All harvests are based on the calendar year.

Table 4. Commercial harvest (numbers) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2009, and annual dead discard estimates Sources: State compliance reports (landings); ASMFC 2009 (dead discards)

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | PRFC | VA | NC | Total | Dead Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 |  |  | 26,183 | 52,896 | 207 | 74,935 |  | 12,794 | 189,089 | 54,421 | 14,905 | 3,200 | 428,630 | 57,624 |
| 1983 |  |  | 9,528 | 48,173 | 83 | 66,334 |  | 5,806 | 147,079 | 63,171 | 15,962 | 1,405 | 357,541 | 40,127 |
| 1984 |  |  | 5,838 | 8,878 | 192 | 70,472 |  | 12,832 | 392,696 | 372,924 | 6,507 | 532 | 870,871 | 65,639 |
| 1985 | 90 |  | 7,601 | 7,173 | 350 | 52,048 |  | 1,359 |  | 82,550 | 23,450 |  | 174,621 | 62,734 |
| 1986 |  |  | 3,797 | 2,668 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,965 | 251 |  | 17,681 | 174,024 |
| 1987 |  |  | 3,284 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,884 | 361 |  | 13,552 | 125,066 |
| 1988 |  |  | 3,388 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,334 | 10,588 |  | 33,310 | 245,552 |
| 1989 |  |  | 7,402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,402 | 338,827 |
| 1990 |  |  | 5,927 | 784 |  | 11,784 |  | 698 | 534 | 38,884 | 56,222 | 803 | 115,636 | 510,011 |
| 1991 |  |  | 9,901 | 3,596 |  | 15,426 |  | 3,091 | 31,880 | 44,521 | 44,970 | 413 | 153,798 | 327,167 |
| 1992 |  |  | 11,532 | 9,095 |  | 20,150 |  | 2,703 | 119,286 | 23,291 | 42,912 | 1,745 | 230,714 | 186,601 |
| 1993 |  |  | 13,099 | 6,294 |  | 11,181 |  | 4,273 | 211,089 | 24,451 | 39,059 | 3,414 | 312,860 | 347,839 |
| 1994 |  |  | 11,066 | 4,512 |  | 15,212 |  | 4,886 | 208,914 | 25,196 | 32,382 | 5,275 | 307,443 | 359,518 |
| 1995 |  |  | 44,965 | 19,722 |  | 43,704 |  | 5,565 | 280,051 | 29,308 | 88,274 | 23,325 | 534,914 | 515,454 |
| 1996 |  |  | 38,354 | 18,570 |  | 39,707 |  | 20,660 | 415,272 | 46,309 | 184,495 | 3,151 | 766,518 | 394,824 |
| 1997 |  |  | 44,841 | 7,061 |  | 37,852 |  | 33,223 | 656,416 | 87,643 | 165,583 | 25,562 | 1,058,181 | 216,743 |
| 1998 |  |  | 43,315 | 8,835 |  | 45,149 |  | 31,386 | 780,893 | 93,299 | 204,911 | 16,040 | 1,223,828 | 326,031 |
| 1999 |  |  | 40,838 | 11,559 |  | 49,795 |  | 34,841 | 650,022 | 90,575 | 205,143 | 21,010 | 1,103,783 | 236,620 |
| 2000 |  |  | 40,256 | 9,418 |  | 54,894 |  | 25,188 | 627,777 | 91,471 | 202,227 | 6,480 | 1,057,711 | 666,996 |
| 2001 |  |  | 40,248 | 10,917 |  | 58,296 |  | 34,373 | 538,808 | 87,809 | 148,346 | 22,936 | 941,733 | 310,900 |
| 2002 |  |  | 44,897 | 11,653 |  | 47,142 |  | 30,440 | 296,635 | 80,300 | 127,211 | 15,784 | 654,062 | 168,201 |
| 2003 |  |  | 55,433 | 15,497 |  | 68,354 |  | 31,530 | 439,482 | 83,090 | 161,778 | 13,823 | 868,987 | 262,078 |
| 2004 |  |  | 60,632 | 16,040 |  | 70,367 |  | 28,406 | 461,064 | 91,980 | 147,998 | 31,014 | 907,501 | 518,847 |
| 2005 |  |  | 59,966 | 14,949 |  | 70,560 |  | 26,336 | 569,964 | 80,615 | 119,244 | 26,572 | 968,206 | 776,951 |
| 2006 |  |  | 69,986 | 15,429 |  | 73,528 |  | 30,212 | 655,951 | 92,288 | 109,395 | 2,798 | 1,049,587 | 216,753 |
| 2007 |  |  | 54,265 | 12,205 |  | 78,287 |  | 30,717 | 598,495 | 86,695 | 140,602 | 16,621 | 1,017,887 | 726,700 |
| 2008 |  |  | 61,076 | 16,616 |  | 73,263 |  | 31,866 | 594,655 | 81,720 | 134,603 | 12,903 | 1,006,702 | 395,400 |
| 2009 |  |  | 59,258 | 16,800 |  | 82,574 |  | 21,590 | 618,076 | 89,693 | 138,304 | 9,032 | 1,035,327 | NA |

Note: All harvests are based on the calendar year.
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Table 5. Recreational harvest (pounds) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2009
Source: personal communication with NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, Silver Spring, MD

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 2,663 |  | 2,003,948 | 16,012 | 110,964 | 61,438 | 327,024 |  |  |  |  | 2,522,049 |
| 1983 | 13,031 | 7,061 | 248,917 | 16,340 | 310,798 | 275,033 | 1,662,403 | 29 | 149,351 |  |  | 2,682,963 |
| 1984 |  |  | 33,697 | 12,879 | 91,705 | 896,770 | 58,616 | 139,626 | 44,262 |  |  | 1,277,555 |
| 1985 | 140,951 |  | 224,788 |  | 41,144 | 210,815 | 190,555 |  | 8,825 | 3,585 |  | 820,663 |
| 1986 |  |  | 298,816 | 97,961 | 21,537 | 33,115 | 644,394 |  | 3,104 | 5,362 |  | 1,104,289 |
| 1987 |  | 2,987 | 269,459 | 69,793 | 13,307 | 278,578 | 159,556 |  | 40,818 | 19,976 |  | 854,474 |
| 1988 |  | 13,549 | 421,317 | 108,182 | 47,536 | 348,920 | 136,374 |  | 1,058 | 178,626 | 972 | 1,256,534 |
| 1989 | 15,221 |  | 295,227 | 59,346 | 100,688 | 236,730 | 25,520 |  |  |  |  | 732,732 |
| 1990 | 60,483 | 11,363 | 319,092 | 73,349 | 193,011 | 505,440 | 588,974 | 18,115 | 12,967 | 443,751 |  | 2,226,545 |
| 1991 | 58,177 | 6,731 | 440,605 | 496,723 | 125,309 | 1,053,589 | 643,571 | 25,501 | 456,954 | 333,743 | 3,882 | 3,644,785 |
| 1992 | 107,693 | 44,612 | 972,116 | 203,108 | 196,278 | 921,201 | 746,343 | 25,677 | 613,174 | 187,852 | 16,197 | 4,034,251 |
| 1993 | 11,953 | 28,115 | 1,113,446 | 292,429 | 400,067 | 1,575,938 | 874,296 | 52,540 | 794,853 | 505,742 | 3,029 | 5,652,408 |
| 1994 | 66,451 | 66,017 | 1,686,049 | 109,818 | 355,829 | 1,974,759 | 438,080 | 63,832 | 1,096,409 | 870,140 | 71,195 | 6,798,579 |
| 1995 | 45,933 | 67,992 | 1,504,390 | 436,061 | 671,647 | 3,296,025 | 3,141,222 | 175,347 | 2,057,450 | 955,822 | 158,096 | 12,509,985 |
| 1996 | 44,802 | 102,271 | 1,291,706 | 950,978 | 915,418 | 4,809,381 | 1,736,508 | 281,481 | 1,560,389 | 1,340,414 | 199,675 | 13,233,023 |
| 1997 | 185,178 | 206,904 | 2,891,970 | 927,921 | 920,465 | 4,449,564 | 821,784 | 232,186 | 1,962,947 | 2,813,471 | 607,978 | 16,020,368 |
| 1998 | 178,584 | 114,342 | 2,973,456 | 671,847 | 989,923 | 2,318,291 | 1,333,329 | 236,926 | 1,908,344 | 1,581,560 | 415,585 | 12,722,187 |
| 1999 | 98,623 | 84,255 | 1,822,818 | 886,668 | 824,031 | 3,171,344 | 3,342,372 | 100,541 | 1,137,940 | 1,741,857 | 556,922 | 13,767,371 |
| 2000 | 269,325 | 71,370 | 2,618,216 | 1,160,305 | 515,962 | 4,050,569 | 4,286,040 | 369,030 | 2,100,854 | 2,005,721 | 187,276 | 17,634,668 |
| 2001 | 290,233 | 223,072 | 3,644,561 | 1,138,978 | 628,044 | 2,996,805 | 5,341,867 | 382,498 | 2,072,943 | 2,140,713 | 608,617 | 19,468,331 |
| 2002 | 383,270 | 152,342 | 4,304,883 | 1,192,296 | 600,482 | 2,813,596 | 4,133,678 | 266,920 | 1,423,515 | 2,648,115 | 602,586 | 18,521,683 |
| 2003 | 253,910 | 281,549 | 4,889,036 | 1,502,455 | 1,251,538 | 3,409,573 | 4,258,557 | 292,167 | 2,808,923 | 2,789,745 | 848,416 | 22,585,869 |
| 2004 | 171,741 | 121,566 | 5,466,059 | 1,169,587 | 921,737 | 2,388,825 | 5,458,534 | 311,025 | 2,333,042 | 3,101,870 | 5,574,787 | 27,018,773 |
| 2005 | 322,996 | 291,662 | 5,093,748 | 1,590,072 | 1,643,946 | 3,936,227 | 3,793,471 | 254,018 | 3,533,652 | 2,655,119 | 2,195,043 | 25,309,954 |
| 2006 | 385,598 | 212,012 | 4,907,270 | 873,965 | 1,388,296 | 4,820,089 | 6,623,538 | 206,432 | 3,541,582 | 4,133,292 | 2,153,231 | 29,245,305 |
| 2007 | 316,331 | 73,283 | 4,784,948 | 1,407,549 | 1,718,924 | 5,767,505 | 2,441,469 | 112,071 | 3,178,237 | 1,729,112 | 1,048,581 | 22,578,010 |
| 2008 | 238,452 | 92,179 | 5,516,183 | 732,564 | 1,799,097 | 7,009,424 | 4,743,038 | 209,995 | 2,637,998 | 1,767,646 | 938,703 | 25,685,279 |
| 2009 | 288,741 | 146,004 | 4,525,166 | 1,093,321 | 877,614 | 4,380,891 | 3,807,088 | 313,296 | 4,558,773 | 1,259,314 | 209,856 | 21,460,064 |

Table 6. Recreational harvest (numbers) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2009
Source: personal communication with NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, Silver Spring, MD

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 929 |  | 83,933 | 1,757 | 50,081 | 21,278 | 58,294 |  | 984 |  |  | 217,256 |
| 1983 | 7,212 | 4,576 | 39,316 | 1,990 | 42,826 | 43,731 | 127,912 | 135 | 31,746 |  |  | 299,444 |
| 1984 |  |  | 3,481 | 1,230 | 5,678 | 57,089 | 13,625 | 16,571 | 16,789 |  |  | 114,463 |
| 1985 | 11,862 |  | 66,019 | 670 | 15,350 | 23,107 | 13,145 |  | 2,965 | 404 |  | 133,522 |
| 1986 |  |  | 29,434 | 3,291 | 1,760 | 27,477 | 36,999 |  | 14,077 | 1,585 |  | 114,623 |
| 1987 |  | 90 | 10,807 | 2,399 | 522 | 14,191 | 9,279 |  | 4,025 | 2,442 |  | 43,755 |
| 1988 |  | 647 | 21,050 | 5,226 | 2,672 | 20,230 | 12,141 |  | 133 | 24,259 | 347 | 86,705 |
| 1989 | 738 |  | 13,044 | 4,303 | 5,777 | 12,388 | 1,312 |  |  |  |  | 37,562 |
| 1990 | 2,912 | 617 | 20,515 | 4,677 | 6,082 | 24,799 | 44,878 | 2,009 | 736 | 56,017 |  | 163,242 |
| 1991 | 3,265 | 274 | 20,799 | 17,193 | 4,907 | 54,502 | 38,300 | 2,741 | 77,873 | 42,224 | 391 | 262,469 |
| 1992 | 6,357 | 2,213 | 57,084 | 14,945 | 9,154 | 45,162 | 41,426 | 2,400 | 99,354 | 21,118 | 967 | 300,180 |
| 1993 | 612 | 1,540 | 58,511 | 17,826 | 19,253 | 78,560 | 64,935 | 4,055 | 104,682 | 78,481 | 264 | 428,719 |
| 1994 | 3,771 | 3,023 | 74,538 | 5,915 | 16,929 | 87,225 | 34,877 | 4,140 | 199,378 | 127,945 | 7,426 | 565,167 |
| 1995 | 2,189 | 3,902 | 73,806 | 29,997 | 38,261 | 155,821 | 254,055 | 15,361 | 355,237 | 149,103 | 11,450 | 1,089,182 |
| 1996 | 1,893 | 6,461 | 68,300 | 60,074 | 62,840 | 225,428 | 127,952 | 22,867 | 337,415 | 250,731 | 35,996 | 1,199,957 |
| 1997 | 35,259 | 13,546 | 199,373 | 62,162 | 64,639 | 236,902 | 67,800 | 19,706 | 334,068 | 518,483 | 96,189 | 1,648,127 |
| 1998 | 38,094 | 5,929 | 207,952 | 44,890 | 64,215 | 166,868 | 88,973 | 18,758 | 391,824 | 383,786 | 45,768 | 1,457,057 |
| 1999 | 21,102 | 4,641 | 126,755 | 56,320 | 55,805 | 195,261 | 237,010 | 8,772 | 263,191 | 411,873 | 65,658 | 1,446,388 |
| 2000 | 62,186 | 4,262 | 181,295 | 95,496 | 53,191 | 270,798 | 402,302 | 39,543 | 506,462 | 389,126 | 20,452 | 2,025,113 |
| 2001 | 59,947 | 15,291 | 288,032 | 80,125 | 54,165 | 189,714 | 560,208 | 41,195 | 382,557 | 355,020 | 58,876 | 2,085,130 |
| 2002 | 71,907 | 12,857 | 308,749 | 78,190 | 51,060 | 202,075 | 416,455 | 29,149 | 282,429 | 411,248 | 109,052 | 1,973,171 |
| 2003 | 57,765 | 24,878 | 407,100 | 115,471 | 95,983 | 313,761 | 391,842 | 29,522 | 525,191 | 455,812 | 127,727 | 2,545,052 |
| 2004 | 36,886 | 10,359 | 400,252 | 84,814 | 75,244 | 242,623 | 448,524 | 25,178 | 380,461 | 633,018 | 278,270 | 2,615,629 |
| 2005 | 68,638 | 26,026 | 368,422 | 112,918 | 114,965 | 298,387 | 327,016 | 19,955 | 490,275 | 403,792 | 104,997 | 2,335,391 |
| 2006 | 72,827 | 14,748 | 339,994 | 73,650 | 83,390 | 313,464 | 489,319 | 19,076 | 648,644 | 607,344 | 90,753 | 2,753,209 |
| 2007 | 71,443 | 7,070 | 347,102 | 102,112 | 109,856 | 370,722 | 206,275 | 10,095 | 679,024 | 366,964 | 45,502 | 2,316,165 |
| 2008 | 49,172 | 6,642 | 343,347 | 56,056 | 112,972 | 448,271 | 318,115 | 16,994 | 442,280 | 396,950 | 44,890 | 2,235,689 |
| 2009 | 52,997 | 10,761 | 336,470 | 75,051 | 72,901 | 329,402 | 269,162 | 21,762 | 530,395 | 213,406 | 7,375 | 1,919,682 |

*Values for North Carolina (1996-2003) and Virginia (1996-2008) include Technical Committee estimates of wave 1 harvest.

Table 7. Recreational releases (numbers) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2009, and annual dead discard estimates
Source: personal communication with NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, Silver Spring, MD

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dead } \\ \text { Discards^ } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 687 |  | 6,441 | 2,551 | 643,187 | 12,297 | 87,648 |  | 30,376 |  |  | 783,187 | 70,487 |
| 1983 |  |  | 34,018 | 5,444 |  | 1,469 | 117,807 |  | 213,487 | 11,997 |  | 384,222 | 34,580 |
| 1984 | 1,887 |  | 98,405 | 85,135 | 31,176 | 40,469 | 52,930 |  | 104,095 | 8,775 |  | 422,872 | 38,058 |
| 1985 | 81,153 | 93 | 12,360 | 40,567 | 26,946 | 57,540 | 5,524 | 702 | 147,103 | 2,598 |  | 374,586 | 33,713 |
| 1986 | 4,379 |  | 442,298 | 2,014 | 10,494 | 123,842 |  |  | 390,063 | 7,528 |  | 980,618 | 88,256 |
| 1987 | 18,106 | 435 | 93,660 | 63,849 | 78,434 | 253,986 | 56,697 | ,988 | 118,395 | 7,611 |  | 708,161 | 63,734 |
| 1988 | 4,528 | 6,699 | 209,632 | 23,347 | 25,532 | 92,611 | 486,306 | 2,455 | 132,250 | 5,631 |  | 988,991 | 89,009 |
| 1989 | 16,028 | 4,822 | 193,067 | 38,007 | 125,370 | 365,712 | 265,958 | 4,807 | 114,269 | 72,766 |  | 1,200,806 | 108,073 |
| 1990 | 12,542 | 15,518 | 339,511 | 67,509 | 89,490 | 265,099 | 254,384 | 14,411 | 420,084 | 175,046 |  | 1,653,594 | 148,823 |
| 1991 | 67,490 | 6,559 | 448,735 | 30,975 | 301,476 | 756,663 | 166,198 | 38,334 | 1,036,011 | 208,350 | 256 | 3,061,047 | 275,494 |
| 1992 | 31,177 | 27,613 | 779,814 | 120,410 | 292,259 | 799,149 | 413,506 | 36,932 | 749,959 | 115,899 | 679 | 3,367,397 | 303,066 |
| 1993 | 373,064 | 14,979 | 833,566 | 100,993 | 271,318 | 694,107 | 308,253 | 89,543 | 1,556,848 | 100,374 | 1,524 | 4,344,569 | 391,011 |
| 1994 | 363,703 | 43,501 | 2,102,514 | 138,989 | 489,967 | 1,132,707 | 568,047 | 103,992 | 2,785,392 | 197,022 | 5,005 | 7,930,839 | 713,776 |
| 1995 | 505,758 | 285,486 | 3,280,882 | 356,324 | 507,124 | 1,209,585 | 694,889 | 115,363 | 2,401,277 | 370,949 | 16,225 | 9,743,862 | 876,948 |
| 1996 | 1,626,705 | 292,820 | 3,269,746 | 314,336 | 1,051,612 | 1,436,091 | 776,165 | 99,372 | 2,545,238 | 759,916 | 116,667 | 12,288,668 | 1,105,980 |
| 1997 | 1,417,976 | 279,298 | 5,417,751 | 606,746 | 722,708 | 1,018,892 | 736,734 | 130,073 | 4,019,987 | 1,232,323 | 135,853 | 15,718,341 | 1,414,651 |
| 1998 | 691,378 | 243,301 | 7,184,358 | 613,421 | 1,026,192 | 884,626 | 488,319 | 185,016 | 2,641,680 | 796,372 | 173,704 | 14,928,367 | 1,343,553 |
| 1999 | 649,816 | 145,730 | 4,576,208 | 360,121 | 704,025 | 1,228,628 | 1,152,682 | 105,696 | 2,387,615 | 940,755 | 263,445 | 12,514,721 | 1,126,325 |
| 2000 | 942,593 | 209,606 | 7,382,031 | 541,516 | 926,367 | 1,373,069 | 885,289 | 151,838 | 3,244,731 | 1,022,040 | 129,729 | 16,808,809 | 1,512,793 |
| 2001 | 870,522 | 164,336 | 5,410,899 | 377,474 | 1,107,707 | 824,278 | 965,650 | 162,677 | 2,890,054 | 620,947 | 49,953 | 13,444,497 | 1,210,005 |
| 2002 | 1,392,200 | 238,003 | 5,718,984 | 530,402 | 696,976 | 588,155 | 715,099 | 114,650 | 2,928,589 | 706,729 | 63,269 | 13,693,056 | 1,232,375 |
| 2003 | 846,708 | 260,167 | 4,361,710 | 448,707 | 843,037 | 1,083,808 | 925,885 | 169,012 | 4,652,800 | 970,554 | 48,945 | 14,611,333 | 1,315,020 |
| 2004 | 748,388 | 196,806 | 5,891,661 | 669,975 | 1,079,304 | 1,492,703 | 1,323,535 | 151,179 | 3,738,523 | 1,767,596 | 230,356 | 17,290,026 | 1,556,102 |
| 2005 | 3,024,291 | 512,771 | 4,839,752 | 741,022 | 1,713,541 | 1,348,377 | 1,197,440 | 224,841 | 3,753,328 | 1,484,540 | 109,535 | 18,949,438 | 1,705,449 |
| 2006 | 4,062,579 | 567,892 | 8,657,473 | 1,356,245 | 1,682,372 | 1,578,167 | 2,101,816 | 245,682 | 3,895,798 | 1,689,642 | 37,713 | 25,875,379 | 2,328,784 |
| 2007 | 1,105,347 | 288,985 | 5,772,100 | 740,941 | 1,831,899 | 1,456,055 | 1,494,572 | 251,074 | 2,998,085 | 913,849 | 16,195 | 16,869,102 | 1,518,219 |
| 2008 | 470,237 | 83,533 | 3,641,258 | 435,629 | 2,372,205 | 1,277,102 | 1,452,248 | 260,733 | 1,405,613 | 442,048 | 14,002 | 11,854,608 | 1,066,915 |
| 2009 | 247,157 | 65,587 | 2,490,380 | 358,484 | 1,281,439 | 922,277 | 719,181 | 152,557 | 1,218,342 | 355,140 | 3,666 | 7,814,210 | 703,279 |

${ }^{\wedge}$ Dead discards are estimated by multiplying the number of released fish by a mortality rate of $9 \%$.
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Table 8. Coastal commercial quotas and harvests (pounds)

|  | Am 6 <br> Allocation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ <br> Quota^ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA | $1,159,750$ | $1,036,880$ | $1,055,439$ | 18,559 | $1,141,191$ | $1,206,305$ | 65,114 | $1,094,636$ | $1,104,737$ | 10,101 | $1,149,649$ |
| RI | 243,625 | 242,159 | 246,312 | 4,153 | 239,472 | 245,204 | 5,732 | 237,893 | 242,303 | 4,410 | 239,215 |
| NY | $1,061,060$ | 828,293 | 753,261 | $-75,032$ | 828,293 | 741,668 | $-86,625$ | 828,293 | 689,821 | $-138,472$ | 828,293 |
| NJ+ | 321,750 | 321,750 | 121,410 | $-200,340$ | 321,750 | 81,870 | $-239,880$ | 321,750 | 29,866 | $-291,884$ | 321,750 |
| DE | 193,447 | 193,447 | 188,419 | $-5,028$ | 193,447 | 181,974 | $-11,473$ | 193,447 | 173,815 | $-19,632$ | 193,447 |
| MD | 131,560 | 126,396 | 98,149 | $-28,247$ | 126,396 | 115,453 | $-10,943$ | 126,396 | 46,871 | $-79,525$ | 126,396 |
| VA | 184,853 | 184,853 | 159,786 | $-25,067$ | 184,853 | 160,301 | $-24,552$ | 184,853 | 184,734 | -119 | 184,853 |
| NC~ | 480,480 | 480,480 | 434,369 | $-46,111$ | 480,480 | 421,645 | $-58,835$ | 480,480 | 454,521 | $-25,959$ | 480,480 |


|  | $2006$ <br> Harvest | $\begin{array}{c\|} 2006 \\ \text { Difference } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Quota* } \end{gathered}$ | $2007$ <br> Harvest | $\begin{array}{c\|} 2007 \\ \text { Difference } \end{array}$ | $2008$ <br> Quota | $2008$ <br> Harvest | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 2008 \\ \text { Difference } \end{array}$ | $2009$ <br> Quota | $2009$ <br> Harvest | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2009 \\ \text { Difference } \end{array}$ | 2010 <br> Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA | 1,312,168 | 162,519 | 997,23 | 1,040,328 | 43,097 | 1,116,653 | 1,160,122 | 43,469 | 1,116,281 | 1,138,291 | 22,010 | 1,137,740 |
| RI | 238,797 | -481 | 239,96 | 240,627 | 664 | 239,299 | 245,988 | 6,689 | 233,274 | 234,368 | 1,094 | 238,869 |
| NY | 688,446 | -139,847 | 828,29 | 729,7 | -98,550 | 828,293 | 653,100 | -175,193 | 828,293 | 789,891 | -38,402 | 828,293 |
| NJ+ | 23,656 | -298,094 | 321,750 | 13,615 | -308,135 | 321,750 | 7,345 | -314,405 | 321,750 | 10,330 | -311,420 | 321,750 |
| DE | 185,987 | -7,460 | 193,447 | 188,668 | -4,779 | 193,447 | 188,719 | -4,728 | 193,447 | 192,311 | -1,136 | 193,447 |
| MD | 91,093 | -35,303 | 126,396 | 96,301 | -30,095 | 126,396 | 118,005 | -8,391 | 126,396 | 127,327 | 931 | 125,465 |
| VA | 194,934 | 10,081 | 174,772 | 165,587 | -9,185 | 184,853 | 164,400 | -20,453 | 184,853 | 140,420 | -44,433 | 184,853 |
| NC~ | 352,036 | -128,444 | 480,480 | 424,723 | -55,757 | 480,480 | 299,162 | -181,318 | 480,480 | 189,995 | -290,485 | 480,480 |

^ Beginning in 2003, NY and MD quotas reduced due to conservation equivalency; MA and RI quotas reduced in 2003 due to quota overages in previous year.

* Beginning in 2007, RI quota reduced due to conservation equivalency.
+ NJ quota applied to recreational bonus fish program
$\sim$ NC harvests and quotas are for the December 1 to November 30 fishing year

Table 9. Chesapeake Bay Quotas and Harvests (pounds), 2009

| Year: 2009 | Jurisdiction | Quota | Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial <br> Fisheries | Maryland | $2,254,831$ | $2,267,293$ |
|  | PRFC | 835,960 | 727,197 |
|  | Virginia | $1,642,242$ | $1,408,725$ |
| Recreational <br> Fisheries | Subtotal | $\mathbf{4 , 7 3 3 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 3 , 2 1 5}$ |
|  | Maryland | $2,956,463$ | $2,881,167$ |
|  | PRFC | 683,967 | NA |
|  | Virginia | $1,642,242$ | $1,184,554$ |
| Subtotal |  | $\mathbf{5 , 2 8 2 , 6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 6 5 , 7 2 1}$ |

Notes: Maryland and Virginia recreational harvested are MRFSS estimates of Bay harvest; in the case of Maryland, the estimate of migratory fish harvested during the spring trophy season from Horne et al. (2009) is subtracted. Recreational harvest in the Potomac River is included in Maryland and Virginia harvest estimates. The PRFC recreational quota includes the charter boat quota of 72,248 pounds.

Table 10. Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery Quotas and Harvests (numbers of fish) (Source: Horne et al. 2009, except the 2009 harvest estimate, which includes an updated estimate of Virginia's harvest from that state's 2010 compliance report.)

| Year | Quota | Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | NA | 1,013 |
| 1993 | 3,000 | 2,719 |
| 1994 | 5,000 | 3,672 |
| 1995 | 25,000 | 42,634 |
| 1996 | 30,000 | 11,613 |
| 1997 | 30,000 | 21,222 |
| 1998 | 30,000 | 10,021 |
| 1999 | 30,000 | 17,051 |
| 2000 | 30,000 | 26,748 |
| 2001 | 30,000 | 25,728 |
| 2002 | 30,000 | 14,839 |
| 2003 | 30,000 | 43,900 |
| 2004 | 40,624 | 31,404 |
| 2005 | 40,624 | 65,664 |
| 2006 | 41,488 | 67,771 |
| 2007 | $30,000 *$ | 36,328 |
| 2008 | NA | 36,166 |
| 2009 | NA | 90,782 |

[^0]Table 11. Estimated wave-1 recreational harvest (numbers of fish) in North Carolina and Virginia for use in striped bass stock assessments
(Yellow shading indicates estimates developed by the Striped Bass Technical Committee; green shading indicates estimates from the MRFSS (with PSE in parentheses); grey shading indicates estimates developed by the VMRC; and an asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ indicates preliminary estimates.)

| Year | North Carolina | Virginia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 18,860 | 5,985 |
| 1997 | 49,037 | 83,793 |
| 1998 | 15,088 | 89,778 |
| 1999 | 18,860 | 107,734 |
| 2000 | 7,544 | 53,867 |
| 2001 | 18,860 | 53,867 |
| 2002 | 75,442 | 89,778 |
| 2003 | 79,214 | 53,867 |
| 2004 | $139,528(31.7)$ | 155,616 |
| 2005 | $72,050(25.4)$ | 35,991 |
| 2006 | $85,884(22.9)$ | 84,144 |
| 2007 | $36,909(26.3)$ | 121,273 |
| 2008 | $44,012(26.9)$ | 190,153 |
| 2009 | $7,375(32.4)$ | 31,958 OR $114,063^{*}$ |
| 2010 | $17,589^{*}(30.2)$ | 14,658 OR $21,935^{*}$ |

Table 12. Status of compliance with monitoring and reporting requirements, 2009 (JAI = juvenile abundance index survey, $\mathrm{SSB}=$ spawning stock biomass survey, tag = participation in coastwide tagging program, $\mathrm{Y}=$ compliance standards met, $\mathrm{N}=$ compliance standards not met, na $=$ not applicable)

| Jurisdiction | Fishery-independent monitoring |  | Fishery-dependent monitoring |  | Annual reporting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Requirement(s) | Status | Requirement(s) | Status | Status |
| ME | JAI | Y | x | na | Y |
| NH | X | na | X | na | Y |
| MA | tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| RI | x | na | composition (C\&R), catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y* |
| CT | X | na | composition, catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y |
| NY | JAI, SSB, tag | $\mathrm{Y} \dagger$ | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| NJ | JAI, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y |
| PA | SSB | Y | X | na | Y |
| DE | SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C) | Y | N |
| MD | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| PRFC | X | na | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| DC | x | na | X | na | Y |
| VA | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| NC | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition (C) | Y | Y |

## 2010 Striped Bass FMP Review

* Rhode Island has not aged some or all of the commercial scale samples collected in 2006-2009.


[^0]:    * In 2007, the 30,000 fish allowance was a target not a quota.

