Maryland DNR Spring Meeting of the Sport Fisheries Advisory Commission

Tuesday,
April 28, 2015

Held at theTawes State Office Building
Annapolis, Maryland

Maryland DNR Spring Meeting of the Sport Fisheries Advisory Commission

April 28, 2015

SFAC Members Present:

Bill Goldsborough, Chair

Rachel Dean
Micah Dammeyer
Mark DeHoff
Jim Gracie
Leonard Grier (proxy for James Wommack)
Phil Langley
Val Lynch
Dr. Ray P. Morgan II
Ed O'Brien
David Sikorski
Roger Trageser
Frank Tuma (proxy for Tim Smith)

SFAC Members Absent:

Kate Chaney
Beverly Fleming
Vince Ringgold
Tim Smith
James Wommack

Maryland DNR Fisheries Service

Tom O'Connell Paul Genovese

Maryland DNR Spring Meeting of the Sport Fisheries Advisory Commission

April 28, 2015

$\underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{D}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{X}}$

*** 1	Page
Welcome and Announcements by Chair Bill Goldsborough, SFAC	
and Tom O'Connell, Director	_
MD DNR Fisheries Service	5
Comments	
by MD DNR Secretary Mark Belton	6
Questions and Answers	20
Maryland Fishing Challenge	
by Karen Knotts MD DNR Fisheries Service	28
MD DNK risheries service	20
Questions and Answers	36
Vibrio Public Information Campaign	
by Kathy Brohawn	
Maryland Department of the Environment	4 4
Questions and Answers	52
Regulatory Updates and Regulatory Scoping Items by Sarah Widman	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	65
License Ence Eighing Ange	
License-Free Fishing Areas by Gina Hunt	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	70
Questions and Answers	72
Legislative Summary	
by Gina Hunt	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	83
Questions and Answers	90
Introduction of New Inland Fisheries Director	
by Tom O'Connell, Director MD DNR Fisheries Service	0.4
MD DNK FISHERIES SERVICE	94

$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X} \ (continued)$

	Page
Comments	
by Tony Prochaska	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	95
Estuarine and Marine Fisheries	
Management Planning Topics	99
Update on Striped Bass Appeal	
by Tom O'Connell, Director	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	99
O	100
Questions and Answers	103
Outlook for Menhaden at ASMFC	
by Mike Luisi	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	104
Questions and Answers	109
Consists Caring of Bosse Consess Discussion	
Spring Striped Bass Season Discussion by Tom O'Connell, Director	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	113
TID DIVIC LIBITATION DOLLATOR	110
Questions and Answers	114
Blue Crab Winter Dredge Survey Results	
by Tom O'Connell, Director	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	129
Status of the Oyster Restoration Program	
by Tom O'Connell, Director	
MD DNR Fisheries Service	133
Questions and Answers	140

KEYNOTE: "---" denotes inaudible in the transcript.

Т	
2	(2:01 p.m.)
3	Welcome and Announcements
4	by Bill Goldsborough, Chair, SFAC
5	and Tom O'Connell, Director, MD DNR Fisheries Service
6	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right, folks, let's get
7	going. Welcome to the spring meeting of the Sports Fish
8	Advisory Commission. Bill Goldsborough, chairman, as most of
9	you know.
10	We have got a couple of commissioners not able to be
11	here. James Wommack couldn't make it but he sent Leonard
12	Grier welcome, Leonard as his proxy. And then Frank
13	Tuma is here for Tim Smith. And we have seen Frank before.
14	Thank you guys for coming. Beverly Fleming and Kate Chaney
15	couldn't make it either so we are without them. Tom, you have
16	a few announcements?
17	MR. O'CONNELL: Welcome, everybody. Hope you are
18	enjoying spring. It seems like it may have finally arrived.
19	We are fortunate today to have both the Secretary
20	Mark Belton, who was appointed since our last meeting and
21	Mark is going to say a few words. And we have also have
22	Assistant Secretary Dave Goshorn. Some of you may not have
23	met Dave. Dave is my boss, and he oversees fisheries service,
24	boating service, Chesapeake and coastal services and resource
25	assessment services. So I appreciate Dave attending as well.

So before I hand it over to Mark, the only other		
announcement I had is just to remind those, you should have		
received an e-mail, about appointments that are coming up at		
the end of June. There are six individuals on this commission		
whose terms expire, and they are James Wommack, Ray Morgan,		
Beverly Fleming, Phil Langley, Kate Chaney and Vince Ringgold.		
It doesn't mean you guys can't request a		
reappointment because that is allowed. So as Paul Genovese		
has asked you guys, if anybody is interested in being		
considered for a reappointment, please submit that paperwork		
by the end of April so that can be considered, and, you know,		
as always we are seeking input from other outside the		
commission process to be considered as well.		
So with that I will hand it over to the Secretary.		
Comments		
by MD DNR Secretary Mark Belton		
MR. BELTON: Could we go around the room and just		
everybody mention their name and who they are with? I know		
some of you but not all of you.		
MR. O'CONNELL: Great idea.		
(Commission member introductions)		
MR. O'CONNELL: And then we have just got Bill, and		
Paul Genovese is our staff person.		
MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: And then the folks who aren't		
here, Beverly Fleming, she represents surf fishermen on the		

lcj 7

coast and Kate Chaney is a bay fisherman. And I don't think 2 Rachel got to mention that she is actually the liaison here 3 from the Tidal Fish Advisory Commission. MR. BELTON: Okay. She is the member who is the 4 5 cross --6 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Yes, and I represent going the 7 other way. I sit on those as well. 8 MR. BELTON: Tom explained that to me earlier today. 9 (Audience introductions) MR. BELTON: Great. Well, thanks for allowing me to 10 come in and talk with you all today. So we have microphones. 11 12 Does that mean we are being recorded? 1.3 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. 14 MR. BELTON: All right. So I have got to be very 15 Be judicious with what I say. It might come back 16 and haunt me. So yesterday evening and today, my time has 17 been dominated by events in Baltimore. As has most of the 18 state cabinet agencies. And you would be surprised when there 19 is an emergency like this, first of all, pleasantly surprised 2.0 to see how everybody rallies around the city and the governor 21 to provide the support that is necessary to deal with the 22 issue. 23 But you would be surprised with the different things 2.4 that have to be dealt with. The Department of Transportation

not only providing help with, you know, their law enforcement

25

1.3

2.0

2.4

agencies but also with busses for, you know, people who might need shelters in certain areas.

Our insurance commissioner, for goodness sake, is very heavily involved because of all the insurance claims that are being filed and need to be filed based on the damage. And from our own perspective, the Natural Resources Police are very heavily involved.

We are providing 32 field officers on a sustained basis, 24 hours a day, in 12-hour shifts. And we reported to the emergency management agency and the command post there in Baltimore, and they have got our NRP officers actually providing security around the command post area, which is the parking lots around the Ravens Stadium as well as doing the maritime patrols in the harbor that the Baltimore City would normally be doing so their officers are freed up to do other things.

And of course we are coordinating with the Coast Guard on that as well. So you never know what a day at DNR is going to bring. Providing security to civil unrest in the city of Baltimore wasn't one of those things that I thought we would be involved with but delighted to, you know, lend our support to the statewide effort under the governor's leadership.

It is exciting to see the resources the state can bring to help an area of our state when it is needed. I just

1.3

2.0

2.4

wanted to chat for a second and let you kind of know what I am focused on as my priorities as the Secretary here, answer any questions you might have and then you let you get on with your work.

But coming in I had the great pleasure of being part of the transition team, leading actually the transition team for Governor Hogan at DNR. And I had worked at DNR previously under the Ehrlich Administration as the assistant secretary for management services, so I knew a little bit about the back of the house operations here — the human resources, the budgeting, and Natural Resources Police fell under me at that time as well. So I had a clue.

But getting to know the folks and getting around the state a little bit and hearing about the issues, I have come up with a what I call -- I am not Dave Letterman but I have a Top 10, okay? My Top 10 priorities here at DNR. And a couple of you have heard some of these but it takes, you know, more focus and better shape every day.

The things I am working on and focused on here as Secretary, the first one is citizen service and process improvement. And a lot of that has to do with customer service. The governor in particular during the campaign felt that he got a lot of comments from folks around the state that customer service in the state agencies was not what it should be.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So we are going to focus on customer service. We are going to have customer-service training. I have got a group of three people whom I have identified who I am going to set up as a team to report to the deputy secretary. We are going to go through each of the directorships -- all the directors, all the units in DNR -- and find those touch points where we interact with the public most frequently.

And we are going to look at all the permitting processes we have got, and we are going to pretend like we are engineers and put a flowchart out on them to map them out, to see where the opportunities are to shorten them and make them more customer friendly and able to deal with.

So I am really excited -- having an MBA, I am really excited about doing that type of thing. Imagine that. A second one is stakeholder engagement and participation. That is one of the reasons I am here today because I want to personally be involved in knowing you guys and hearing from you. And not just your group but all the stakeholder groups that DNR impacts so strongly.

I think that is another message the governor gave to me when he hired me as Secretary was, you know, not everybody feels like they have a seat at the table to participate in the decision making. And that is really important.

It is not important that everybody get their way all the time because that is impossible. There are going to be

1.3

2.0

2.4

competing interests. But if everybody has a seat at the table and at least understands the different perspectives that are there, I think they will be more accepting when decisions don't go their way.

And will at least be understanding that will be the case because you can't make everybody happy all the time. I remember one of the discussions we had, it was the fisheries discussion during the transition team process where we had a few commercial watermen in there in the mix. And I think we had a 2 1/2 hour, maybe a little longer, discussion on various fisheries issues.

And at the end of the session, a couple of the commercial watermen came up to me and they were just, you know, delighted to have been invited, and they told me they learned a lot during the conversation, which I was a little surprised to hear because these were folks who had worked on the water for, you know, 30 years or more in some cases, and we weren't talking any insider knowledge.

Bill, you were there. I think Dave was part of the discussion as well. We weren't talking anything that really wasn't public knowledge but just hearing the different perspectives was eye-opening to a lot of folks. So stakeholder engagement is the second thing I want to focus on.

Third and fourth kind of go together. And that is the Chesapeake Bay Program. We are at a very key point in

1.3

2.0

that whole bay program process. Last year, I think it was, the six states in the Chesapeake Bay watershed signed a bay agreement where they all agreed to certain things, and there was a set of goals that they wanted to accomplish.

And over the past year, each state as a group actually has developed a list of 29 -- yes, 29 -- different management strategies to help accomplish the bay program goals, the bay agreement goals.

They have been holding some open house sessions to gain feedback on those management strategies but as I figure it, Governor Hogan, at least one year during his four-year term, his first four-year term, is going to be the chairman of the executive council of the bay program. I would imagine that would be the case, one year in those four.

And since Maryland is the state that has the most to gain and the most to lose, you know, from a Chesapeake Bay that is healthy or not healthy, it is important that we show leadership on those strategies.

So I want to make sure I have got an organization that is organized correctly and has the right people in the right places to get us where we need to be to accomplish those 29 management strategies in a leadership role, to where we are doing it better than the other states. And we are doing it in a way where not just DNR is doing it but all of Maryland government is doing it.

1.3

2.0

And not just all of Maryland government is doing it but all six of those bay states, you know, are doing it. So I want to make sure we are helping them achieve their goals as well, and leading by example is the way I want to do it. So that is three and four.

The fifth one, you know, I have already got a lot of feedback on it and we are going to get a lot more feedback on it, but that is the oyster restoration and management planning goal.

You know when these -- and you know it much better than I do -- you know, I guess in 2009 and 2010, the oyster management plan involved setting aside sanctuaries in Maryland, and the bay agreement calls for 10 tributaries to be restored. We are kind of looking at that as 5 in Maryland and 5 in Virginia.

And the three in Maryland were identified -- Harris Creek, the Little Choptank and the Tred Avon. And two are yet to be identified as we move down that process. But I think it was determined that there would be data collected over the first five or six years of this program, and when that is gathered, evaluate it to help inform the group to make decisions about how to proceed from that point forward.

And we are getting close to that point where that data is going to be available for us to look at and make decisions on it. I think next year, I want to say. Next

1.3

2.0

2.1

July? Is that right?

And we are at a critical point right now where we are just starting -- I think the end of this week I expect the contractor for the Army Corps of Engineers to start doing restoration work in the Tred Avon River.

And over the past couple weeks, I know NOAA and the Corps of Engineers and our folks here at DNR and other stakeholders as well, especially the commercial watermen who fish in that area, have gotten together to review very carefully the NOAA maps and seek some ground truth or water truth, if you will, to determine if they were accurate or not, to make sure we are placing the substrate material for the oysters in the right places.

And I was very happy to see that the commercial watermen at the end of the day agreed with the NOAA maps, and together we came up with some places that weren't the originally mapped out places but places all the groups could agree with to put the substrate in the Tred Avon. So that should start moving forward the end of this week, and I am very excited about that.

And then engaging all stakeholders moving forward to, you know, do similar work for the rest of the Tred Avon and the other two as yet unidentified tributaries that are going to be restored. So that is a big undertaking but one that the Hogan Administration is going to tackle as the

1.3

2.0

2.1

calendar dictates that will come up this four years.

And then I have got some that aren't related so much to fisheries because DNR does a lot more than just Chesapeake Bay and fisheries. Economic expansion on our public lands, and I say that without meaning things that are so intrusive, like fracking, which is such a hot topic.

When I say economic expansion on public lands, I am talking about things like increased camping opportunities.

Some things are really easy. Some things are hard; some things are easy. The easy part of this one is that, you know, you look at our camping registration software on the Web, and it takes a long time. You have got to in advance, you know, months in advance to reserve a camping spot.

But it turns out we don't have all of our camping spots registered on the site. All of the camping spots in our state parks are available there but not the camping opportunities in our state parks or our wildlife management areas that do exist but they are not known so much to the public. If we could just add those to the list online, my bet is they would be just as desirable and full on a regular basis as the others.

We have not been harvesting timber to the level we could or should be in accordance with our dual certification best management practices, particularly in the Savage River State Park. And so we are taking a hard look at that to make

1.3

2.0

sure we do what we are supposed to do along those lines.

And then just trail expansion. We have got some wonderful wilderness trail opportunities in western Maryland in particular that we could take advantage of from a tourism and naturalist point of view. And I don't mean paving paths through a wildlife area or a very sensitive ecological area. But I mean walking paths, hiker paths, not necessarily motor vehicle paths.

I get a lot of pressure from motorcycle enthusiasts. In fact, if you look at my in box right now, there are 167, so I know exactly how many there are, e-mails from motorcycle enthusiasts who want more opportunities on our public lands. So there is a balance to strike but I think we can do this in a way that is not intrusive.

Law enforcement is of interest to you all. It is also interesting to me. When the rangers and the NRP were merged back in the early 2000s, I think at the time there were 420 some law enforcement officer positions in the combined force. I think we have 245 now plus the 17 that were just approved in the governor's new budget.

So something happened between then and now to reduce the budget, and it resulted in a lot less law enforcement officers for NRP. Consequently our parks seem to be the redheaded stepchild in that endeavor. They are the ones who feel like they don't get as much attention law enforcement-

1.3

2.0

wise.

I know at some peak park days at Point Lookout, southern Maryland, Sandy Point State Park here in Anne Arundel County, you know, some of those days we actually have to close the park because they are so full, we can't take any new folks, and we won't have a single law enforcement officer in the place.

That is not a good recreational experience for our citizens, and it is not good for safety in particular. So we are going to try to come up with an operational plan that will help deal with that. So safety in our public parks from a law enforcement perspective is one of the things I want to tackle.

Curatorships and leases: You know, you have half a million -- and it is just about 500,000 acres of public land throughout the state, that are owned by the state of Maryland. It comes with a lot of baggage.

We have an awful lot of homes that were on these properties that the state has bought through rural legacy or Program Open Space or other things a long time, and they are all a little bit different. Consequently, we don't do a good job of either fulfilling our obligations per these curatorships and contracts as well as making sure the people we contract with are fulfilling their end of the bargain.

So we need to standardize those, make sure we know what is there and just do a better job of getting the

1.3

2.0

2.4

appropriate market return for the citizens. In a lot of cases, we don't issue RFPs for the use of these public lands, and that is not right. Everybody ought to have an opportunity to do business with the state on an equal basis.

So that is going to take a lot of work. I have got two more. One is a budget initiative. There are three budget initiatives I want to focus on from a capital perspective.

One is our M-LINE system, Maritime Law Enforcement Information Network.

I am really impressed with that force multiplier, if you will for law enforcement purposes. And we rely on technology that is out in the commercial sector to run that, particularly the Verizon network. So where Verizon coverage isn't so great, consequently our M-LINE coverage isn't so great. And particularly down in the Smith Island/Crisfield area.

Our coverage is a little spotty, and I would like to work with DBM on a way to perhaps, you know, improve that coverage for us so we can have the law enforcement we need.

Also our NRP vessels, from a safety perspective, have taken a back seat. It is really easy to say, we will wait another year to replace a vessel or two. And a lot of them are beyond their useful service life and have become safety issues.

And then lastly is a demonstrable diversity focus.

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

It is really hard to engage all the citizens of Maryland in the great natural resources that we have. I know when I look at our agency, DNR, we have got about a 16 or 17 percent diversity percentage -- you know, minority employees as opposed to majority employees.

Yet our state is in the 50 percent range as far as minorities are concerned. I want to do something about that. And so I am going to work with our HR folks and the folks in the other agencies around the state to try to improve that as best we can in a very demonstrable way.

Again, we need to set an example and try to get those folks who wouldn't normally be exposed to our natural resources so much the opportunity to get out and enjoy them.

One of my previous civilian jobs was as executive director of the Pride of Baltimore tall sailing ship.

And I was surprised sometimes when we would have schoolkids come to visit the ship when it was berthed at the Inner Harbor, we would get schoolkids from just a mile or two up the road in Baltimore from downtown Baltimore who had never been out on the bay or even on a boat on the bay at the pier.

And that is a crime really in state like Maryland that has such a wealth of natural resources. All of our citizens should be exposed to that. So I want to make some strides in that regard as well.

So those are my priorities, 10 of them. Lord knows

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So

there are more things that need to be done, and 10 is a big number as it is. I would like to make the list shorter to make an impact on a few things but those are the ones I think that we can make progress on in just a few years. And at least come up with a navigable path forward on all of them for success in the future. So that is where I am going as far as the new Secretary in trying to take the agency in that direction. What questions can I answer for you all today? You were just waiting for me to say that, weren't you, Jim? **Questions and Answers** MR. GRACIE: Yes, I was because I didn't get a chance to -- you characterized three and four as the Chesapeake Bay program and I didn't understand what the two splits were under that. MR. BELTON: What the two different ones were? MR. GRACIE: Yes, what were the two different ones? They are very similar. You almost --MR. BELTON: you don't even have to call them two different things. first one was the bay program, the 29 management strategies that go in effect starting -- I think it is July 1st? right, July 1st? The second one was the fact that Governor Hogan is

very likely to be the executive -- or the chairman of the

executive council at some point during his four-year term.

I want to prepare him and his staff on the second floor for that as well put the state in a position of leadership on 3 those 29 management strategies vis a vis the other five bay 4 watershed states. Okay, thank you. 5 MR. GRACIE: 6 MR. BELTON: What else? 7 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: This is a rare opportunity now. 8 Questions for the Secretary? 9 I hope not to make it rare. MR. BELTON: You know, 10 my No. 2 bullet was stakeholder engagement, and I want to be available to you all and, you know, if I can't stay for the 11 12 whole meeting like I came today, at least come down to say 1.3 hello, say a few things, let you know what I am thinking and 14 see if I can get any feedback from you on various issues. 15 MR. DAMMEYER: You know, obviously I heard one 16 word -- if I were to zone out during any of that, logging 17 would be the one that rang in my ears. So I look forward to 18 hopefully all of us being able to be engaged in that 19 conversation too. 2.0 MR. BELTON: Which one? 2.1 MR. DAMMEYER: When you talked about --22 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Timber harvesting. 23 MR. BELTON: Oh, okay. Oh, good. That is not one I 2.4 would think this committee would have a whole lot to say

25

about.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. GRACIE: Some of us have very strong feeling about it or are ambivalent. I am a grass hunter, so I know we need to cut more timber if we are going to have second growth. On the other hand I am a trout fisherman, so if we don't do it carefully, we can damage some pretty sensitive streams. MR. BELTON: Absolutely, which is why I said it the way I said it. I heard you. MR. GRACIE: We have that dual-certification MR. BELTON: requirement where you have to have two independent groups look at your management plan and certify that it meets best management practices. I don't want to give that up at all. But after looking at our current situation, I think just based on our level of effort in other areas we have not been able to get to a sustainable harvest rotation in certain areas. Savage River State Park is a perfect example. MR. GRACIE: Well, there has been a lot of opposition to that, too so it is not just neglect. MR. BELTON: And while there has been opposition, I get a lot of e-mails from folks, particularly legislators out in western Maryland who say, hey, you are not doing what you are supposed to be doing. You have got to live up to that commitment and be a little more aggressive there. MR. LANGLEY: Yes, sir. I was just wondering about the possibilities of opening up communications with our

neighboring states -- say, Virginia in the Chesapeake 1 2 Bay -- to come up with a more united management plan for 3 actually the Chesapeake Bay as far as regulations go perhaps. 4 MR. BELTON: I think that is wonderful. Does this body have a counterpart body in Virginia? 5 6 MR. LANGLEY: I am not sure. 7 MR. O'CONNELL: They have -- their structure is they have a commission that is decision making and then they have a 8 series of finfish, crab, shellfish advisory bodies. 9 So it is 10 very similar. They call them I guess committees and they 11 provide advice to the commission, which is the decision 12 authority. 1.3 But it is a good point. You know, I don't know if we have ever gotten with the two -- like the finfish committee 14 15 and our commission together to, you know, discuss issues like 16 that. 17 MR. BELTON: I would very much be in favor of such a 18 I know I am already a little bit behind the power 19 curve from a couple of my fellow cabinet secretaries --2.0 Secretary Grumbles over in environment and Secretary 21 Bartenfelder at ag. They have already reached out to their 22 counterparts in Pennsylvania and Virginia to have one-on-one 23 meetings with those. 2.4 And so I am trying to do the same thing with Molly

Ward down in Virginia. She is the first one I want to talk to

25

because we have so much, so many issues in common that we 1 2 could work together on. And then I will work on the other 3 states as well. But, yes, I certainly intend to engage my counterparts in the other states, and I would encourage this 4 5 group to take some initiative to do the same thing, especially 6 with Virginia. 7 MR. O'CONNELL: One established network is through the bay program, Sustainable Fisheries Goal Implementation 8 There are definitely opportunities to expand upon that 9 Team. 10 but twice a year fisheries managers and fisheries stakeholders 11 get together to discuss related bay issues. I know Jim 12 attends a lot of those. Bill does, but there may be, you 1.3 know, an opportunity to expand upon and try to get more of the 14 discussion you are talking about, Phil. 15 MR. LANGLEY: Thank you. 16 MR. BELTON: Rachel almost wants to say something. 17 MS. DEAN: My palms are sweating. 18 MR. BELTON: I don't know what that means. 19 MS. DEAN: Well, first you can decline because I don't know if this is even politically correct to ask. 2.0 21 MR. BELTON: Okay. 22 MS. DEAN: Can we look forward to working with the 23 current employees in the department as they are or will we see 2.4 an upheaval and have to start all over?

I am glad you asked that because I get

MR. BELTON:

25

1.3

2.0

2.1

a lot of e-mails about that too. But I really can't say much about it. It was a fair question to ask but in that it is a personnel issue and something that not just I am involved in the discussion. You know, the governor, being the former appointment secretary under Governor Ehrlich, he is very interested in personnel issues.

And so I have a plan and I will be discussing that with the governor and move forward and try to be as open and honest as I can with my employees along the way as we move forward but I really can't discuss that in a forum like this.

MR. SIKORSKI: It is good to hear that the governor is interested in solving some of the problems with customer service. And I know that does exist with a lot of folks who deal with this agency and their business practices. I understand it. I am a small-business person. Not in fisheries or anything related to this agency.

So I understand the frustration with customer service and dealing with bureaucracies permeating those types of things, so it is good to hear that there are some changes coming.

And I think -- I am always reminded of our role in this room as commissioners, and how hard it is sometimes for us to even convey what we understand about management, and the complexities of it, and even the pieces of it, and to convey it back to our constituents because we are not only here to

advise the department, we are here to advise, to be a conduit
back to our community. And they are extremely complex issues
that I am sure you are finding out with every passing day how
complex they are.
MR. BELTON: Just when I think I got it, I don't
have it.
MR. SIKORSKI: We are always reminded about that,
and we just left a meeting where we talked about it for quite
a bit, about just one fishery and the complexity of it, the
communication.
So it is important that we have folks here who are
dedicated to it around this table, both from commissioners and
from staff, who understand and I understand customer
service has been a concern in the past and, you know, we all
kind of admit that maybe all of us are part of that. We can
all move forward and make it a little better.
It is good to hear the administration is concerned
about that and I hope they look forward to using us and they
come after us to take care of that.
MR. BELTON: Thanks. What else? Okay, well, I am
anxious to hear the results of your meeting today. And one
question I think Tom is going to talk about is the current
season
MR. O'CONNELL: Striped bass?
MR. BELTON: And how things are going on? How

1.3

2.0

things are going with it. I am getting some feedback from some folks on how it is going or isn't going, and Tom and I talked for a bit last night.

Unfortunately I was talking to Tom at 9:30 p.m. last night about the striped bass fishery. How about that? I should have been enjoying a glass of wine with my wife but, no, I was talking to Tom about striped bass.

MR. GRACIE: We were all watching the TV news.

MR. BELTON: I was getting enough e-mails telling me what was going on. But anyway I am anxious to hear your thoughts on that moving forward, how the season is going and future directions in that regard. But thank you for your time. Sorry to take up so much time of your meeting at the beginning but I hope to see you, you know, more often.

MR. GOSHORN: Everything Mark said I agree with.

MR. O'CONNELL: For those of you who don't know

Dave, Dave started off in the striped bass program approaching

25 years ago and moved through the ranks in fisheries, and we

are fortunate to have him overseeing the Aquatic Resource Unit

so he has been a tremendous asset, and almost two years I

think you have been in that position. If you get a chance, I

am sure Dave would look forward to talking to you.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right, very good. So now we have got public comment that we have allowed for. Is there anybody here from the public who would like to address the

1.3

2.2

commission?

(No response)

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right. Check that one off.

As I think we heard, we will not have an NRP Report today

because they are busy with urgent matters in Baltimore. So

let's move on to the Maryland Fishing Challenge. Karen, you

are going to update us?

Maryland Fishing Challenge

by Karen Knotts, MD DNR Fisheries Service

MS. KNOTTS: So you folks -- in the e-mail that Paul sent to you, and probably in your packet, were given some information, so I am going to keep it short and try to help get back on track.

The basic thing I wanted to provide for you is a quick update on the Maryland Fishing Challenge. Again, just a reminder that this is the contest, the annual contest that from Labor Day to Labor Day of each year, and to basically showcasing fishing in Maryland and promote recreational fishing.

So in September of 2013, I came to you folks and we discussed the Diamond Jim Contest and the fact that we had found that -- we had done an evaluation and discovered that one piece of the Maryland Fishing Challenge, which again is to promote fishing throughout the state, and recreational fishing in general, was using 70 percent of the staff resources and 80

1.3

2.0

percent of the expenditures for this particular contest.

Despite the fact that it was focused on one species, which granted is striped bass, a big part of fishing in Maryland, but one species and only in Chesapeake Bay and only for tidal license holders. So we talked about the fact that it made more sense to move away from a focus on Diamond Jim, to have him retire, which he did last year.

And so now we have the \$25,000 prize, which used to be awarded for the Diamond Jim component, and we wanted to figure out how we should go about allocating that prize money because we did still feel like it was important to dedicate it to the contest.

But what should it look like? So we had a meeting with our sponsors, the major sponsors of the fishing challenge, and I guess end of last week we had a meeting with our stakeholders group, those that support the contest, and had been involved in it throughout the years.

And what we presented to them was this idea of, for the \$25,000 --

(Slide)

-- you will see this slide basically sums up, the grand total there is \$25,000. Again this is the money that previously had been allocated to the Diamond Jim prize. So the first \$18,000 of it, our plan is to award it as regional prizes. So this is a way that we can promote fishing

1.3

2.0

opportunities throughout the state.

We would do three winners from each of the three different existing divisions. So this would require no change to the program, which is important because again it runs Labor Day to Labor Day. There is no break in the contest. So these changes we can make immediately this year.

So the current contest that we are in, we would have three prizes at \$2,000 each in the nontidal division. Three at \$2,000 in the tidal and three at \$2,000 in the Atlantic.

And this would be a way of encouraging folks to participate in the challenge throughout the state.

Basically we have roughly, we sell roughly an equal number of tidal and nontidal licenses but what we found was the 1,400 or so registrations in the fishing challenge that we get annually are not in any way broken out evenly. We have got about 14 percent that are nontidal, 64 percent of those are tidal registrations. And then about 5 percent out on the coast.

So this regional structure is a way of us promoting the fishing challenge, fishing recreationally throughout the state, and by awarding prizes regardless of where you go fishing. And encouraging people to fish across the state.

So that structure was supported by both the sponsors and the stakeholder group. And that would use up \$18,000 of the \$25,000 we had been doing for Diamond Jim. The next

1.3

2.0

suggestion was for a master angler prize. That is something we have been hearing about for a number of years. And this provided us with the opportunity to encourage our folks who are avid anglers to try fishing in a different place.

So the way the master angler prize would work is that if you can catch an award-size fish, and we have a minimum-eligibility size, in each of the three different areas, so in the nontidal, in the tidal and in the Atlantic. If you catch an award-size fish in each of those, which we generally average maybe two a year.

So it is a pretty unique person who can do that.

But this prize would allow us to recognize those avid anglers who do achieve it as well as encouraging people to go fish in a different area.

If somebody is an avid angler in nontidal, this is going to get them to say, hey, I think I am going to give it a try in the bay or out on the coast because there is something here that would allow me to -- something a little bit different for them.

So the way we would allocate this prize is to -again we have been running maybe two. Some years, none. I
think the maximum we have had is maybe two. They would split
this prize evenly so depending on how many folks achieve it
this year, that would be an even split. So sort of like we
used to do with Diamond Jim, we would split the prize evenly.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So that was the master angler. Again that encourages people to try new areas and recognizes them for achievement. And then the final piece, we have got \$5,000 remaining that we hadn't allocated, and our idea for this was we wanted something to maintain that excitement and get people looking forward to the finale event.

And so we went to the sponsors and the stakeholders with this idea of how should we allocate that remaining \$5,000? What would a good way to do that at the finale event look like? And we got an interesting suggestion at the stakeholders' meeting last week. Jim was there. The suggestion was that we think about doing this in a progressive fashion.

Rather than award one huge prize of \$5,000 or a couple of maybe 10 prizes was this idea of doing it in a progressive fashion. So award a couple prizes at \$250 each, of those who are at the finale. We just do a drawing, \$250. Then a couple more at a \$500 level. Then one at the \$1,000 level and then sort of a big prize at the \$2,500 level.

So this is a way to again get some excitement going at the finale, making sure folks feel like they are happy to be there. The excitement of Diamond Jim is something that won't be there so this is a way to really foster the excitement at the event.

So that idea of a progressive prize was one that I

1.3

2.0

think was well-received. That came out of the stakeholders' group. And so I wanted to today just let you folks know that we did get the support for this allocation. We are ready to move forward with it this year.

We have some advertising that is -- after the meeting today, we wanted to move forward with once you guys are aware of it, get your feedback, make sure that you guys concur that this is the way to go. And then we are ready to hit the ground running and really get the word out on the fishing challenge and the fact that it is statewide and now we have got statewide prizes and some new components to it that we think folks will really be excited about.

(Slide)

We got some good suggestions. At the stakeholder meeting we asked for suggestions about -- in addition to the prize structure, the second one there is how we can better work with charter boat and guides to promote the contest, to support that fishery. And we asked for some suggestions there.

We didn't get a lot. We got a few good ideas but certainly we are interested in ideas. I know we have got -- a few of you folks are throughout the bay, charter, representing constituencies, charter boat captains throughout the bay, so we would certainly love to hear any ideas you might have.

That is not something we are set up to do this year because

1.3

2.0

2.4

when people register for the contest, they don't register as,

I caught my fish on a charter boat.

So the idea is we could roll something out in the next contest, which would start after Labor Day this year.

But one thing that we do want to do -- and Paul, maybe can you hop out to that flier?

(Slide)

-- is to better advertise with charter boat, charter boat clients. So we have put together a flier, which we are ready to get out this week but wanted to show you. The idea here is that this is something that we could make available as a PDF so that captains could print it and hand it out. Have it on hand.

It basically focuses on the fact that we have a new Governor's Conservation Award, which somebody, if they catch a 40-inch striped bass, and choose to release it, they could apply. This goes over that. Make folks who fish on charter boats aware of the contest, the fishing challenge itself, what the rules are.

And just kind of make it an opportunity for charter boat captains to let their clients know that this is something that is out there for them and get them excited about maybe coming back for another trip or participating in fishing again in the bay and maybe in some of the other areas as well.

So this we have ready to go this week. Our thinking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

is we can send it out to the group of folks who have registered on our charter boat map. Those folks, charter boat and guides can register to be on our map. So that is something we have, those e-mails. We can send them out this week and have it available to them. So we will do that. We can make it available to you folks as well, and this will be something that we can do right now on the ground to get the word out. And then the last piece of information that we talked with folks at the stakeholders' meeting about was just general ideas for better promoting the Maryland Fishing Challenge, better advertising, and we got some excellent ideas on that, that we are going to be looking at and moving forward with. So that is the quick update. And I quess the bottom line to the commission is again ideas for charter boat prizes and working better with them for next year that we can roll out after Labor Day would be good. And otherwise, it is just an update. So are there any questions? MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: So, Karen, if I could, just to put bounds around it. Will input at our -- we have an August

meeting? July? Okay, that is even better. Will input at our July meeting be of use or is that too late?

No, that would be of use, and depending MS. KNOTTS: on the scope of what the suggestions are, we need to get moving. Obviously at that point we are really ramped up for

1.3

2.0

getting the current contest and everything processed.

But we -- yes. The new contest begins right after Labor Day so we need to be prepared. Depending on what the suggestion is, we would need to lay the groundwork, so that would be good timing.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Okay. So if folks do have between now and then --

MS. KNOTTS: Yes.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Come up with some ideas, talk to all their people and so forth.

MS. KNOTTS: So for right now just know that the -- we will be working hard to the get word out on this new prize structure.

And really promote the fact that it is a statewide contest, that there are prizes statewide, and that we are going to try to move that number from 64 percent tidal, 14 percent nontidal and 5 percent Atlantic to something that is a little bit more reflective of what our license structure looks like. A little bit more balanced, and get folks fishing throughout the state.

Questions and Answers

MR. GRACIE: Yes, I am having some thoughts about this master angler category. That seems to me, that is the hardest one to qualify for. Should we maybe reconsider the value of the prize for that being higher since it is the most

difficult one to achieve? 1 2 MS. KNOTTS: We could do that. It is really up to us. We could do it. Right now, we are looking at it would be about \$1,000 of prize, Jim, if it goes like it has been. 4 5 MR. GRACIE: If you had two, like you had in the 6 past. 7 MS. KNOTTS: And Paul, that goes back three years that we have never had more than two people? 8 9 MR. GENOVESE: Yes, I checked the last three years 10 of the contest and we actually have two folks meet those 11 requirements. 12 MR. GRACIE: But we haven't had a contest with an 13 award. 14 That is true. MS. KNOTTS: 15 So if people now have an incentive to MR. GRACIE: 16 try for that, you could find out you have six or eight and the 17 prize would be pretty insignificant then compared to the 18 effort required. That was the thought I had since our meeting 19 last week. 2.0 MS. KNOTTS: Okay. And maybe you would want to consider 21 MR. GRACIE: 22 that for the following year after you see what happens this 23 year rather than disrupt your price structure now. 2.4 MS. KNOTTS: That is what I was going to suggest. Thank you, Jim. 25

DR. MORGAN: One question on the invasive species 1 2 component. Are the anglers encouraged to terminate that 3 species? 4 MS. KNOTTS: That is required. In order to be eligible for awards they must, in that component, they must 5 6 kill the fish. Anything else? 7 MR. SIKORSKI: Regarding what Jim said, anticipating potential increase in master anglers who qualify, the intent 8 9 is to reward all the master anglers or just --10 MS. KNOTTS: Yes. 11 MR. SIKORSKI: Okay. So is there an opportunity to 12 kind of mesh the \$5,000 and \$2,000? And then award the master 1.3 anglers at a certain level? 14 MR. GENOVESE: Well, we were going to be giving them 15 a plaque as well. Isn't that correct? 16 MS. KNOTTS: Right, yes. They will get a plaque. 17 So I am not sure I understand the question, Dave. Can 18 you -- sorry. 19 MR. SIKORSKI: So right now we are basically 2.0 assuming that we are going to give two \$1,000 prizes, right. 21 And if we end up with 10, we obviously decrease that amount. 22 Is there a way to mesh the \$5,000 and the \$2,000 and basically 23 what we are doing -- when we are giving the random prizes, we 24 are giving an obviously lesser prize but it is good. 25 rewarding the master anglers at a higher level because we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Leonard and then --

pulling from that \$7,000. MR. GRACIE: In the stakeholders' group, Dave, we thought that one of the advantages of having that random prize is to attract more people to the festival. And if you are really going to attract people to come from all over the state, you have got to have a substantial top prize for it. So originally they were talking about 10 \$500 prizes and most of us felt like you need something big to draw people and make it exciting. So I am not sure I would be happy about cutting the value of that random prize. If you were going to do it, I would rather parse out the other prizes for the drawings but again maybe that is something to discuss for next year because this thing is ready to go so I am not sure --MR. SIKORSKI: No -- I am just thinking out loud. MS. KNOTTS: I appreciate that feedback, yes. will see how things go this year and we will certainly keep you posted in terms of how it turns out. So the finale event is the Sunday after Labor Day. So certainly we would encourage you folks, if you haven't had chance to be at the finale, it is always a good time to be able to come and just see the spectacle that is all of these fishermen who are coming for the finale event. So it is an exciting day so I hope that some of you can make it. MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Couple more questions, Frank then

1	MR. TUMA: Is the master angler restricted to
2	professional or nonprofessional or just anybody?
3	MS. KNOTTS: No, it is anyone who catches the now
4	the regular rules of the fishing challenge apply, which is
5	that it is recreational. It is only the contest itself is
6	only open to recreational fishermen.
7	MR. TUNA: I was referring to, you know, charter
8	boat operators, captains, guides that type of thing,
9	versus, you know, an average sportsman that is out there.
10	MS. KNOTTS: So the contest if an angler, if you
11	are a client out on your boat, who catches, on your charter
12	boat, who catches a fish, that is they registered, it is an
13	angler-award size and then that same person would need to
14	catch an angler-award size in the nontidal area and out on the
15	coast as well.
16	MR. TUMA: Okay. And then me as an individual go
17	out and catch a fish and register it, does that disqualify me
18	as a charter boat operator or guide?
19	MS. KNOTTS: No, no. Paul, that is not part of the
20	rule structure
21	MR. GENOVESE: I don't believe that is the rule, no.
22	MS. KNOTTS: You just have to catch it yourself. It
23	has to be your catch.
24	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Leonard?
25	MR. GRIER: I am an avid fisherman and I do

1.3

2.0

freshwater trout fishing, tidal, whatever. Okay, with the Diamond Jim, I have always seen the parameters at the launch ramp. But if you go to your freshwater streams and different ramps, there is nothing about that. Are you going to put the new parameters at these different locations throughout the state so the fishermen will know that, hey, I can qualify for this?

MS. KNOTTS: Right. So the communications is always the interesting part. Signage is problematic because it is very expensive and it gets torn down and it is -- things change. So what we tend to do is we do a lot of social media, we do PDFs that we can post at kiosks, parks.

So we are going to -- now that we have a little bit more resources to focus broadly throughout the state, we are going to do our best to make sure folks are aware. So some of the ideas that came up at the stakeholder meeting were, for example, can you do a poster that would be specific?

So if you are out at Deep Creek Lake, you know what species you are fishing for. These are the species in the lake that you might catch. They are eligible for the Maryland Fishing Challenge. This is the size that you would need to catch.

So trying to do a little bit more targeted, understanding -- probably not with signage but we would do it using other resources in the area. So kiosks, working with

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

parks, using social media and various other digital media that 1 are a little bit less expensive and targeted. 3 So, yes, signage is probably not the way we would do it just because it is so resource intensive. But, yes, your 4 5 point being that we need to reach out to everybody is well taken, and we will definitely be doing that. 6 7 MR. DEHOFF: Karen, you mentioned that the flier was going to go out to the captains and everything on that map. 8 Can we also get a copy of that once it is approved? That way 9 10 we can also hand it out. 11 MS. KNOTTS: And we will also have a general one, 12 Mark, for just the fishing challenge in general that covers 1.3 this prize structure and gets people understanding that now there are some other components that they didn't know about. 14 15 So we will be moving out hot and heavy after this 16 We just wanted to wait until after this meeting to meeting. 17 get lots of promotional stuff printed, circulated. And we 18 will be asking you guys for your help to do it because there 19 is -- it is starting to heat up and if you can work with your

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Ed and then Rachel.

constituencies to raise awareness, that would be terrific.

MR. O'BRIEN: It is clear this is an opportunity for passengers on a guide boat or charter boat. It would be nice from a charter boat standpoint if you could mention the captain of the boat that they were on when they caught it.

MS. KNOTTS: Mention it in our -- in the 1 2 announcements? On the award itself? 3 MR. O'BRIEN: The award itself. 4 MS. KNOTTS: Okay. MR. O'CONNELL: So one idea was if somebody caught a 5 Governor's -- a 40-inch plus striped bass, and they get the 6 7 Governor's Conservation Award, in the certificate that they 8 are going to get, we could include the charter boat captain. So when it is hanging up in someone's house, and their friend 9 10 comes over and they say, what is this? Maybe it will help 11 refer some business back to the charter captain. 12 opportunities there. 1.3 MR. O'BRIEN: Are you talking about the biggest fish for the year also as well as fish over such-and-such? 14 MS. KNOTTS: 15 Um hmm. So the award size, the minimum 16 size, there is a certain size. So we don't do a biggest fish 17 of the year in the ceremony. We haven't done that before. 18 We do our state records and we -- I think in those we mention 19 if they were caught on a charter boat. 2.0 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Rachel? 2.1 MS. DEAN: It was just a clarification. Is it my 22 understanding then that someone who holds a guide license can 23 participate if they are on their own but somebody who holds a 2.4 TFL cannot participate if they are out on their own fishing? 25 MR. GENOVESE: No, we didn't say that.

1	MS. DEAN: The question was, if I am on my own
2	MR. GENOVESE: Well, Diamond Jim you could not
3	participate if you held a commercial for this one we don't
4	have that requirement.
5	MS. DEAN: A charter captain could go out on his own
6	and
7	MR. GENOVESE: Yes.
8	MS. KNOTTS: If you are on your own.
9	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Any other questions for Karen?
10	MR. DAMMEYER: I see a group outing. Let's go.
11	(Laughter)
12	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Thanks, Karen. Let's move on
13	then to the Vibrio campaign. Kathy?
14	Vibrio Public Information Campaign
14 15	Vibrio Public Information Campaign by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment
15	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment
15 16	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from
15 16 17	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from MDE. And I guess I have a presentation. And today my goals
15 16 17 18	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from MDE. And I guess I have a presentation. And today my goals are to hopefully educate you guys a little bit about Vibrio
15 16 17 18 19	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from MDE. And I guess I have a presentation. And today my goals are to hopefully educate you guys a little bit about Vibrio and what we are going to be doing in the future.
15 16 17 18 19	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from MDE. And I guess I have a presentation. And today my goals are to hopefully educate you guys a little bit about Vibrio and what we are going to be doing in the future. MR. O'CONNELL: Are you going to mention the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from MDE. And I guess I have a presentation. And today my goals are to hopefully educate you guys a little bit about Vibrio and what we are going to be doing in the future. MR. O'CONNELL: Are you going to mention the legislation?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	by Kathy Brohawn, Maryland Department of the Environment MS. BROHAWN: Good afternoon. Kathy Brohawn from MDE. And I guess I have a presentation. And today my goals are to hopefully educate you guys a little bit about Vibrio and what we are going to be doing in the future. MR. O'CONNELL: Are you going to mention the legislation? MS. BROHAWN: Yes. We haven't really delved into

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

going to require us to print specific language on our fishing licenses, and that bill ended up passing but it directed MDE to coordinate with us and our advisory bodies to come up with some appropriate language, right? MS. BROHAWN: Yes. MR. O'CONNELL: And there is a deadline that is approaching in June to come up with that. So given our meeting was today, it was a good opportunity to have Kathy explain a little bit about Vibrio and see if we can work toward some acceptable language that fulfills the responsibility of informing the public of this health concern but at the appropriate level, that we don't scare people away. So hopefully by the time I am finished MS. BROHAWN: you won't be frightened or be frightened that we will frighten the public from fishing. (Slide) So I am going to talk about the general information about Vibrio and the illness and our outreach efforts. (Slide) So Vibrio, a bacteria, occur naturally in estuarine and saltwater across the world, including the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries. It is a salt-loving organism. over 80 species of Vibrio. 12 species are known to cause human illness. One of the most familiar to most people is Vibrio cholera. We don't have that in the United States,

thankfully, but I guess my point here is to get you to 1 understand that it is not due to pollution. It is not due to 3 eutrophication. It has always been there. So Vibriosis is the illness that this bacteria can cause. Next slide, please. 4 5 (Slide) 6 So when you get an illness from Vibrio, it can cause 7 gastrointestinal illnesses. It can also cause wound 8 infections, systemic infection and sepsis, which is what 9 people call the flesh-eating part, which is really a misnomer 10 but that is what they are thinking about. They are also known 11 to cause ear infections from swimming in the water, from 12 Vibrio. Next, please. 1.3 (Slide) 14 It can range from mild to severe and even 15 life-threatening. It is everywhere, and the people who get 16 the illnesses that are -- hit the headlines in the news are 17 immunocompromised. 18 So everybody is susceptible to Vibrio illnesses, but 19 the ones where people have lost their life, they are 2.0 immunocompromised in some way, and that means that you have --21 you are on medication. You have liver disease. You have 22 diabetes. You are elderly or very young -- not that that is a 23 disease. It happens to the best of us. 2.4 (Laughter) 25 MS. BROHAWN: But it does make you more susceptible.

1	Next slide, please.
2	(Slide)
3	Here is some information, and Nancy is here from our
4	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. She is going to head
5	up the outreach efforts from them too. We partner with the
6	Department of Health and DNR in this effort in the future.
7	So Vibrio is what is called a reportable illness
8	nationwide. The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
9	collects that information, and this is some data from the
10	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene over the years of the
11	number of cases of Vibriosis.
12	So that would include wound infections, sepsis, from
13	eating shellfish, which is another common way to get sick from
14	Vibrio. Next slide, please.
15	(Slide)
16	So people are exposed to Vibrio from food,
17	consumption of raw or undercooked seafood, especially oysters.
18	Open wound contact with coming in contact with bay waters.
19	That is another way that you can get it. And seafood handling
20	exposure. So for instance, if you cook your basket of crabs
21	and then put them back into that same basket, and there is
22	Vibrio, there were Vibrio on them, you can get sick even
23	though you cooked the crabs.
24	One of the most common forms of illness occur from

eating raw or undercooked shellfish that are harvested during

1.3

2.0

the summertime, and the most common illness is from Vibrio parahaemolyticus. It affects everybody, and you get the throw-ups for a few days. And then you are better. Cleans you out. Next slide, please.

(Slide)

I had mentioned these risk factors: People with weakened immune systems are more susceptible, especially to the nasty one that causes loss of limb and life. It is very important to be treated quickly if you think you have a wound infection or an infection from eating seafood or Vibrio.

The quicker that you get taken care of from the medical community, the quicker you can get better. People die within three days of having this. It is rare but when it happens it is really, really nasty. Next slide, please.

(Slide)

So in 2012, the Department of the Environment began outreach. It came down to us from our Secretary's office. He was being called, and I think it started with some illnesses that were reported in Calvert County from having exposure to bay water. So we developed two fliers along with our partners at DHMH, and one was targeted to people who fish and are exposed to bay water.

And the other one was to care providers. As I mentioned, the quicker you get care and the right antibiotics, the better off you are of surviving this illness.

1.3

2.0

And I think there is an example in your packets of both of those fliers. And I meant to bring one with me to hand out to everybody; however, our offices are in Baltimore city, and we were closed today. So I didn't bring that. Next slide, please.

(Slide)

There is the one -- and I think I want to emphasize that the risk, when you think about the millions of people who are in contact with bay water on a daily basis throughout the summertime, the risk of getting this illness is rare.

But there are some common-sense things you can do to lower that risk, and that is wash your hands before you eat.

If you have an open wound, and you handle fish or you crab or you swim, and you can't avoid the water, it is easy to get a waterproof bandage to cover that wound up, and that is quite effective.

If you get cut while you are on the water, have handy hand sanitizer or a way to wash your wound out. Soap and water goes a long way of preventing illness. If you are sitting down for lunch and you have had your hands in bay water and touching fish and stuff all day, wash your hands.

Wear water shoes if you are swimming or crabbing so that you avoid getting cuts while you are in the water. So those are some of the things that we have on that flier. Next slide, please.

Τ	(Slide)
2	Oh, I guess this is blown up. I haven't looked at
3	this since Monday. Sorry. Wear gloves. Shower after
4	swimming is another good one. Next slide.
5	(Slide)
6	So this is important. If you develop a wound with
7	unusual redness, swelling or drainage, seek medical attention
8	immediately. So and mention to your caregiver that you had
9	exposure to bay water, and that way they can get you started
10	on the correct antibiotics.
11	MR. GRACIE: These organisms don't survive in
12	freshwater?
13	MS. BROHAWN: No. They are halophilic so they are
14	salt-loving organisms.
15	(Slide)
16	This is the flier that was developed for the
17	health-care providers. So this one you can go to the next
18	one. I think it is blown up too.
19	(Slide)
20	So the types that we are most concerned about, and
21	the most common that cause illness are Vibrio vulnificus, and
22	that is the one that impacts immunocompromised people, and
23	Vibrio parahaemolyticus. The CDC says that of there are 40
24	times more cases than are reported in the U.S. And that has
25	been on the upswing. And that has and it is self-limiting

1.3

2.0

1 so it is not going to kill you like vulnificus does.

Then there are some of the clinical presentations.

My colleague, Dr. Jed Miller, put this together. This is outside of my expertise. He will be here on Thursday to talk to the Tidal Fish Advisory Commission with pretty much the same presentation. Next slide, please.

(Slide)

So these are some of the things we are telling the health-care providers to be aware of because not everybody knows to look for signs of infection that are caused by Vibrio but the outcomes for people are much, much better if they get started on the right antibiotic immediately.

So our current efforts, when that bill came across, a lot of it we have already been doing. As I mentioned, these fliers were developed back in 2012. We have worked with DNR to hand them out to the folks who get the map book, show where shellfish waters are open and closed.

We are currently looking at other ways to outreach, and hopefully by talking to you guys -- I am going to hand out my cards, and if you have a way that we can get outreach or if you want fliers, we welcome any suggestions or some help from you. Next slide, please.

(Slide)

So that is it. We didn't outline exactly what we are doing but we are still putting that together. But we have

lcj 52

1	already started.
2	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Ed?
3	Questions and Answers
4	MR. O'BRIEN: When this bill first came out in the
5	Senate, from the face of it, it was scary as hell. And I know
6	we in the charter boat industry really appreciate the way that
7	the departments, both of them, got into this and came up with
8	some data and certainly delayed coming out with this
9	information until a final bill came out.
10	We spent considerable time on this in the
11	legislature, which leads to some questions. It is still
12	scary. How many deaths have been attributed to Vibrio in
13	Maryland?
14	MS. BROHAWN: In Maryland, I can't really say.
15	MR. O'BRIEN: Any.
16	MS. BROHAWN: I could find out and maybe by
17	Thursday, but nationwide there is usually about 30 deaths a
18	year
19	MR. O'BRIEN: 30 deaths?
20	MS. BROHAWN: and that is from seafood mostly.
21	MR. O'BRIEN: 30 deaths nationwide. And you don't
22	know about any in Maryland but there may be one or two.
23	MS. BROHAWN: I think there are, and there have been
24	over the years.
25	MR. O'BRIEN: I just wish, as part of this

1.3

2.0

presentation, which is really getting reasonable, I wish you could get into those kind of statistics because this will scare people even today as that bill has been considerably modified with the agreement of the senator who originally put it in, who didn't have the perspective.

But anyway if you could get some statistics in there to minimize the problems, certainly for the selfish interest of the people who might want to go out on a charter boat because it is scary-looking.

MS. BROHAWN: It is scary-looking, and I think my passion of bringing this to you is it is rare but when it happens to a family member or somebody close to you, and they lose a limb or their life, it is really bad.

MR. O'BRIEN: Any illness is bad.

MS. BROHAWN: Any illness is bad but we want to make sure you have the knowledge of what to do to prevent the illness, and that is why we are here. And we are not here to scare people.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Well, if you could put certain statistics in, like the one you mentioned relative to national deaths, that would show people, yes, there is a problem. And you are doing a great thing in telling people how to avoid it but if you put that in there it won't tend to be as alarmist to people. Please do. Appreciate it.

MS. BROHAWN: Thank you.

MR. O'BRIEN: And when are you coming out with this 1 document date-wise? 3 MS. BROHAWN: Which document? MR. GRACIE: You are supposed to put out some 4 language that you are going to coordinate with DNR on, right? 5 6 MR. O'BRIEN: June, right? 7 MR. O'CONNELL: It no longer has to be on licenses. 8 MS. BROHAWN: It doesn't have to be on licenses, and some of our efforts are going to be -- we have e-mail lists 9 10 for the clinical people that we will send that out to -nurses, nurse practitioners, doctor's office, people who deal 11 12 with elderly and liver disease. Get that information out to 13 them. In the past we have had an article in the Waterman's 14 15 Gazette about this information. We hope to work with DNR and 16 our state park partners so that where you have access to water 17 and they have the bulletin boards, we can put these fliers up, 18 like this one, to get the word out that way. 19 It is just not prescribed anymore in the bill as to 2.0 how we do it, only that we have outreach. 2.1 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Jim? 22 MR. GRACIE: Is the -- I have got a couple of 23 questions. Is the coordination with DNR now not required? 2.4 MS. BROHAWN: It is. We consult with DNR and they 25 are our partners in this.

1.3

2.0

2.4

MR. GRACIE: My concern is one with process. This commission, you have already heard from one of the members, would have a strong interest in how this is presented and how it is worded. And it doesn't seem to me that if you haven't proposed some wording yet that we are ever going to have an opportunity to comment.

MR. BROHAWN: Well, I would take this as some of our wording, that we already have developed, which is in your packet.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Well, the process I am concerned about is how would the commission get input to DNR about what things we do and don't want to see in this because, I mean, we may have some pretty strong feelings about that.

MR. O'CONNELL: So a couple points. One is that,

Kathy covered it quickly, was that the way the bill was

drafted, the Department of Natural Resources is going to be

required to put specific language on all the fishing licenses,

and we thought that language was, you know, a little strong.

Through a lot of help -- I know Ed was very involved, and Dave to a lesser degree -- the bill no longer requires any specific outreach strategy. It requires the Department of the Environment to work with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and DNR to determine the appropriate language and the outreach.

And so, you know, you could add it to a license.

Personally I don't think it is necessary. Maybe a link to 1 So I think to Jim's point, Kathy, the bill requires MDE 3 to come up with an outreach strategy and implement it by June 4 15th. You presented several pieces that I think the 5 commission feels comfortable with but as new information or 6 7 new outreach strategies become available, is there an opportunity to share that with the department so we can share 8 it with our advisory bodies and get information back to you if 9 10 there is something in there that is --MS. BROHAWN: Right, and I think that we can 11 12 do -- we have already a list of strategies that we are going 1.3 to start this year, and I certainly will share that with you. 14 Right now it is going around our end of things, and I am happy 15 to share that with you. 16 And I passed out my card because I do want to hear 17 from you and what your concerns are, and I can share that --18 MR. GRACIE: I am not sure that we can effectively 19 communicate with you one on one. I think that we would do a better job if we have an opportunity to discuss it 2.0 2.1 interactively as a commission. 22 MS. BROHAWN: That is fine. 23 MR. GRACIE: Well, it is fine except that we only 2.4 meet every quarter.

Okay.

MR. BROHAWN:

25

So, you know, if you are going to 1 MR. GRACIE: submit something from us, best-case scenario you are going 3 wait three months to hear from us. 4 MS. BROHAWN: Well, I don't think we have any new message other than what you see here. So what I want to take 5 6 into consideration is your suggestion about some of the 7 statistics, see if we can do that. And, in fact, I think the one for the clinical does 8 have some statistics, which we did update this year. 9 10 has already been done. But I don't see that we are going to 11 have anything new coming -- because the change to the bill 12 where it didn't require that we put it on fishing licenses I think alleviated a lot of your fears and concerns. 1.3 And hopefully today I have alleviated that by 14 15 explaining what Vibrio is a little bit better so you have a 16 better understanding. And share that information with 17 anybody. Two suggestions, Bill. Can we get a 18 MR. GRACIE: 19 copy of the full presentation, which we don't have in our packet. We only have two sheets here. And two, is there any 2.0 2.1 interest on this commission in setting up a little 22 subcommittee to go over what has been presented and see if we 23 can't do a little brainstorming and see if we wanted to make 2.4 some suggestions.

I would be willing to serve on that if anybody else

wants to. 2 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Everybody hear the second one? 3 Well, the first one was Ed asked if we could get a copy of the full presentation. Sounds like we can do that. The second 4 one was if there is enough interest at the commission to set 5 up a subcommittee to look into it a little bit further in the 6 7 coming weeks to be able to providing feedback on the timeline that they are on. Interest in that? Phil? 8 9 MR. LANGLEY: I would. My schedule is hectic this 10 time of year but depending on what time we met. 11 MR. GRACIE: Well, why don't you chair the 12 subcommittee. That way you can set the schedule and I will be 1.3 on it. That puts you in control, Phil. 14 MR. LANGLEY: I opened that door, didn't I? 15 MR. SIKORSKI: Jim, are you thinking something 16 electronically, like an e-mail or are you talking about 17 getting together? 18 MR. GRACIE: I think that might be adequate, or a conference call. I think a conference call would be more 19 2.0 useful because everybody hears what everybody else says and 2.1 you get more ideas that way. 22 What I am interested in is looking at the full 23 presentation, having time to think about it and talk to other 24 people about it and see if we see anything that we think might be useful to add to it or take from it. 25

MR. SIKORSKI: I would be willing to chair it 1 2 because it is part of my communication with the various 3 legislators that I have shared my commitment to help this body make sure that we are doing our job and so I would be willing 4 to chair that and we can do so electronically and work through 5 6 a conference call to discuss what other input we can add and make sure our communities understand the issues. 7 8 MR. GRACIE: I just think it warrants a little more 9 time and effort. 10 MS. BROHAWN: I just wanted to add that, you know, 11 we do have a strategy set up and I will share that with the 12 subcommittee through Paul. So I can do that certainly. 1.3 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Rachel? 14 MS. DEAN: I was just going to comment. 15 surprised when this rolled out that it wasn't going on the 16 commercial licenses. And Ed asked about the data of deaths. 17 I think it would be also interesting when you guys get 18 together to look at the data of the commercial watermen versus 19 somebody who is just not out there as much. 2.0 And I think you will find that the data is kind of 21 slanted toward the person who is dealing with the gear I 22 think. 23 I will be honest with you, we don't MS. BROHAWN: 2.4 have that kind of detailed information about these illnesses,

and MDE is not the keeper of that information.

25

health department. 1 2 I think it is missing data because I MS. DEAN: 3 think you would find that there is more -- I know we can't give that because it is private and medical but --4 MS. BROHAWN: I can't even get it from DHMH, which 5 seems a little goofy. We can go on CDC and get some of that 6 7 information. But I don't know that there is more commercial 8 folks getting impacted than others. What is in the focus and in the limelight in the US right now is Vibrio 9 10 parahaemolyticus and consumption of raw oysters. 11 And that is certainly something that we are very 12 involved in with the health department and DNR because we have 1.3 a Vibrio plan for the folks who have leases and are harvesting in the summer and required by FDA to have -- when we reduce 14 15 the temperature it reduces the number of Vibrio in the oyster, 16 in the product. 17 MS. DEAN: So those 54, they weren't necessarily --18 they could been oyster or they could have been --19 MS. BROHAWN: They could have been oyster, and 2.0 something goofy about the reporting too, so I mentioned it is 21 a nationally required, you know, to be reported to the CDC. 22 So if somebody went to the Jersey shore, and had oysters and 23 then came back home to Maryland, and had Vibrio, it gets 24 reported as a Maryland case.

So you have to look at those numbers with a grain of

salt because you are not getting the background of where they occurred. Or if your kid went to Virginia Beach, you know, 3 with his buddies for the weekend and got a cut and came home, it could be that. We don't know that from those numbers. 4 5 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right, I have got several hands: Val is first and then I have got Frank, Phil and Ed. 6 7 MR. LYNCH: It may be helpful to know that the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene reported 57 incidents 8 or cases in 2013 in Maryland, and the august body, the 9 10 Chesapeake Bay Foundation, reported 22 deaths in Maryland over 11 the last decade. 12 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: That is what, decade? 1.3 MR. LYNCH: Decade. MR. BROHAWN: And this information is available on 14 15 Maybe not up through 2014 but prior to that. the CDC Website. 16 And we can certainly include those statistics as requested 17 when we start --18 MR. TUMA: I think the question I had was where 19 those stats came from because my health professional didn't 2.0 know that I had Vibrio and I don't know whether it was 21 reported or not a few years ago. You know, I don't know how 22 they report it or how they know that or -- because it was a 23 major infection. 2.4 MS. BROHAWN: The cases are only reported when they

do a clinical diagnosis. So if somebody reported to the local

25

health department, oh, I ate oysters yesterday and I got the 1 2 throw-ups, but they didn't come in and give a stool sample, 3 then that is not a reported case. So these cases -- there are probably more cases than 4 5 that because a lot of cases go unreported, and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's laboratories administration are 6 7 the ones who usually get the samples but not always. So the local health department will get the 8 information and report it to the state health department, who 9 10 reports it to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 11 in Atlanta. 12 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Phil? 1.3 MR. LANGLEY: One of my points I was just going to 14 try to make here is that hopefully we do a good job of making 15 everybody aware that this isn't just a Chesapeake Bay or 16 Maryland disease. Vibrio exists in the coastal states and 17 maybe that we could mention that, that Vibrio exists along the coast and the coastal states in the United States --18 19 And the world, so it is everywhere. MS. BROHAWN: 2.0 MR. LANGLEY: Yes, because my concern is that if 21 somebody comes here vacationing and they see the signs --22 MS. BROHAWN: And thinks it is just a bay problem. 23 -- that Vibrio exists, and the other MR. LANGLEY: 24 states aren't doing as well a job of notifying the public then

word gets out that you don't want to go to the Chesapeake Bay

1.3

2.0

2.4

because they have Vibrio. And so then vacationers start going to New Jersey of Virginia or other possible neighboring states because they are scared away.

MS. BROHAWN: We have -- pretty much what is in that bill now we have already done, and we have a Website called Maryland Healthy Beaches. And if you go to that Website there is some information on there about Vibrio.

And I think our focus rather than on the numbers, rather than -- be smart and take common-sense precautions for any kind of illness when you come in contact with natural waters. It is just common sense, and that is pretty much our biggest message that we are providing to everybody.

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, Phil pretty much covered it.

Basically though we have been through this with three or four diseases in the last 20 years. There was one on bluefish down south, and I mean charter fishing just shut down once it got on Channel 4 and in the paper.

This could well do something like that so that is why we are very worried about this and we need, through two departments, we need our worries to get to the governor because it can have a significant impact on tourism, charter fishing, a lot of things in Maryland.

MS. BROHAWN: Well, just so you know, we began this outreach in 2012 and I don't know if it has had an economic impact on charter fishing but I don't think it has. And we

are not planning to do anything drastically different. 1 2 pretty much when I read what the final outcome of that bill 3 was, it was like, good. We already do this. No extra work 4 for me. And partnering with DHMH and DNR is all part of it. 5 So that is the truth. I mean, that is what is going on. 6 7 this bill doesn't change what we have been doing for the last 8 couple of years anyway. 9 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Okay, so you have got a quick 10 comment, Dave? And then I am going to come to the workgroup. 11 MR. SIKORSKI: No, go ahead. 12 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Okay, so we have decided we want 1.3 to form a workgroup to look into this a little bit further, 14 provide a little more focused feedback to Kathy and 15 colleagues. Jim and Phil and Dave are going to be on the 16 workgroup. Phil got railroaded but Dave bailed him out. 17 (Laughter) 18 MR. GRACIE: You just lost control of the schedule. 19 You realize that, Phil. 2.0 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: So Dave is going to be chairman. 21 Thank you, Dave. 22 MR. SIKORSKI: You are welcome. 23 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Does anybody else want to 24 participate in that? 25 MR. TRAGESER: Dave, you can put me on that.

1	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: And we got Roger.
2	MS. : Would you like someone from the
3	department to help? I might be able to
4	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Well, there you go. Excellent.
5	MS. BROHAWN: Do you want me on the workgroup too?
6	MR. SIKORSKI: I will keep you in the loop.
7	MR. GRACIE: We are reporting to you.
8	MS. BROHAWN: And I will try to get that outline of
9	our outreach efforts for this year out to you guys.
LO	MR. GRACIE: And this presentation.
L1	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: So good. Anything else on this?
L2	Can we move along?
L3	(No response)
L 4	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Great. Thank you, Kathy. Okay,
L5	let's move on to the regulatory update. Who is starting that
L 6	off? Sarah?
L7	Regulatory Updates and Regulatory Scoping Items
L8	by Sarah Widman, MD DNR Fisheries Service
L 9	MS. WIDMAN: You guys should have in your handouts
20	you got last week two on the reg front: our normal regulatory
21	update and then our scoping materials for April. Unless there
22	are questions on the reg update we have just come out of
23	our reg hiatus over the winter and we don't submit due to
24	preparations for session. So unless there are specific
25	questions, there is not a lot specific to recreational on here

1	as far as current proposals.
2	Are there any other questions you guy had looking
3	that over? I don't want to waste your time going over stuff
4	you have already looked at.
5	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Questions for Sarah?
6	(No response)
7	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: I think you can move on.
8	MS. WIDMAN: Okay, moving on then. Really quickly
9	on most of these are not related to recreational. The
10	first two, blue crab, defining a float, and determination of
11	tolerance limits for species, are things brought to us by NRP
12	for enforcement purposes.
13	MR. O'CONNELL: Does everybody have that handout?
14	MS. WIDMAN: You should have it in your packet. You
15	should have gotten it last week.
16	MR. O'CONNELL: Give us a second to see if we can
17	find it to follow you.
18	MS. WIDMAN: It says Fisheries Regulatory Scoping
19	April 2015 at the top.
20	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: No, we have got update and then
21	we have got legislative.
22	MR. O'CONNELL: Do you have it electronically, Paul?
23	MR. GENOVESE: Yes. I will send it to everybody.
24	MR. O'CONNELL: So it is not in the handout but it
25	is on the screen, and we will make sure if we haven't

already e-mailed it to you we will do that. 1 2 MR. GENOVESE: Yes, they have it in e-mail. 3 MR. O'CONNELL: So just follow the screen I guess I apologize for that. 4 for now. MS. WIDMAN: Okay. So the first two again -- we 5 have one in the blue crab fishery. They have a device called 6 7 a float that they keep crabs on and it is currently not defined very well so there are discussions of how do we define 8 that so it could actually be enforced as far as the allowable 9 10 amount of undersized peelers per float. 11 So again brought to us by NRP, an enforcement issue. 12 Second enforcement issue that they brought to us had to do 1.3 with tolerance limits for several species and to clearly state 14 how we determine those, so how, per bushel of oysters, the tolerance, and subgroup that is taken from that is determined. 15 16 So if we have -- the issue is if you have a whole 17 bunch of smaller amounts of bushels with some scattered out 18 for oysters, per se, and there is a certain amount in each one 19 instead of having all in one bushel you could have a whole 2.0 bunch of bushels with a little bit in each that equals a lot more than the undersized allotment would be for one full 2.1 22 bushel. 23 So how do they combine bushels or figure that out to 24 get the quantity of undersized to determine did you take more

than you should have of undersized. Both of those would be

1.3

2.0

2.1

scoped on our Website, Constant Contact, Facebook, Twitter, unless you have thoughts of further scoping public meetings.

The next one, nontidal eel minimum size, is one that is across all the fisheries, so right now we have a six-inch eel minimum size and that would become a nine-inch.

This is coming through changes in eel management from the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. Because it has gone through that process at ASMFC, at this point we just have it up for Website/social media/e-mail scoping unless we hear otherwise.

The next one is more commercial-specific. It is harvest reserve areas, oysters committees who come and ask for several to be removed. Those are listed there. It has been discussed with the oyster committees so at this point we would move forward with the normal electronic scoping of the removals.

The next one -- there is a slew of them and I will just kind of group them. The shellfish aquaculture industry for meetings with their aquaculture council staff and just consistency purposes that we have come across. There are a number of changes that are being asked for.

The main substantive one is that the industry would like to allow workers who are on the boat with an aquaculture permittee to not have to have additional individual registrations for themselves as long as that permittee is on

1.3

2.0

2.1

the vessel with them.

This allows for day laborers and short-term laborers to be with the permittee helping them on the boat. Anyone who else who worked for the permittee who wants to go out separate would have to get their own registration card but it allows a little more flexibility.

The rest of them really -- there are compliance things we are just updating to be in compliance with the National Shellfish Sanitation Program. A bunch of other stuff as far as possession of permits, and fees that are just for really consistency's sake. Some prorating that would go into effect on smaller leases.

But the rest of them really are just consistency across leases and cordoned areas to make sure everything is consistent and what applies to wild or aquaculture is clarified in regulation.

And the last one is Striped Bass Atlantic Fishery.

This is a commercial tolerance limit discussion that we will be having. We were supposed to meet with the industry and talk about it last week but we didn't get to it so we will be discussing that with the Atlantic Fishery in May.

So again right now I believe all of these things -I am sorry, aquaculture coordinating, aquaculture stuff was
scoped with the coordinating council. But everything else
would be on our Website through the normal scoping procedures.

1.3

2.2

So I guess I look to you guys if you want any other additional scoping mediums or outreach that you think we should be doing on any of these packages, to let us know either today or in the next week or two so we could get that planned.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Questions or comments for Sarah? (No response)

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right, then. Thank you, Sarah. Now we get to Gina.

License-Free Fishing Areas

by Gina Hunt, MD DNR Fisheries Service

MS. HUNT: Okay, so I am not sure if you read the e-mail that went over this issue but the short of it is we have license-free fishing areas, and the authority for that is actually in statute, and in the statute it says that those areas are about free fishing for finfish.

That is clear; however, the department writes regulations on the crabbing licenses. And in fact it is one of the only things that we have the authority to set a fee for is the recreational crabbing licenses. And when we did that back before -- so when we did that, there was a section in there that said that the license-free fishing areas, you were exempt from getting a crabbing license.

At the time that was written was prior to regulations saying that in order to use crab traps and net rings you need to a license. So remember, we changed that

1.3

2.0

just a couple years ago. So it is all really kind of a timing thing because at the time that exemption was put into the reg, it allowed you to use your handlines and your crab traps and your net rings just like you could anywhere else, without a license because the only thing you really needed the license for was the trot line as far as gear, and it is also clear in the reg, in another section, where it says, if you are an unlicensed crabber, even if you are exempt from a license, you are getting the catch limit for an unlicensed crabber.

So the catch limit part is clear. What is not clear now is what gear can you use as a crabber in a license-free fishing area because now you need a license to use those crab traps and net rings -- unless we are saying now you don't in a license-free fishing area.

And if we mean it to say that, then you are talking about 30 collapsible traps per person for free in an area you are supposed to be fishing in. So this question came up to the fisheries service from NRP. They have had at least one instance of this confusion. And we need to clarify it in the reg.

So really the question is, when we clarify this, what are we going to allow in a license-free fishing area. Do we say, you just need a crabbing license to set gear that requires a license? Or do you set a new limit because 30 is pretty high if you have a bunch of crabbers out there and you

are trying to cast in one of these. 1 2 Some fishing areas are a little bigger than others but a lot of these license-free fishing areas are pretty narrow, and if you had a bunch of crab traps out there, it is 4 going to get all fouled up. So the guestion to this 5 commission is, with all that background, what would you 6 recommend is the clarification for the license-free fishing 7 areas in regard to a crab license? 8 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Thoughts on that? Questions and Answers 10 11 MR. TUMA: License-free area is similar to Matapeake 12 Pier or some of the other areas that are designated as 13 license-free, or what are you talking about? 14 MS. HUNT: Yes, there are 26 --15 MR. TUMA: But most of the pier-type access --16 So, I mean, it is really -- you know, MS. HUNT: 17 when you look at the original intent in statute, it was about 18 fishing, and that is fine. However, we took it, you 19 know -- when we first wrote the regulation, what we wrote it 20 as was you can use your free gear in a license-free fishing 21 area. It quite honestly didn't need to be said but it was 2.2 said to be -- it was supposed to be abundantly clear then. It has now led to this muddy confusion because then 23 we changed the rules on what is free and what is not. And it 24 25 only comes down to the crab traps and net rings. Obviously

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

you are not running a trot line off one of these piers so this
all the gear is about.

And it also at the time, when we had said it was -- you didn't need a license to set crab traps and net rings it was up to 10. So having a license let you set more crab traps and net rings. Well now the rule is you can set up to 30 but you need a license.

So keep in mind back when we had even written this, all you really were allowed to do was set 10 there.

MR. O'CONNELL: So one of the concerns is that these are areas to allow people to go and get introduced to fishing. There is a scenario right now that someone could pretty much occupy the majority of the free fishing area putting traps along the side of the pier or shoreline.

MS. HUNT: Or just a couple people, 30 traps apiece, could take up quite a bit of space.

MR. TUMA: You have taken up the whole area, not just for crabbing but for fishing also.

MR. O'CONNELL: So do you want to limit it to handlines? Do you want these areas to allow people to experience using a collapsible trap but then limit it to a smaller number?

It is going to cause some confusion because it is a subrule of our existing rule of how many traps you can use so it is going to add a layer of confusion but that would still

allow someone to experiment with using collapsible traps. 1 Or do you just keep it to handlines? 3 Just keep it a finfish-free area? MS. HUNT: Is there a restriction on handlines? 4 MR. TUMA: 5 MS. HUNT: No. Handlines are free regardless of where you are. 6 7 MR. TUMA: No but I mean how many? MR. O'CONNELL: Even with handlines someone could 8 set, you know, 10, 15 --9 10 MR. TUMA: I would say just off the top of my head 11 is use some type of restricted on any type of fishing gear no 12 more than 10 -- whatever the fishing gear is. You know, 1.3 whether it is handlines, no more than 10. Crab traps, no more 14 than 10. And who else knows what they are going to sneak in. 15 MS. HUNT: But your recommendation is that for free 16 somebody can only set 10 of something in a free fishing area. 17 MR. TUMA: 10 types of fishing gear, yes. 18 MR. DEHOFF: And that is because that is already what is listed as that is what is available for free. 19 2.0 MS. HUNT: No, not anymore. That is what it was 21 prior to us changing it to requiring a license for all --22 MR. DEHOFF: So now even handlines need --23 MS. HUNT: No, you do not for handlines but there is 2.4 also no limit on the number of handlines, any location. 25 MR. GRACIE: Why don't you just exclude crabbing

from free fishing? 1 2 That is the question to the commission. MS. HUNT: 3 Free crabbing, free crabbing. That is the question to the commission. Do you just say, if you are going to crab for 4 free in a free, license-free fishing area, you are only doing 5 it with the gear that is free anyway, which is a handline? 6 7 MR. GRACIE: Maybe I am all wet on this but my impression is that this is a great opportunity to encourage 8 9 people to go fishing. We don't need opportunities to 10 encourage people to go crabbing in Maryland. 11 MR. TUMA: Any of the free fishing zones, if you 12 come in with a license and want to put 30 pots or 30 traps, 1.3 you can do it. What I am suggesting is in a free fishing area, I don't care whether you have a license to do 30 or not, 14 15 you are restricted to 10, period. 16 Otherwise you have got somebody who has got a 17 fishing license, a regular fishing license or a crabbing 18 license, and can do 30 traps and override the poor sucker who 19 is there with his family just trying to enjoy the day. 2.0 MR. DEHOFF: So you are saying give the free fishing 21 areas a separate set of regs for whatever you are doing, 22 whether it is crabbing or fishing. It is specific to the free 23 fishing area. 2.4 MR. TUMA: Yes.

Are you suggesting a limited of --

25

MR. TUMA: The free-fishing area restrictions 1 2 override your regular fishing license restrictions. 3 MS. HUNT: Okay, but for -- I am not clear then. On crabbing I get what you are saying. 4 5 MR. TUMA: Crabbing. 6 Fishing, are you suggesting a limitation MS. HUNT: 7 in gear? 8 MR. TUMA: No, I am not focused on it. I am saying crabbing. I am saying 10 crab lines, 10 handlines, 10 traps, 9 10 10 who knows what they are going to sneak in next. But 10 as 11 some type of fishing gear. What I am calling fishing gear is 12 crabbing gear. 1.3 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Is that what you, Gina, called or referred to earlier as a subrule beneath your existing rule on 14 15 a number of traps, and you were kind of reluctant about? 16 MS. HUNT: That was Tom's language but yes, that is 17 exactly it. It is just a new category of ruling that -- you 18 know, we have talked about crab regs so many times here. 19 are darn confusing as they are. The changes that we made a 2.0 few years ago with requiring a license for traps actually made 21 it a little simpler because then all of a sudden it wasn't, 22 oh, it depends on how many you have. 23 It simplified things. This now a subrule that will 2.4 make it a little less clear to folks. Well, I am okay here to 25 set my 30 traps with my license but I am not here in an area

that you said was supposed to be about, you know --2 So I don't want to discourage the discussion. I am just saying that is a subrule and the rules are already pretty 4 darn confusing. MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Dave. 5 6 MR. SIKORSKI: I think a license-free fishing area 7 was intended to be for finfishing, and now that we have a crab license, you purchase that crab license, you can fish up to 30 8 9 traps, correct? 10 MS. HUNT: With a crab license, yes. 11 MR. SIKORSKI: With a crab license you can fish with 12 30 traps. There is no longer a 10-trap reg. 13 MS. HUNT: Correct. MR. SIKORSKI: So the only thing you can do without 14 15 a crab license is hand line. So in a free-fishing area, I 16 think it should be exclusive to fishing for finfish. And you can therefore hand line for free. 17 18 MS. HUNT: And if you show up with your crabbing 19 license? MR. SIKORSKI: If you show up with your crabbing 2.0 2.1 license -- never mind. 22 (Simultaneous discussion) 23 MR. SIKORSKI: I think it should be a no-crabbing 2.4 area. (Simultaneous discussion) 25

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Jim. 1 2 MR. GRACIE: First of all, we had free-fishing areas 3 before. We didn't have problems with trappers crowding them The only thing that is different is if we now focus the 4 5 crabbers on the free-fishing areas, we are going to have that So limiting it to 10 doesn't solve the problem. 6 7 look at these free-fishing areas and you get a handful of crabbers putting out 10 traps, you have got a problem. 8 9 So I am inclined to -- not necessarily agree with 10 Dave's suggestion that we require that it be no crabbing. 11 Just don't give them any free privileges for crabbing in the 12 free-fishing areas. 1.3 So free-fishing areas should apply to finfish, 14 And you are not going to have a new problem. 15 crabbers can go anywhere they want. You shouldn't restrict 16 them or complicate those regulations any more, but they have 17 to have a license, and they are not allowed to crab without a 18 license in the free-fishing areas. 19 MS. HUNT: That is a good point. We haven't had any 2.0 calls and concerns about crowding at these areas to this 21 point. 22 MR. GRACIE: The only reason we might is if we give 23 them an opportunity to go there free. 2.4 MS. HUNT: Correct. 25 MR. GRACIE: So they might tend to focus on those

areas.
MS. HUNT: And if we do have that problem, if that
does arise for whatever reason, people just decide to start
taking their crabbing license to a free fishing area, we could
always implement additional restrictions in the future.
MR. GRACIE: If it becomes a problem, yes.
MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Roger, did you have something?
MR. TRAGESER: No, I was just piggybacking on what
Dave and Jim were both saying.
MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Did you have something, Rachel?
MS. DEAN: I just don't think taking away one
person's access to benefit another is the right thing to do.
I would live to sell those people crabs because they can't now
catch them in the area that they were allowed to earlier, but
I think that we know that the people who go to the shore and
do that, that is their access, and that could be their only
access to crabs.
And to take that away from them, I just kind of
think that is
MR. GRACIE: I am not suggesting take it away from
them.
MS. DEAN: No, I agree with you.
MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: She was responding to Dave.
MR. GRACIE: Oh, okay.
MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: And Micah, you had your hand up.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAMMEYER: So I guess, yes, the idea is really, it is about getting people out and exposing them to catching finfish, you know. Why can't you limit it to hook-and-line and hand-line fishing and exclude traps and baskets and all that junk, you know? I mean, that would seem to solve the problem. You could still go out and hand line for crabs, you know? I mean, I did that as a kid. You go get a bunch of chicken backs and hang them off a string and scoop them up. You know, it was fun. And I see, yes, I see where you string a hand line at every piling but maybe there is a limit too. You know, one rod, one line per person kind of thing. I know that is more paperwork for you all month but I don't know. It just seems --MS. HUNT: It is not about me. MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Tom? MR. O'CONNELL: So from what I am hearing -- I just want to kind of throw it out there to see if there is some consensus -- is that we don't provide any license exemptions for crabbing. You are allowed to use handlines because they are already free. You are allowed to go there if you are licensed and use your traps, and that we go forward with no crabbing license exemptions and, you know, if we find a site that a

user conflict begins to occur, we can come back and try to

address it on a site-specific basis. Any objection with us going forward with that? 3 (No response) MR. O'CONNELL: All right, so we will kind of record 4 5 that in the summary as a consensus and advice going forward 6 for us. 7 MS. HUNT: If I could take it one step further and just add this as a scoping item then. Any other additional 8 ways you want us to scope that idea because we, you know, we 9 10 need to get that regulation clarified and obviously Sarah 11 already did her scoping. I mean, other than the normal 12 putting it up there on the Website and those mechanisms. Ιs 1.3 there some other way you would want us to scope that --14 MR. TUMA: Are the regulations posted at the 15 free-fishing areas because I have a real problem with the 16 signage that I have seen. 17 MS. HUNT: Well, they don't speak to crabbing 18 actually. The issue is in our regulation, in our regulation 19 itself and the fact that it is basically antiquated from 2.0 another time. Do you know what I mean? 2.1 So the license-free fishing areas, I think it would 22 be clear or we can make sure the sign it is clear that it is a 23 licensed finfish free-fishing area. 2.4 MR. SIKORSKI: You got fine print that says buy your 25 crabs from Rachel.

MS. DEAN: Do we choose the areas because they were 1 2 low-traffic areas or because these people were -- and I always 3 get the word wrong -- sustenance fishermen? 4 MS. HUNT: Subsistence? MS. DEAN: Yes because if that is the case then we 5 are not talking about, you know, the kids having fun for hand-6 7 lining. These people are out there --8 MR. GRACIE: Originally they were sustenance. The last round we changed that whole approach. 9 10 MR. SIKORSKI: But it is still used for that. 11 MS. DEAN: Okay. 12 MR. GRACIE: It may be. 1.3 MS. DEAN: I just didn't know it if was a priority. 14 MR. SIKORSKI: There are areas that are historically 15 used for limited-access fishermen, and they are spread out 16 throughout the state so it gives plenty of people opportunity 17 to access the resource. It wasn't my intent to say, you know, 18 shut things off but I want to avoid conflict. And this is 19 Dave. CCA a long time ago decided they didn't want to mess 2.0 with crabs. 21 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Okay, are we in a good place? 22 You guys got what you need? Phil, you had your hand up before 23 and I didn't call on you. 2.4 MR. LANGLEY: No, no, no. I just echoed what Tom 25 I think in Jim's motion it was --

1	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Okay, great. Can we move on to
2	legislative?
3	MS. HUNT: Surely.
4	Legislative Summary
5	by Gina Hunt, MD DNR Fisheries Service
6	MS. HUNT: So you have this as well, and it was
7	certainly posted online, our legislative update for 2015.
8	I am only going to highlight what has passed not
9	what died, although feel free to ask me any questions about if
LO	it died or why it died or anything to that matter.
L1	So the first one being House Bill 67, this is more
L2	of a, you know, departmental issue across other units, not
L3	just fisheries service. But there are several mandated
L 4	reports that were in law and they are old, they are
L5	antiquated. Usually, you know, it is something that we would
L 6	have to send in a one-pager and say, we have new information.
L7	So some of those reports we now no longer have to
L8	do. And when I say a report, this is basically something that
L 9	you send to the house and the senate and it goes down to
20	legislative services and gets recorded in a law library. So
21	it is not even something you guys have probably ever seen
22	unless you go peruse the law libraries.
23	So there are four reports for fisheries service that
24	we now no longer have to do. Aquaculture liability for
25	trespass: This basically sets up it clarifies what

1.3

2.0

penalties would apply if somebody is convicted in one of these areas or without written permission of a lease holder and does damage to a shellfish area. And basically it kind of just sets it up so that the judge has guidance on what these penalties should be to that individual.

Our favorite one, House Bill 785 -- it is also crossfiled with Senate Bill 666 -- this one is the license changed in our recreational fishing license from a calendar year to 365. We spoke about this, I think, last time we met and I didn't hear at the time any controversy, and there really was no controversy on the bill.

Nonetheless, during all the deliberation there was a sunset put on the bill, and thankfully it came off at the 11th hour and was eventually passed and enrolled without a sunset.

Yes, it had a three-year sunset.

MR. GRACIE: Can you imagine the double transition?

MS. HUNT: Yes, I did and I hated it. So thank

heavens that is gone. This bill becomes effective October 1.

So we are going to be working on moving in that direction for both the license system -- you know, the compass license system -- as well as the decal that is sold for your vessels, is effective October 1.

So if you buy it prior to that and it is going to expire December 31. You buy it after that, it will be good for 365.

But that timeframe, the fall, is usually where you 1 2 see our license sales drop off in annual license sales and 3 people start buying short-term licenses because --MR. GRACIE: This will push it up. 4 5 MS. HUNT: Hopefully. So that was really great. And --6 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Not to interrupt you, Gina, but just on that one, I think it is important to note that idea 8 surfaced from this commission. And, you know, meeting with 9 10 Dave Sikorski and Dave Smith, Dave Sikorski really took the 11 leadership to push this thing through. 12 It would not have happened without Dave's work and 1.3 tracking it through. I mean, I think anglers are going to be 14 really excited about this. You know, and we offered the 50 15 percent license discount program, and obviously some of the 16 loyal customers have pushed back. Like, what is in it for me? 17 You know, I think this is something that our loyal 18 customers will appreciate that they are going to get an added 19 value for their license. So it is a great thing. 2.0 governor was going to sign it today but he got called up to 21 Baltimore so I think May 12 is the rescheduled date. So it is 22 pretty exciting. I think anglers will really appreciate this. 23 MR. SIKORSKI: In my experience in handling the bill 24 and working with Delegate Flanagan and Senator Bates, two of 25 my representatives from Howard County -- I mean, inland

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

getting that sunset removed.

representatives who don't deal with fisheries issues who were 1 2 very excited, especially Senator Bates, to deal with one of 3 these issues. So it was a reminder to me and a reminder to all of 4 5 us that they are out there and they are willing to do good things for us and they were really happy to do it. And 6 7 Senator Bates was texting me late at night on the last day of the session. I even told her -- I said, look, the sunset's an 8 issue but we have dealt with harder things and we can deal 9 10 with a harder thing in the future. And she said, no, we are

It was a sunset brought on by a legislator, and that is the way it works. And so she worked really hard, and it was really good to know that my local senator was working hard for fishermen in Maryland. And it wasn't me. It was an idea that came from this group and it came from everybody, and I was glad to represent this group there in the legislature to testify on it.

MR. GRACIE: Who came up with the sunset?

MR. SIKORSKI: Jay Jacobs is what I am told. That is what I am told. I can't confirm it.

MS. HUNT: It was in the house subcommittee that, that sunset came from.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SIKORSKI}:$$ What I was told, and I kind talked to Senator Bates' legislative assistant about it, and disagreed

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

with his assessment, but what he was told was that it is done in these issues so we have some sort of baseline to review as we come back. And, yes, sunsets are done on major changes but not these overly beneficial changes and so ultimately, you know, the bill made it out clean and we are thankful for that.

And I am thankful for my senator finally.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: It is not like we don't have experience in other states to look at.

MR. SIKORSKI: And it also -- it now makes Maryland the most-valuable license or the cheapest license in the region. So talk about selling more licenses. Tell your Virginia friends, come buy ours. It is cheaper. It is the truth, and you get Virginia and Maryland fishing for it. So a win for Maryland.

MS. HUNT: And it is also a good lesson for those who think that just because a bill has no controversy -- and absolutely no one opposed the bill. I mean, no one opposed the bill. And yet it wasn't coming out clean. You just think that is a no-brainer but it is not. It is just -- you never know what is going to happen. It is a good lesson. If you hadn't learned it in the past you learned it on that one.

Okay, and actually, you know -- it is also very true what happened this session. I mean there are a number of bills that just, at the 11th hour, got changed significantly or slightly but it was not that they got changed because these

1.3

2.0

2.4

were amendments that came out on the first reader at the bill hearing or anything like that.

I mean, they came later, they came later into the session so it was just a very dynamic session in that regard. House Bill 1287, this bill adds a member to Tidal Fish and Sport Fisheries Advisory Commission. So it adds a member from the Maryland's aquaculture industry to both of those commissions. So it doesn't get rid of any seats. It simply adds a seat.

And that actually did change in language a couple times because originally it had -- there is a new aquaculture association. They do have like a representation now. And originally the bill identified that group, and then now it has changed, just saying Maryland's aquaculture industry.

So clearly that would be somebody who has an aquaculture lease in the state but it doesn't have to be a member of that group.

MR. GRACIE: That would be quite aggressive if it named an organization, wouldn't it?

MS. HUNT: Well, yes. We pointed out that nowhere else does tidal fish and sport fish do that. It doesn't name organizations, you know, so. And then Senate Bill 83, that is what Kathy Brohawn basically brought up, and this is one of these bills that completely changed. It is no longer about putting something on a recreational fishing license. It

1.3

2.0

2.1

directs the Department of Environment to do an education campaign and just consult with DHMH and DNR.

So it does still have these requirements about what needs to be in that information campaign but that is not required to be on the fishing license so the whole drastic change to what that bill originally said.

So moving forward just again, passed that I hadn't covered, passed that is really related to fisheries, I should say -- Senate Bill 694, income tax credit for oyster shell recycling, completely changed language. This was originally about being able to transfer your oyster shell credit.

So the way the law is right now, you get a \$1 for every bushel you would recycle in oyster shells. And you will get documentation and you would take it off your income taxes. There is a cap of \$750 is the most that you could possibly get.

And what the bill was designed to do was basically allow one group to be able to transfer your credit to another person. Say, you only have \$100, and I have \$10, and a couple other people have \$10, we could just give you our transfer credits for whatever kind of business arrangement we have.

It now has nothing to do with transfer of credit, and I think that is because in the discussions they realized that is really not an incentive to recycling oyster shells. The incentive was that the \$1 is just too low, and so the \$1

went up to \$5. 1 2 So it is \$5 per bushel for oyster shell recycling. 3 And although the title of the bill says transfer of credit, it 4 no longer transfers a credit. MR. GRACIE: Doesn't that reduce the number of 5 oyster shells you are going to recycle? You get \$750 worth 6 7 either way. Now you can do one-fifth as many. 8 MS. HUNT: If you were hitting the \$750 cap but really the only groups that could be hitting it are 9 10 restaurants. But, you know, ORP runs a shell recycling 11 program and I don't think the -- they ran a shell recycling 12 program before there was any tax credit. So, you know, a lot 1.3 of it is people recycling shells because it is just the right thing to do. 14 15 This is supposed to get a little bit more -- more 16 people, more shells. And then I think that is it as far as 17 anything new. Are there any bills that you had guestions about, lived or died? 18 19 Questions and Answers 20 MS. DEAN: I just wanted to throw out there, because 2.1 you guys might not know it, but the one -- that was it, right 2.2 there, 808, the liability for trespassing? 23 MS. HUNT: Yes. 24 MS. DEAN: I am a supporter, big time. I don't 25 comprehend why there is still no requirement for a lease

holder to notify oystermen of where their leases are and 1 furthermore they are not all marked with similar markings. 3 And to take that to court, I don't know. Is it even going to do us any good? 4 5 So, you know, when you are before a judge and you say, well, they had it marked with milk jugs, and we have got 6 7 some that are marked with milk jugs, or it wasn't in my shellfish closure book, I am not sure. 8 9 MS. HUNT: So I know you brought that up before 10 maybe at tidal fish. We have talked about that before. And 11 the last year, I think it was maybe, I think it was just last 12 year, they did a survey, aquaculture division did a survey of 1.3 all their lease holders to ask them that question. I mean, the idea of the shellfish closure book is 14 15 protection for both sanctuaries -- just areas that are off 16 limits -- so watermen know where not to go. So the question 17 being, well, if you put leases in there, then watermen will 18 know where not to go. So the aquaculture division surveyed 19 the industry and said, do you want your lease in this book? 2.0 And there were a number of other questions to it. It wasn't 21 just one question.

And it wasn't a strong majority, but the majority said, no. They think that basically telling the watermen where their lease is going to be is telling the watermen where the oysters are.

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

motivation.

1	(Laughter)
2	MS. HUNT: But, you know so that never moved out
3	of the coordinating council because you really had half the
4	industry saying, heck no. Don't do that to me. But your
5	point about markings is a good one because this is other
6	areas, you know, Virginia, other places, do have more
7	consistent markings. And something that has a sign that says,
8	hey, this is an aquaculture area so that people know what they
9	are seeing even if they see it from a distance.
10	I think that is a question to be brought back up to
11	the coordinating council. The issue has been in the past,
12	well, if you do that, who is going to pay for it and what is
13	the sign going to look like and blah, blah, blah.
14	But it still warrants a little more discussion. And
15	I just don't think we have had, you know, cases like this
16	right now where I think if you had a problem, they are going
17	to be a little more willing to maybe put something in a
18	closure book or have that conversation but since it has not

But I take your point on the markings and I will go back -- we will go back and have that conversation with the council again.

actually become a problem yet, there has not been that

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Phil?

MR. LANGLEY: Yes, maybe I am misinformed but I

1 thought that the aquaculture leases had to have specific buoy
2 sizes and whatnot to mark their --

1.3

2.0

2.1

MS. HUNT: They have to have their corners marked but it is not specific in what, it is not --

MR. LANGLEY: I thought it was like a certain diameter, certain height, so -- buoys you had to have to meet those specifications for an aquaculture lease.

MS. DEAN: We had to because we were in a channel. That is not from the department. That is from the Coast Guard. So, yes, they are big, they are expensive, if you are in a certain area. But we have leases in St. Leonard's Creek that literally it is a Frisbee on the like -- I don't even know. And God bless him, he is doing great, good. But I wanted it to have a backbone and I don't see a backbone to that.

MS. HUNT: I know. And the other thing is though you are required to have that lease marked if you want any protection. There have got to be markers out there. NRP is not going to write a ticket that says, well, you harvested in a lease but there was nothing to tell anybody it was a lease. It does have to be marked. That is in the law.

MR. LANGLEY: Well, that is what I thought because actually I have got a lease application and part of it was the specific sizes and whatnot of the buoys. So I don't know whether this is something that has changed or -- you know,

1	maybe some of the earlier leases it wasn't required. I don't
2	know.
3	MS. HUNT: Again, it might depend on where you are.
4	MR. O'CONNELL: We will take this input back to our
5	aquaculture manager, Carl Rozier, you know, and try to review
6	when it was last discussed at the council and maybe another
7	opportunity.
8	There is also going to be the addition of an
9	aquaculture representative to both sport fish and tidal fish.
10	And while sport fish has done a lot of interactions with
11	aquaculture, I think it is a good opportunity to form that
12	relationship.
13	Remember a few years ago when we made all of the law
14	changes, there was a lot of concern with aquaculture leases in
15	areas where guys would be trolling and all that so I think it
16	is a good addition like Rachel is for tidal fish to this
17	commission.
18	MS. HUNT: Any other questions?
19	(No response)
20	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right, thank you, Gina. We
21	are a little bit behind but we are good. Let's move along.
22	Inland fisheries. Tom?
23	Introduction of New Inland Fisheries Director
24	by Tom O'Connell, Director, MD DNR Fisheries Service
25	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I wanted to introduce Tony

1.3

2.2

can come up. Announce Tony as our new Inland Fisheries division manager who took Don Cosden's place. We went through a recruitment process here at DNR and had several highly qualified people but in the end we selected Tony. I have known Tony for over 20 years now. He is an Eastern Shore resident like myself.

And rather than stealing his thunder and provide you a little bit of his background, which I think is really impressive and makes him well-suited for this position, I am going to allow Tony to provide that and any other comments that you have.

MR. PROCHASKA: Thanks, Tom.

MR. O'CONNELL: Welcome, aboard.

Comments

by Tony Prochaska, MD DNR Fisheries Service

MR. PROCHASKA: Thank you. Good afternoon. I am Tony Prochaska, and I have been with the department about 20 years. My background or area of expertise is in aquatic ecology, particularly freshwater fishes, and my master's degree, Ray Morgan was my advisor up at Appalachian Lab so don't hold that against me.

I spent the majority of my career here at the department directly involved in or leading monitoring assessment programs in nontidal waters, many programs. I have also had the opportunity to work for environmental review

unit, working with the regulatory agencies trying to get, you know, better protection to our resources either through 3 avoidance or minimization. 4 Most recently I was the director for the Resource 5 Policy Division. It is a new division within integrated 6 policy and review, trying to develop and utilize proactive 7 approaches toward resource protection. So that is kind of my 8 background here at the department. I have been in this 9 position about less than two weeks so I am trying to learn as 10 much as I can as fast as I can but I have a lot great mentors 11 to help me get there. 12 So I know every month you guys receive the monthly 1.3 report that reports out on our activities. You know, I am not 14 even in my office yet and I am transferring my phone numbers 15 and stuff, but if you guys ever have a question specific to 16 what is in the monthly report, you know, please contact me. Т 17 don't have a business card yet so I am working toward all 18 these things. 19 MR. GRACIE: You have a phone number yet. 2.0 MR. PROCHASKA: I do. You want it? 2.1 MR. GRACIE: Yes, you bet. 22 MR. PROCHASKA: I will give it to Jim. It is okay. 23 It is (410) 260-8287. So that is my office number. 2.4 MR. DAMMEYER: We can probably call you there then. 25 MR. PROCHASKA: You can. I will be in that office.

1.3

2.0

I have been spending a lot of time over the last week and a half visiting the regional offices and interacting with staff as much as I can. I am just trying to get myself up to speed.

MR. GRACIE: We will know how to find you.

MR. PROCHASKA: Yes, you will find me. I am sure you will. Just call Tom and he will find me. So I mean I don't know if you have any specific questions about the monthly report that went out. You know, I am involved in one of the projects with the North Branch Potomac River. New Page is their application, is up for renewal to discharge.

Actually there are six outfalls that go to the North Branch Potomac. There are concerns about thermal impacts. I am working with Science Services Administration to address our concerns. What is that?

MR. GRACIE: --- College.

MR. PROCHASKA: Yes, that is a different permit but the one we are looking at right now is the outfall that has thermal impacts. I am very familiar with MDE's regulation specific to water-quality standards, to designate uses, water quality criteria and degradation policies.

I have worked with MDE many years now specific to the designated uses and I think there can be additional protection afforded to the North Branch below Jennings
Randolph for temperature. So that is one of the things I tackled initially. And hoping to schedule a meeting here soon

with the permitting group and SSA to address our concerns. 2 MR. GRACIE: These are discharge permits from the 3 plant not from the --4 MR. PROCHASKA: From the plant, exactly. Yes, there are six outfalls. Use four, 75 degrees. The effluent from 5 6 the outfalls is 95 to 114. So they are high numbers. 7 anyway that is one of the projects I am tackling right now as well as a number of other ones but that is one I am trying to 8 deal with. 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, so Tony is going around and 11 getting to know staff a little better. He knows some of the 12 already. 1.3 MR. PROCHASKA: Yes, I have worked with a lot of 14 them. Don, I have worked with Don a lot on some reviews, 15 Columbia Gas, --- , I am sure you guys are familiar with that 16 project and others. 17 MR. O'CONNELL: I am also encouraging Tony to make 18 himself accessible to you guys and maybe meet you out in the 19 field someday to talk about issues that are of interest to you 2.0 in your area. And establish that relationship. So looking 2.1 forward to all the great work Tony is going to do. 22 MR. PROCHASKA: Thank you, Tom. 23 MR. O'CONNELL: Some big shoes to fill. 2.4 MR. PROCHASKA: Yes. About the same size but his have more miles on them. 25

1	MR. O'CONNELL: Thanks a lot.
2	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: So you have got the Inland
3	Fisheries Report in your handout. So we will move to the
4	Estuarine and Marine Fisheries.
5	Estuarine and Marine Fisheries Management Planning Topics
6	Update on Striped Bass Appeal
7	by Tom O'Connell, Director MD DNR Fisheries Service
8	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, and I will kick this off but I
9	am going to ask Mike Luisi to provide a couple updates. I
10	wasn't able to attend our staff briefing for ASMFC today but
11	Mike and I think Bill and Dave and Ed were there.
12	So just really quickly, at the last meeting on
13	striped bass, we informed you that we were going to be
14	briefing the administration on striped bass management through
15	ASMFC and recommend an appeal for the bay fishery, which we
16	proceeded with. And got a lot of compliments to the strength
17	of our appeal from stakeholders like you, and our staff did a
18	tremendous job.
19	Unfortunately, not too surprising, the commission
20	rejected the appeal. And we shared with you their response,
21	and I don't have to go much further than that. Interestingly,
22	in the last paragraph, the letter, it foreshadowed that the
23	Technical Committee had made progress coming up with a
24	recommendation for an interim Chesapeake Bay reference point

for fishing mortality.

1.3

2.0

And it turns out -- and Mike, correct me if I am wrong -- but it looks like they are going to recommend the same reference point that we asked for in our appeal. The only difference is that we are going to have to ask the board to initiate an addendum to consider establishing that bay reference point, and that is going to take the majority of this year if the board proceeds in that manner.

So we are pretty much looking at status quo for 2015 and if the board accepted the new reference point, we would be looking at some options to adjust our rules for 2016 but I just want to just kind of keep everyone's expectations in line, is that right now we are taking a 20 1/2-percent reduction. And under this bay reference point that is being discussed, the reduction would drop to about 10 percent.

And our staff have begun to do a little bit of analysis to kind of gauge where we might be able to go with that. Right now it is looking like we are not going to be able to go below a 19-inch size limit bay wide, but as we discussed with some of you about looking at some smaller size limits in the fall, some of those opportunities may now be available where, you know, we start the season at one size limit and we can shift to a smaller size in the fall.

But don't think that this reference point is going to get us back to 18 inches year round. It is not likely going to give us 19 inches year round. We are still looking

lcj 101

1.3

2.0

into some of the analysis but it does open up options to look at some of the scenarios that we weren't able to do based upon the 20.5 reduction.

So I don't know if Mike has anything more to add on striped bass, and then Mike is going to talk about menhaden and then you guys may want to talk about the spring trophy fishing season a little bit. So I will let Mike get through the striped bass, any additional stuff, and then menhaden, and then we will come back and talk about striped bass.

MR. LUISI: So Mike Luisi, Estuarine Marine
Fisheries. So Tom basically covered what I had thought to
present to you regarding this upcoming discussion at ASMFC.
The one thing that may be of interest is that through the
evaluation for kind of reference points, aside from the
coastal reference points, both the Delaware Bay and the Hudson
River and Long Island Sound were asking to have their
fisheries considered to fold into what -- a different
reference point aside from the coastal reference point.

While the Technical Committee, when they met and discussed this issue, they are going to move forward with a recommendation for Chesapeake Bay reference points, they did not come to that same conclusion with the Delaware Bay and the Long Island Sound fisheries given just different dynamics of those fisheries in comparison to our Chesapeake Bay.

So know that will be what is being recommended moving forward.

1.3

2.0

The other component to all of this, just to manage expectation, is that while a Chesapeake, an interim Chesapeake Bay reference point, is being recommended by the Technical Committee, and I believe it would be our intention to get the ball rolling on an addendum, by reducing the reduction in the Chesapeake Bay, it would likely require some additional reduction along the coast to account for the overall reduction.

Which means you are dealing with another situation for which the coastal fisheries may be looking at additional reductions in order to protect the spawning stock so it is just another element to it.

It is not just going to be Maryland, Virginia,
Potomac River, Washington, DC, coming to the table with a
plan. It factors into the entire coastal fishery.

MR. O'CONNELL: And Mike, just on that, I mean, that is likely not going to be assessed until the next benchmark stock assessment.

MR. LUISI: That is true. You are right.

MR. O'CONNELL: And at which point, the status of the stock may be different, so it is not an absolute. If the status of the stock stayed the same, it is true that the coast would likely have to have an increase in mortality but if these efforts improve the status of the stock it would be a different scenario.

MR. LUISI: Thanks for that clarification, Tom. 1 2 Questions and Answers 3 MR. GRACIE: I am not sure I understood. Did vou say that the notion of developing different reference points 4 for Delaware and the Hudson were rejected, even the idea of developing different reference points. 6 7 MR. LUISI: At this point, yes. 8 MR. GRACIE: Okay. 9 MR. LUISI: The Delaware Bay, not as much. rejection wasn't -- it was a small X whereas the Hudson was a 10 11 giant X, if you want to think of it that way. They just 12 weren't sold, the Technical Committee wasn't sold on the 13 Delaware Bay --14 MR. GRACIE: Did they come in after we did? 15 they jumping on our bandwagon? 16 MR. O'CONNELL: A little bit. They were kind of 17 hinting around at it but then they really threw it out there 18 at the last meeting in February. 19 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Mike, is it mostly a matter of 20 how much mixing there is with other migratory fish? 2.1 MR. LUISI: Yes. 2.2 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: How distinct it really is. 23 MR. LUISI: Yes, that is the reason for the 24 rejection. Okay, so with that we can move to -- you said 25 menhaden?

1	MR. O'CONNELL: If you can just go over menhaden?
2	Outlook for Menhaden at ASMFC
3	by Mike Luisi, MD DNR Fisheries Service
4	MR. LUISI: Yes, you know, as you know, Lynn Fegley
5	is our staff lead and serves as Tom's proxy on the Menhaden
6	Board. I would never be able to do any justice to Lynn here
7	to try to provide you with all the details about the upcoming
8	meeting so I thought I would just keep it pretty brief.
9	Bill, as heavily involved as he is with the
LO	discussion, please feel free to jump in here and help me get
L1	through this. So the Menhaden Board is going to meet next
L2	Tuesday. The discussion will be focused on the most recent
L3	benchmark stock assessment, which has indicated that the stock
L 4	is not overfished and overfishing is not occurring.
L5	The scientific body, you know, evaluating it and
L 6	working with this assessment feels that this is a much more
L7	robust assessment than the previous assessment that indicated
L 8	there were some concerns that we needed to take into
L 9	consideration.
20	It has to with what I heard today is kind of the
21	beating of the bushes regarding gathering information about
22	menhaden populations and indices along the entire Atlantic
23	Coast to try to get a full understanding of what the stock is
24	looking like.

So there will be a discussion about that assessment

lcj 105

1.3

2.0

2.4

and the results of that assessment. One of the things that is so important with menhaden is its ecological role, and so at the February board meeting, the board tasked the technical working group that is working with biological -- the ecological reference point issue, which they have a name. They are called the BERP, which is the Biological Ecological Reference Points Workgroup, they came together and, based on board direction, applied the Lenfest Fishery Ecosystem Task Force methodologies to looking at establishing those reference points or evaluating the reference points for Atlantic menhaden.

So this is a more conservative approach than what the benchmark assessment was so the BERP group went back, did the evaluation, and they ultimately came to that same -- the same conclusion that menhaden, the stock is not overfished and overfishing is not occurring, even given this more conservative methodology of evaluating those biological reference points.

So all of this is going to be part of the larger discussion at the board meeting next week. With all of that being discussed, the board is then going to be tasked with approving the 2015 specifications, which would essentially be the quota that would be -- what would be managed for the future year.

And so that tied into the discussion on the health

lcj 106

1.3

2.0

2.4

of the stock -- and not overfished and overfishing is not occurring all factors into what the board could potentially do in evaluating those specifications and establishing them for the following year.

There will likely be discussion about liberalizing the -- adding to the quota based on the most recent results. So as you remember, was it two years ago, there was a 20-percent reduction that was determined?

We are still working under that reduced, that 20-percent reduction from the average of harvest between the 2009 and 2011 options that the Technical Committee will be presenting to the board range from anywhere from the removal of that 20-percent reduction, which would go back to a 213,000 metric ton quota, which essentially gets back to the status quo.

It gets back to that average time period that we used as a reference point to something as much as maybe a 15-percent reduction. So there are a bunch of alternatives to consider. Each one of them will be more restrictive, and this will be the debate at the board as to how comfortable, if at all, the board is comfortable with adjusting its quota, its determination for next year and how we would manage coastwide.

Maybe I will leave it at that and ask Bill if there are any other major points that I could have forgotten.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: --- allocation? Did you say

1.3

2.0

1 | something about that?

MR. LUISI: Yes, maybe if you could add to the allocation.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Yes, so two years ago that was another big piece too when they established a quota, they allocated that quota state by state and it was basically using the state catches for the average of the years from '09 to '11, and the breakdown there between reduction and bait, size of a fishery, was about 80/20.

But at that time, the bait catches were headed up and the reduction catches were headed down so we sort of froze it where they were. In addition to that, we had really -- most of the states had pretty poor catch data on the small-scale bait guys. Like our pound nets, trap nets up north, a few places where they use anchored gill nets like Virginia that only make up a couple percent of the whole coastwide catch.

Really poor data for them, and they are really just a small amount of the total catch. And yet when we did that a couple years ago, putting in a quota system for the first time ever, and assuming that baseline, based on data we did have, we had — it resulted in this whole quota system, meaning you got to shut your fishing when you reach your quota, being imposed on those small-scale guys when a lot of us now feel, based on those two years' experience, they weren't fully

1.3

2.0

2.4

accounted for.

So now there is some, a lot of discussion about possible revisiting the allocation issue and better accounting for that side of the fishery. So I think it would mean, and this is kind of Maryland's proposal that is on the table, setting aside a third sector. So not just having bait and reduction but having small-scale bait and large-scale bait and reduction.

So the large-scale bait are the guys who are using gear a lot like the reduction fishery, the purse seines, but they are just smaller purse seines in Virginia and in New Jersey. But the small-scale, generally family, single-family operations like our pound netters, would be a separate sector.

I mean, we haven't gotten there yet but this is what Maryland would like to do, and Lynn has put on the table. It would be considered a separate sector with it is own piece of the quota and would not, under this concept, have to have a strict quota system applied to it.

So you wouldn't be shutting down that kind of an operation. That is really a big part of the cultural fabric up and down the coast, you know, mid-season, under a strict quota system. And that is where we hope to go but that is going to take a plan amendment.

MR. LUISI: And that discussion, we have been having that discussion with some of the other managers along the

1.3

2.0

coast to get just get a sense as to how their fisheries are operating, if they have similar small-scale fisheries like we do. One of the hurdles that we will face is coming up with criteria to identify that small-scale fisherman versus somebody just over the line.

Anytime you draw a line and have criteria, there is always going to be somebody who falls right outside that line, and it will be a challenge to work with the other states, but the plan is at this point now, I believe that, you know, Lynn may be asking to form a working group of other commissioners to start diving into this a little bit, to come up with some meaningful alternatives to consider for future management in our state.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: And two years ago -- it was actually a little more than that. It was December 2012. We did recognize that setting a quota system and allocating it for the first time ever was something that was -- could be, would probably need some adjustment over time so we decided we would revisit that whole thing within three years.

The end of this year is that three years so we are kind of on that timetable anyway. Jim, you had a --

Questions and Answers

MR. GRACIE: I had a question. You indicated you had poor accounting for the small users. Does that mean that their quotas were set artificially low? Is that what you are

saying? 1 2 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Yes, that was my point. 3 sorry I was unclear. 4 MR. GRACIE: And I had a question for Mike. said something I am not sure I understood. You said that the 5 6 range of options go from eliminating 20-percent reduction to a 7 15 percent reduction. You didn't mean a 15-percent reduction from that. You mean 15 from the reference period? 8 MR. LUISI: 15 from the reference period, right. MR. GRACIE: Instead of a 20 we would get -- which 10 11 would be a 5 percent increase. 12 MR. LUISI: So anywhere from zero from the reference 1.3 period down to where we are now. Just different things to 14 consider. 15 MR. SIKORSKI: Obviously for those of you who don't 16 know, I will tell you that CCA is deeply involved in menhaden 17 stuff, and it has fallen in my lap most recently in my current 18 role. I have spent a lot of time digging through the issues, 19 and the thing that stands out that I think is important for 2.0 this crowd to understand is that if -- you hear this species 21 is managed commercially. 22 It is all about its harvest. It is about how much 23 you can leave in the water to sustain the level of fish out 2.4 there that we need.

Stock assessment is more robust and does give us a

25

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

lot more information but a couple things that it has told us causes some concern for us at CCA and I think should cause concern for all recreational anglers whether private or charter, and even commercial anglers or the commercial fishermen in the bay, and that is the lack of controls on what -- leave in the water what our ecosystem needs.

In the stock assessment they use a thing called natural mortality, and that is how they account for what is eaten. That changes year to year. It changes based on the stock structure but it stays relatively static in my view within the stock assessment. And that is a concern.

And that is why ecosystem-based management is something you have heard about. These fish feast on four-inch species in the bay. They spawn off shore and then come in the estuary, so they are not like our shad river herring or others that spawn here and then spend some of their juvenile life here on the way out.

So in my view, Maryland is kind of shut off, especially considering that the state to our south is the only state with a reduction fishery and has 80 percent of the coastwide catch allocated to that reduction fishery.

I think it presents a major issue, and there are maps and stuff out there. I wish I would have provided a little more information to the commission over the last few weeks but I haven't had the opportunity. But I urge everybody

around the table to pay attention to the management of menhaden because it directly affects us as recreational fishermen, especially in the bay.

1.3

2.0

2.1

You know, there is -- especially striped bass.

ASMFC has made a tough effort that we all had to go through to reduce mortality on striped bass in order to increase their stock, and menhaden is their probably most important food. I think most people would have a hard time disagreeing with that.

So what we are seeing in the bay is a lack of abundance, a lack of numbers of fish. We are seeing that along the whole coast, and we are also seeing a lack of recruitment. That is fish that make it to year one. That is like every predatory fish that lives in the bay, it is candy to them. It is the little peanut bunker.

And so it is easy to look out from your boat and say, all right, I am seeing more menhaden around. But I don't think that necessarily corresponds with proper management, and I don't know that it necessarily corresponds with ever getting this thing right.

ASMFC has been talking about ecosystem-based fisheries management for probably 15 years now on menhaden, and our representatives have done a great job in advancing this mentality, advancing these effort to better control these fisheries but we are not there yet. We are still managing

them as a single species. 1 2 We have got great people at the helm to do so. is coming up with great ideas to solve problems for our commercial fishermen and even our recreational fishermen, the 4 same with Bill. 5 6 I know CCA will be there. I will hopefully be 7 providing some public comment and I would appreciate any support that any other groups would like to give to that and 8 9 communicate with me afterward. I can provide more information 10 because it is an important issue and I think it directly 11 relates to all the fisheries that we are involved with. 12 And we need to get this thing right, and it is going 1.3 to take a little more time but we need to get it right. 14 that is my speech for today. 15 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Anybody else on menhaden? 16 (No response) 17 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: All right. You want to do crabs? 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. 19 Spring Striped Bass Season Discussion 20 by Tom O'Connell, Director, MD DNR Fisheries Service 21 Just before crabs, Secretary Belton made a comment 2.2 about the spring striped bass season and, you know, I received 23 a few calls -- Phil and Ed and Bob Newbury. And I know the

Secretary received a call from Bob Newbury. Just curious, any

change, any change from last week? We have seen increases in

24

25

2.1

2.2

catch. Any thoughts?

We were kind of limited on our options going forward this year, as I think everybody knows but obviously the cold season seems to have delayed some of the catch rates, and hopefully they are going to pick up. But I am hearing people who are frustrated with the slot.

But hopefully we will see some benefits to that as the season progresses. I am not sure. So I just wanted to, you know -- the Secretary asked me to kind of bring up the issue, to report any new information to him. So if we could just take a few minutes, if anybody wants to provide some feedback.

Questions and Answers

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Phil?

MR. LANGLEY: Tom, I don't think it is as big an issue with the slot as what it is the number of fish. As a state we decided on a slot fishery and basically, you know, as long as were catching numbers of fish for our constituents, we didn't really care whether they were in a slot or not. That is what it was designed for. We agreed to that slot for the 25 percent reduction.

But I think mother nature threw us a curve ball this year. And most of the boats that I am speaking with and speaking to are achieving about a 75 to 90 percent reduction this year in their catch, which is starting to affect the

industry economically.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Word is starting to get out a little bit. Trips are starting to cancel, guys are canceling trips and pushing them back later. So I am hearing, getting a lot of information through some of my constituents.

Some guys on some days have had decent catches but as a whole our spring is off, and Mike was good enough to call me the other day and just kind of give me an update on the spawn. But there are lot of guys in our association who feel that, you know, they have achieved well above a 25 percent reduction at this point anyway in the season and --

MR. O'CONNELL: Well, I appreciate that, Phil.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Anybody else? Ed?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, well, just to supplement what Phil said, a lot of our people have asked why can't we get in an emergency situation. And as an example, they talk about watermen who got an extension in their gill net season based upon the cold weather. And I understand that.

And our situation now is based on the cold weather too when you get right down to it. So there are a lot of different ideas that have come up, and they also are influenced by the fact that it seems that the Virginia commercial fishery has had an exceptionally good year.

All you have got to do is go in some of these big food stores like Giant and you will see the results of that

1.3

2.0

still. So it is -- Phil puts it mildly. There is an intense situation among the charter boat captains, relative that they are being hurt by all of this and that they should, the department should entertain some kind of an emergency situation.

I mentioned to Tom, well, how about a situation where maybe the second season, the 16th, moves forward a couple days and gives people more opportunity? And then there is maybe an opportunity in the fall. And then there is the 20-inch fish. Is there any way that the department can talk to us as a group based upon the fish we haven't caught, which is so obvious this year.

Last weekend, you would have charter boats running four parties, two half-days one day, two the next day. And all they could come back and report was, hey, we caught one 38 inches and one 37 1/2.

I went out yesterday and, you know, everything was looking good and caught a 39 and 1/4-inch fish. And that sort of picked everybody up. But as the day dragged through another seven hours, there just wasn't anything you could keep. So it really is an issue.

You can see how the attitude of the parties deteriorate when they see this. They have voluminous questions: How did you all end up? And some of the sport fishermen remind me, hey, I thought there was not going to be

a slot again. Well, it really wouldn't have been a slot if we were catching the fish.

But it seems like every time we have a slot there is some controversy over it. But we all voted for it. The department went forward with it and we supported it.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Phil?

1.3

2.0

MR. LANGLEY: Yes. Also, Tom, just to kind of -- I am not seeing, well, in my specific area, probably south of Solomons in the bay, I have seen very little recreational traffic as well because -- and the temperatures has been really cool, so it is not good weather to be in a runabout out, especially if you are dragging all day and not catching fish.

So I think word -- and these guys read fishing reports. They stay on top of what is happening. But the effort is down I think overall this spring so for, you know, a little more than a week into the season, not only with the charter but with the actual overall recreational fishery.

And to kind of echo what Ed said, and to mention to the Secretary basically, it would be nice to open up -- with Virginia as far as -- they did from the reports I am hearing, and I got phone calls from concerns from Virginia charter boat captains as well far as that, Virginia, late season, not having any maximum size limit on these female spawning fish come up to spawn, where Maryland and Potomac River Fisheries

does have a 36-inch maximum size, you know, on those fish coming up to spawn that time of year.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Rachel?

1.3

2.0

2.4

MS. DEAN: I think that the timing of this couldn't be any worse. Reduction any way we were going to take it, it was going to be bad, especially with the cold winter we had. I am hearing that the fish are still in the rivers. People are laying their nets down to get rid of the rockfish. There is rockfish in eel pots. They are in the rivers.

My concern -- I am hoping they dump soon, the rivers dump soon. My concern would be if we made an action or did an action, how would it reflect at ASMFC if we said, we have no fish. Extend our season, please. Now we all know the bay has different dynamics. And, you know, that is what we put our appeal in under. And that is what we took to them.

However, I don't think that is how they would look at us. I think we would looked at as, oh, really? You want bay reference points and you don't have any fish? You need to extend your season. So I think we are in a really tough Catch-22, between a rock and a hard place here. If you look at that big picture and you go, wow, we really -- the weather killed us this year.

Things are running late because I don't think it is that we don't have fish. I don't want to them to get that perception. Was there a more recent state survey? I caught

wind that there were nets set for 20 minutes to do a state
survey.

1.3

2.0

2.4

MR. LUISI: Yes, we have -- our survey is working right now. They are setting gill nets in the upper bay and in upper Potomac. And while the Potomac saw fish first, the water temperature warmed a little more quickly there, the upper bay kind of lagged about a week behind. About a week ago they started to really see fish and now they are getting a few hundred on each set.

There are hundreds of fish, you know, from all sizes, in the survey that they are picking up right now. It is not that the fish had -- and I mentioned this. It is not that the fish are come and gone, they are just -- they are up in the rivers. They are up in the spawning grounds where they are not accessible and the hope is they will be more accessible after they do what they need to do.

But what hurt us a little bit last week too was the water temperatures were getting to be that peak point for which spawning activity takes place in both the Potomac and the upper bay, and then it got cold again for three or four days. And that -- that is another environmental weather condition that kind of put things on halt again for another few days.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: I have Phil and then Dave.

MR. LANGLEY: And I guess, and I agree hopefully

there are good numbers of fish up the river and whatnot.

1.3

2.0

But I can tell you from constituents that I have in the charter boat industry, they put a lot of eggs in this trophy season, in this window of opportunity, and whether it is good business plans or bad business plans, they put a lot of eggs in that basket and that is when they promote their business, book their trips, and that is when they are the busiest.

And we only have a certain amount of days traditionally is what they worked upon in there as far as booking these trips. And hopefully these fish are coming off the spawning grounds and we do achieve good catches. But as far as the overall -- the 25-percent reduction that we were looking for to achieve, I think regardless, because I think effort is going to start dropping off after mid-May as far as the trophy-type fishery with guys who book trips.

I think already we have probably achieved more than a 25-percent reduction with effort in that fishery this year, and it is not whether the fish are there or not right at this point. And certainly I hope that they are. But it is the amount of reduction that we are going to endure this year as far as the association and the fishery.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Dave?

MR. SIKORSKI: I have heard that the cool-down periods during the spawn can cause issues with juvenile -- or

the success of the spawn. Is there any sense that we have had already was cold enough to cause those issues? It was a big influx of cold water?

MR. LUISI: Yes, well, I don't think it got to that critical level. It was something I had been talking with staff about. They were seeing an enormous amount of eggs in the Potomac two weeks ago and then it cooled down. But what has been reported is that we didn't get to that level. We didn't drop down to the level where it is a critical level to development. So we should be okay hopefully.

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Jim?

1.3

2.0

2.1

MR. GRACIE: Help me overcome my ignorance. My impression is we had a cold, late spring this year and you are telling us that the fish got in the rivers early. Normally at the beginning of trophy season, we are catching a lot of pre-spawn fish. You are telling me those fish are already up in the rivers before trophy season opened in a cold spring. I am not sure I understand the dynamics of that.

In my mind, the temperatures that we have had should have delayed the spawning. Is that not true?

MR. LUISI: Yes, in theory the temperatures, cooler temperatures will slow down that process. While in saying that, it is not that the stock follows a line of temperature at it adjusts. There are kind of waves that come through the bay during the migration time period.

1.3

2.0

2.4

And no I didn't mean -- our survey work started weeks and weeks ago. And it wasn't until just now they are really starting to pick them up. So the fish are moving through. Why they are not being caught or whether they are being caught but they are in the slot, I still don't have a great understanding as to whether or not fish are being caught, they are just in the slot or there just aren't any fish being caught.

MR. LANGLEY: No fish being caught.

MR. GRACIE: No fish being caught, is that what you saying?

MR. LANGLEY: The numbers are way, way down. We would be okay if we were catching slot fish because that is what this was designed to, is catch these slot fish for people and release but we are not seeing the fish right now to be caught.

And it does surprise me because believe me I have done a little bit of research and I have talked to some gill netters who gill net the Potomac River and who also have a charter license and some of these guys have told me they expected to have a robust spring with the numbers of fish that went up, they were successful gill netting once the ice thawed and they got their nets out.

But the people fishing -- the charters and the recreational people fishing in the Potomac River at this point

1.3

2.0

2.1

aren't catching these fish coming back out, post-spawned fish that supposedly are up there and that have spawned. And the guys in the bay, you know, I drove 20 miles yesterday to get skunked, and that is the first time that has happened in a couple years but, yes, I never caught a single fish yesterday.

Get in the bay, and I would like to think I am

Get in the bay, and I would like to think I am average. And I am hearing that, you know, instead of where guys limiting out on their boats or catching a fish in past years, a lot of them are lucky to come in, you know, to bring in one fish or some guys to catch a fish or to catch a slot fish.

And that is where I am seeing the extremes from a traditional spring of years past versus this year. It seems to be having an impact.

MR. O'CONNELL: Well, I appreciate that and, you know, we can have some follow-up discussions. You know, I think our challenge is that we have two distinct fisheries in the bay. We have the coastal spring trophy fishery, 25 percent reduction, and we have the bay summer, fall, winter, which is 20.5 percent.

You can't mix the two, so if we perform poorly here we can't ask for more here. The other thing is, and I appreciate the comparison to the commercial striped bass season extension, but that was allowed because we had timely reporting and we could assess where that fishery is.

1.3

2.0

2.4

You can compare that to a few years ago, the blue crabbers were asking for a season extension in the fall because of the same reason. Their anecdotal observation was that they were well below the required reduction, and the department said, no, we can't do that because we don't have timely, accurate harvest reporting.

So it gets back to, and I don't disagree with your observation at all. I think they are real but unfortunately to take that to ASMFC and ask for some emergency action, you know, they are going to be looking for some data that we don't have.

So that is a challenge in addition to Rachel's comment. The timing is very challenging. The time we are asking for some relaxation on the bay reduction through reference points and then going back and saying we also want some liberalization on the spring trophy.

Secretary and I do not underscore the importance of this fishery to the charter boat industry, and why he called me at 9:30 p.m. last night to talk about this. So we don't have any solutions yet. You know, we are hoping that the fishing picks up. I talked to Greg Jetton this morning and he caught three I guess yesterday -- a 40, a 39 and a 36.

But let's stay in communication. If we can come up with something, we are open to listening.

Τ	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Ea?
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Tom, do you have to go to ASMFC
3	because I thought I heard this morning that maybe we didn't
4	have to. But you are saying flat-out you would have to go to
5	ASMFC on any type of situation you might come up with to get
6	us a little bit better situation.
7	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I mean, we took two options to
8	ASMFC, and both were approved. We had the minimum 36 inch and
9	we had the slot. So, you know, Captain Rob Newberry called me
10	Friday saying, can we quickly go to the 36 inch? And we could
11	because we have public notice authority to do that. And it
12	was approved by ASMFC.
13	But I told him, talk to some captains because you
14	are going to change it at a time where you probably want that
15	20 to 36, and that is what he heard back. So that didn't go
16	anywhere.
17	But to do anything differently, unless like you
18	heard otherwise, we would be required to go back to ASMFC.
19	The board would seek Technical Committee review.
20	MR. GRACIE: The season would be over before then.
21	MR. O'CONNELL: So the timing is really not
22	available to us.
23	MR. LUISI: Well, the point I made earlier when we
24	were discussing this issue had to do with not focused on
25	changes to the trophy fishery but we have the second fishery,

the summer/fall fishery. And so what Ed had mentioned to me was the potential to start that sooner in May. Rather than 3 the 16th, perhaps we would start it on the 10th. 4 MR. O'BRIEN: Or the 13th. MR. LUISI: With that, what that would require 5 though would be some adjustment to some other point in time in 6 7 the fall. We would have to give something to get something. Now the methods are all the same and I don't think 8 it would be challenging to go -- we would have to inform ASMFC 9 10 but I don't think there would be a denial of a request for 11 that. But again there is a give and take. You would have to 12 give to get. 1.3 MR. GRACIE: Well, I have a question then. Wouldn't 14 moving the season earlier have more economic benefit to the 15 charter boats than giving up that time in the fall? 16 MR. O'CONNELL: It may depend upon your business 17 plan. 18 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Lower bay people depend on the 19 late fall. 2.0 MR. O'CONNELL: So there may be some opportunities 21 for us to follow up on that but in regard to the spring trophy fishery I think we are pretty much locked in, not to say we 22 23 can't make adjustments for next year. 2.4 MR. LANGLEY: And I certainly hope it is not 25 necessary.

Τ	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Flank:
2	MR. TUMA: We seem to bounce around on these
3	statistics. That is what everything is driven on, how much we
4	catch and how often. But using the reporting mechanism that
5	we use, SAIF or whatever it is called
6	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, SAIF's.
7	MR. TUMA: It doesn't project what the effort is
8	that we put into it. There is no way to report a no catch
9	reported as no fish. We didn't fish that day. And we talked
10	about last year about revising that whole fishing reporting
11	system.
12	And even if we have a bad, which we have had, a bad
13	fishing report this year, the statistics in how much we have
14	lost, can that be thought of as next year's brought into
15	next year's statistics on how many fish we caught and so forth
16	to possibly look at, or does that or is it just this year,
17	that is it.
18	We had the 25-percent reduction. We did an
19	80-percent reduction in the catch. It has no bearing on next
20	year but it should have some bearing on next year, what
21	happens.
22	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, they are
23	MR. TUMA: But the reporting is critical in that we
24	do the reporting accurately and correctly, and I have some
25	real problems with it as I mentioned before.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

MR. O'CONNELL: In some fisheries they allow quota rollovers, and for striped bass they do not. It is more relevant to the commercial, but they don't allow, you know, savings of one year to be rolled over to be utilized in the following year. They being --MR. TUMA: MR. O'CONNELL: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission does not allow it through their addendum process. MR. TUMA: But there has got to be some thought put into -- if we have got an 80-percent reduction, we were shooting for a 25-percent reduction. They do it with other fisheries, commercial and so forth. MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: I have got Phil and then Ed. MR. LANGLEY: Go ahead. MR. O'BRIEN: Just to remind everybody that every state around us can keep a 28-inch fish in their seasons, and that is the competition we face. We have run into that this coming weekend tournament. There have been some parties that are reminding us of this kind of thing. Our people want a chance to catch a big fish. That is what the trophy season was all about. I remember every step of how we got it. MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Phil. MR. LANGLEY: And I just want to clarify something. As a charter association, we are not trying to be greedy and ask for things. Believe me, we want to err on the side of

conservation. But -- and hopefully this is isn't necessary. 1 2 Hopefully in a couple days fish will be coming off the 3 spawning grounds, guys will be catching fish and everybody 4 will be happy. But this is -- if something doesn't happen in a few 5 days, here it is having a big economic impact not only in the 6 7 charter boat fishery but actually impact on the state, 8 economic impact. 9 MR. O'CONNELL: I will stay in touch on that, all 10 right? 11 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Anybody else on this? 12 (No response) 1.3 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Did you want to do the blue crab? 14 Blue Crab Winter Dredge Survey Results 15 by Tom O'Connell, Director, MD DNR Fisheries Service 16 MR. O'CONNELL: So earlier this week, yesterday, the 17 bay jurisdictions announced the Blue Crab Winter Dredge Survey 18 result, and I just wanted to go through those really quickly 19 with you. We don't have a recreational blue crab advisory 20 body at all so we kind of look at you guys to provide us some 21 quidance outside of our, you know, public meetings and all 2.2 that. 23 So go to the next slide. There are a series of 24 graphs. Karen, can you hit the lights? It is a series of

graphs that look at the different male/female components of

25

25

the fishery. 1 2 (Slide) 3 This one is the overall crab abundance, and you can see that we had a 38-percent increase, so this is the 4 male/females, young and adults, which is -- I think it is just 5 slightly below the long-term average but pretty close. 6 7 overall we had a nice bump-up. Next slide. 8 (Slide) This is the juveniles, the juvenile crabs, both male 9 10 and female. And you can see that we have now for two 11 consecutive years have seen an increase, a 35-percent increase 12 from the last year. It is close to the overall time series 1.3 average, not nearly what we had seen a couple years ago. 14 (Slide) 15 This is age 1 plus male crabs. And, you know, as 16 you saw on the previous graphs, we had a pretty good bounce-up 17 a few years ago but we have not really seen that in male crabs 18 yet. 19 We did see an increase last year, which is good. We 2.0 were at a pretty low point. And we were also somewhat -- we 21 were subjected to 19-percent overwintering mortality due to 22 the cold water temperatures. That was baywide, 19 percent. 23 In Maryland, it was 28 percent, and you may know that the 24 majority of the males are in the Maryland portion of the

Chesapeake Bay and the females are in the Virginia.

So that 28 percent, you know, had a pretty significant impact to the male crabs which, you know, would have had a little bit higher of a bump-up.

But it is going in the right direction, and there are some management triggers in regard to male crabs that is based upon the 26-year time series, and while the male crab population has been kind of, you know, depressed at that low level, you know, there is no reason to have significant management concern at this point in time. Next slide.

(Slide)

1.3

2.0

2.4

This is the total female abundance. This is basically viewed as the exploitable stock, so these are the females that are going to be entering the fishery this year. And you can see that we had a modest increase from last year. Next slide. I will try to get to this management framework.

(Slide)

So getting to the management framework, like for striped bass we look at fishing mortality and we look at the female spawning stock biomass. Similar here for blue crabs. The one management reference point is age 1 plus female crabs, so this is the adult females. We want to stay out of that red area. We want to get up to that green line, that target abundance, and you can see last year we were actually just below the threshold.

We have come up above that, which is good to see.

We are kind of in that yellow cautionary zone moving in the right direction but still far below that target level of abundance. So we know we have some work to do there. Next slide.

(Slide)

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

Another reference point is in regard to fishing mortality, and it is specific to females, the percentage of female crabs that are being removed from the population annually. It is a flip side graph, you know, but again you want to stay out of that red area. You want to get to that kind of -- get to that green line. That is the target fishing mortality, and as you can see, our management has been very effective for the last several years. We have kept the fishery below that target level.

The stock assessment suggests that you can allow that fishery to get up to that target level and over time you will achieve that female spawning stock biomass level. So it is going to be a delicate balance going forward. Maryland and Virginia have not yet determined what their management response is going to be.

We are going to be briefing our stakeholders, including you today and our Blue Crab Industry Advisory

Committee. But there appears to be an opportunity for some liberalization because the fishing mortality is below that target.

1	But we also have to recognize that we are still well
2	below that female abundance target so it is going to be a
3	balance there, and we will see how the stakeholders advise us
4	over the next couple months.
5	If we make any changes it would be effective after
6	July 1st, and that might be the last slide, Paul. So we are
7	briefing you guys today. We are going to be briefing tidal
8	fish on Thursday, briefing the Blue Crab Industry Advisory
9	Committee on May 11.
10	And then based upon recommendations we will have
11	some public scoping opportunities to get broader input. So
12	any questions on crabs? Some good news but still got some
13	work to do.
14	MR. DEHOFF: Can we get a copy of that presentation?
15	MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. Anything that is presented
16	today, we will make sure that it gets on the Website under the
17	commission's page. And then we have oysters, right?
18	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: And we have five minutes.
19	MR. O'CONNELL: This will probably only take me 10
20	minutes.
21	MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Yes, let's go with that.
22	Status of the Oyster Restoration Program
23	by Tom O'Connell, Director, MD DNR Fisheries Service
24	MR. O'CONNELL: So I think Bill, did you request
25	an agenda item to provide an update on oyster restoration

1.3

2.0

MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Yes.

MR. O'CONNELL: -- and, you know, we haven't really talked to this group about oysters since probably the plan was adopted in I guess it was 2010. You got that presentation, Paul?

So as Secretary Belton mentioned, we have had several interactions briefing the new administration on oysters and specifically the commercial fishing industry related to the Tred Avon oyster restoration efforts, and we are going to give you the presentation that we gave them about 10 days ago, and I will kind of go through it quickly.

(Slide)

So this is -- just to remind everybody what the status of oysters is. This is just a picture of the historical harvest back to the late 1800s. And it is a good characterization, good visual, as to where we once were and where we are today. Harvest is like a proxy for population abundance. It has been recently estimated that the oyster population is less than 1 percent of historical levels.

And there are several reasons for that. You know, historically there was heavy harvest pressure. We have lost a lot of the hard substrates that oysters rely upon. And, you know, there are some water-quality issues with dissolved oxygen and all that, so there have been a lot of competing factors but in the end what we need is we need habitat.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

no longer suitable.

We need that shell for oysters to repopulate. Despite the decrease, however, you can see that the fishery, the dockside value in the fishery has been relatively high in the last couple years so that has been beneficial to the industry. So next slide. (Slide) This just explains the process we went through with the EIS leading to the plan you guys are familiar with. slide. (Slide) You know, restoration is kind of a competing -- it is very dependent upon salinity. You are trying to balance the pressure of high salinity/good recruitment, but also high disease pressures in high salinity. So you are trying to find that sweet spot where you can balance recruitment and mortality issues and try to get population response. So the next slide you will see --(Slide) This was the network of sanctuaries that we established in 2010. The green areas are the sanctuaries, which constitute about 24 percent of the available oyster habitat. The orange areas are the areas that are still open

for the public fishery, which is about 76 percent of the

historical habitat, recognizing that a lot of the habitat is

Our sanctuaries were based upon a best bar analysis with the mindset that if you have a depleted resource of less than 1 percent, you want to find those areas where the oysters are still doing good and try to build upon that.

And based upon some independent, scientific advice, we got some -- we learned where those bars were, and half those bars were set aside in the sanctuary and half those bars were left open to the public fishery. Next slide.

(Slide)

lcj

1.3

2.0

2.1

The mindset behind the sanctuaries is we need to recreate some reproductive engines in the Chesapeake Bay. And Elizabeth North from the University of Maryland has a larvae transport model that was used during the EIS process that suggested that if you protect the upper reaches of tributaries, they will help feed the areas outside of the sanctuaries because there is a natural kind of down migratory movement of larvae.

There is some retention but there is also some flow out of the sanctuaries, and if you go back and look at the sanctuaries, the majority of the sanctuaries were in these tributary areas.

So we are trying to recreate these engines and through the Chesapeake Bay Agreement, as Secretary Belton said, our goal is for 10 tributaries in the Chesapeake Bay, and there is a gentleman's agreement that five would be in

Maryland and five would be in Virginia, and to do this by 1 2025. 3 We are far along in two tributaries, Harris Creek and Little Choptank. And one project in the Tred Avon that is 4 led by the Corps of Engineers we will be beginning later this 5 6 week. 7 Our process going forward when we establish the sanctuaries was that we would go forward for five years and 8 9 conduct an evaluation of not only sanctuaries but public fisheries areas and aquaculture, and a report is due in June 10 of 2016. 11 12 And so far the administration seems to be committed 1.3 to complete that evaluation and use that to guide any changes going forward, just build upon our successes and make changes 14 15 where we can do better. Next slide. 16 (Slide) 17 So these are the three areas in the Choptank 18 complex. Next slide. 19 (Slide) 2.0 Can you make that run, Paul? So this is Elizabeth 21 North's larvae transport model. And what you are going to see 22 is this is a model that looks at -- when oysters spawn, they 23 produce larvae. And the larvae are in the water column for about 20 something days. 2.4

And so the oysters spawn and these are the larvae,

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

and they are floating in the water column back and forth, you know, with the tides and winds. And you will see these gray areas here, like here, and there are gray areas scattered throughout. Those are the oyster bars.

So while the sanctuaries are contained in the upper reaches of these systems, the larvae are spreading throughout the mouth of the Choptank River. And we are at day 10 right now, so this is the number of days -- day 12, day 13.

When we get about day 14 here, you are going to start seeing the larvae start settling on those gray areas.

And the will continue to settle out until about day 24, at which point if they haven't found suitable substrate they will die.

So the model suggests that this sanctuary program is recreating these reproductive engines. And while they will provide the enhancement within those tributaries, if we can work with the industry to recreate the habitat outside the sanctuaries, those larvae will also have the opportunity to replenish those bars as well.

And those are some of the new discussions we are having with the administration and with the industry to try to focus our efforts to get some more shell, put them outside these areas and try to develop a management plan that will allow harvest to continue but in a manner that allows the population to rebuild as well.

And again this model just looked at those three system. Obviously larvae are also coming out of Broad Creek, which is a very popular harvest area as well. Okay, next slide?

(Slide)

1.3

2.0

2.4

So this is just a summary of where we are. It has the three systems: Harris Creek, Little Choptank and Tred Avon. DNR's involvement is largely in Harris Creek and Little Choptank in regard to constructing oyster reefs. You can see that we have in the low hundreds of acres for our target, and you can see we have made significant progress in Harris Creek with recreating 258 acres. Little Choptank, we are only at 17.

The Tred Avon River is largely led by the Army Corps of Engineers, and they are doing all the reef construction.

But the department's commitment through a cost-sharing agreement is to put hatchery seed oysters on those bars that the corps will construct.

You can see that the effort in the Tred Avon has not yet started but will be starting later this week. And you can see that we are talking tens of millions of dollars that has been invested by state and federal government, so this is a huge investment. And there is really good scientific monitoring and evaluations that are going on that will lead to an evaluation of this investment. Next slide. Next slide.

1.3

2.0

(Slide)

Just how are we doing so far? You know, the work in Harris Creek is where we focus most of our monitoring efforts to date. We are seeing really good survival of the hatchery seed, about twice what we would normally expect. A lot of that is because we are doing a lot of ground truthing with SONAR, SONAR work to make the sure the bottom is suitable for the hatchery seed that we are placing, and we are seeing very good survival.

Oysters are growing extremely well. Dermo, which is one of the diseases, is present. It is not going to go away but to date we haven't seen any elevated mortality associated with disease. And it is good to remember that we will at some point, particularly if we get a dry year and high salinity, but it shouldn't be viewed that it is a failure to have oysters die from disease because ultimately we are trying to build natural disease resistance.

That is the long-term goal. And it is going to take many decades to achieve that but that is what we are trying to do.

Questions and Answers

MR. SIKORSKI: Not to stop the flow, but with regard to disease resistance, is there any evidence -- is it death of a certain part of the population that creates disease resistance or just the existence of a disease, does it create

1.3

2.0

2.4

disease resistance within the living oyster? Do we have to lose a bunch in order to gain resistance or are we automatically gaining resistance because the disease is there?

MR. O'CONNELL: I am not the expert in disease but what I would say is that it is probably always some disease resistance occurring but you really need significant die-offs. Otherwise that disease resistance is being kind of watered down by the population.

Some of the science in Delaware Bay, you know, they had a major disease outbreak, and that led to some good disease resistance for MSX. You may recall that between 1999 and 2002, we had four years of drought, we had major disease problems. And that may be one of the reasons why now for I think 12 years we have had low disease mortality. Maybe there was a disease event, and the survivors have passed along some of that genetic --

But it is going to be a long process, and there is some science that gives us hope. MSX is clear. Dermo is a little bit more difficult because there are so many pockets of low salinity in Maryland. Even when we have a major disease outbreak, there are going to be a lot of areas that don't get that heavy disease because of the low salinity.

MR. TUMA: Just out of curiosity, MSX and Dermo, has that been around forever or is that just fairly recently? I mean, we hear about it recently.

1.3

2.0

2.1

MR. O'CONNELL: Dermo was found I think in the '50 time period and it is believed that it probably was here. It was just detected around then. MSX is believed to have been brought in, in Delaware Bay when they were experimenting with Japanese oysters, Crassostrea gigas, and then it got moved over into the Chesapeake Bay.

MSX is a higher salinity disease that is mostly in Virginia, to a lesser extent in Maryland. Dermo is more broad spread and one of our major factors in survival. Now there are some suggestions that with diminished water quality, oysters are more susceptible to these diseases, as we would be. You know, you compromise your immune system and you are more susceptible. So that could be triggering some of the -- Rachel?

MS. DEAN: I would just suggest for the commission that -- I believe it was the Oyster Advisory Commission that got one heck of a presentation on Dermo. Dermo has 12 different forms. When an oyster dies, it sheds and it settles back on the bar. It waits for the conditions to get right, but this -- we should know more. I just think we would benefit from knowing because I think it would surprise you.

I commend your comment that we are going to have to see some deaths. We will see some deaths, and I think we need to be realistic about that as we move forward. I am not sure who gave that presentation. I thought it was somebody from

the department. But I think that, you know it would be good 1 for us to see that because it is kind of a slap in the face. 3 MR. O'CONNELL: And you know that makes the industry want to get access to these oysters before they die. But on 4 5 the other hand you are trying to look at natural disease resistance. And even when the oysters die the shell is still 6 7 there for settlement of new oysters versus being removed. 8 those are kind of the points of contention with this disease. MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: So not all of them are going to 9 10 die but you can't tell which ones, and you want to save the 11 survivors in some areas so they can --12 MR. DEAN: And just to be clear, I wasn't saying 1.3 that because I want to -- I don't want our public to be 14 dismayed when something happens. I want that reality out 15 there that it is a possibility so we don't all look like we 16 sat here and came up with this and spent money. 17 MR. O'CONNELL: Almost every public meeting I go to, 18 I try to make it clear that we are going to see mortality. 19 shouldn't be necessarily viewed as a failure, but it something 2.0 that we are going to have to --2.1 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Yes, and well, we are going to 22 see it, but keep in mind there is a silver lining genetically. 23 MR. O'CONNELL: That may be the last slide. 2.4 may be one more, Paul. 25 (Slide)

1.3

2.0

Oh, and this one is just, you know, we were asked -- you know, I showed you what the results were in Harris Creek, and obviously when we closed these areas in 2009 and '10, about 10 percent of the harvest in the previous year came from the sanctuaries, so that was kind of an assessment of what the impact would be. You know, just ballpark. And it has had an impact.

I know like hand tongers have been negatively impacted because we focused in the upper tributaries, and that is where the hand tongers largely were. But we were fortunate that we had two really good spat sets in 2010 and 2012, and that has allowed the industry to see some pretty significant increases in harvest and achieve some pretty good dockside values.

You know, unfortunately, this also resulted in the number of oystermen increasing from a couple hundred to about 1,200, and because the areas to fish are more limited, it is putting more pressure in these areas that are still open.

We have already begun to see the harvest decrease this year. And it probably will continue to decrease, which is going to -- now that all these people have vested into the fishery again, it is going to put probably more, you know, there is going to be more interest to look for new areas to get access to, and I am sure that is going to be a focus of this five-year evaluation.

1.3

2.0

How do we stay committed to restoration and achieving the bay agreement commitments but also trying to find opportunities to, you know, support this industry while it is significantly depressed? It is still our second-most valuable fishery in Maryland right now so it is a great value to the industry. Phil?

MR. LANGLEY: Tom, speaking with AJ of PRC once, he said that Virginia, basically they are -- one of their management practices is that they actually survey the bars, and there is a maximum allowable harvest that they manage to come off. That way, they are always leaving a percentage of oysters on that bar for reproduction or whatever so you don't basically destroy -- overharvest any specific areas.

Is that an approach we may look at in the future or are we doing that now?

MR. O'CONNELL: There were these harvest reserves years ago that were largely dependent upon hatchery seed plantings, which set some boundaries to harvest but, you know, the concept in Virginia, rotational management, in setting a harvest goal that is sustainable, I mean, that has been a focus of discussion that I have had with the transition team leaders like Secretary Belton.

And I think going forward that is one of the areas that we are trying to talk to the industry about, is, you know, can we find a way where we can provide those types of

1.3

2.0

2.4

opportunities and have the assurance that, you know, it is sustainable.

You know, if you look at all the sanctuaries, I am not trying to foreshadow, but we have a significant number of sanctuaries but we are limited to only do active restoration in a few of them. In some of the sanctuaries, they are in very low salinity waters, you know. The scientists have suggested there is not a lot of optimism that they are going to restore themselves because you don't get a lot of recruitment there.

With significant investment but still very limited,

I know one of the thoughts is, is there an opportunity to look
at some of these sanctuaries where we are not doing anything,
and can we form a partnership with the industry whereby their
commitment and investment, establishing some type of
management system that, you know, is not going to protected as
the sanctuaries, but you are going to get more oysters in the
river than not doing anything there.

So it may be a win/win rather than letting that area sit there. There are other sanctuaries that we are not doing any active restoration and they are responding well because they are getting natural recruitment. But there are other ones that are not responding.

And that may provide some opportunities to work with the industry and other stakeholders, see if we can find a

scenario, a new management system like rotational that -- you

2 get ecological benefits by getting more oysters in the river. 3 You may not get the diverse habitat assemblage of a protected bar but better than what is in there right now. 4 5 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Any other questions for Tom? 6 (No response) 7 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: So it is probably obvious but just so it is, the reason I asked for this was because as we 8 9 do make progress with oyster restoration, we improve on the 10 benthic habitat that is important to a lot of other species. 11 And that becomes very important to this group, I think. 12 think we do want to track that going forward. 1.3 And I know that as you do evaluate and have been tracking these targeted tributaries with lots of different 14 15 studies, some of the information on benthic diversity and fish 16 utilage I think will be particularly interesting to this 17 group. 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. You know, Harris Creek started 19 really quickly but the Tred Avon River, just really quick -- I 2.0 know the Chesapeake Bay office has been in there I think for 21 the last two years getting baseline information on fish/crab 22 utilization. So as we go forward with restoration for the 23 Tred Avon, we are going to have some really good baseline 2.4 information to see if, you know, see a positive effect or not. 25 MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Anything else for the good of the

```
order?
 1
 2
               (No response)
              MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: Closing remarks, Bill and Tom.
 3
 4
    You got any?
              MR. O'CONNELL: Hope to be around to see you guys in
 5
 6
    the summer.
 7
              MR. GOLDSBOROUGH: I hope you are, too. I guess we
    are adjourned.
 8
 9
               (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at 5:16 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```